

# PL2022/2222 Foreign Policy Analysis

View Online



- 
1.  
Hill, C. Foreign Policy in the Twenty-First Century. (Palgrave, London, 2016).
  
  2.  
Hill, C. The Changing Politics of Foreign Policy. (Palgrave MacMillan, Basingstoke, 2003).
  
  3.  
Smith, S., Hadfield, A. & Dunne, T. Foreign Policy: Theories, Actors, Cases. (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2012).
  
  4.  
Alden, C. Foreign Policy Analysis: New Approaches. (Routledge, London, 2012).
  
  5.  
Neack, L. The New Foreign Policy: Power Seeking in a Globalized Era. vol. New millennium books in international studies (Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Lanham, Md, 2008).
  
  6.  
Rosenau, J. N. The Scientific Study of Foreign Policy. (Pinter, London, 1980).

7.

Hill, C. *The Changing Politics of Foreign Policy*. (Palgrave MacMillan, Basingstoke, 2003).

8.

Smith, S., Hadfield, A. & Dunne, T. *Foreign Policy: Theories, Actors, Cases*. (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2012).

9.

Neack, L. *The New Foreign Policy: Power Seeking in a Globalized Era*. vol. New millennium books in international studies (Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Lanham, Md, 2008).

10.

Hudson, V. M. *Foreign Policy Analysis: Classic and Contemporary Theory*. (Rowman & Littlefield Pub, Lanham, 2014).

11.

Giacomello, G., Ferrari, F. & Amadori, A. With friends like these: foreign policy as personal relationship. *Contemporary Politics* **15**, 247–264 (2009).

12.

Hudson, V. M. *Foreign Policy Analysis: Actor-Specific Theory and the Ground of International Relations*. *Foreign Policy Analysis* **1**, 1–30 (2005).

13.

David, C. Who was the real George Bush? Foreign policy decision-making under the bush administration. *Diplomacy & Statecraft* **7**, 197–220 (1996).

14.

Zhang, Q. *Towards an Integrated Theory of Chinese Foreign Policy: bringing leadership*

personality back in. *Journal of Contemporary China* **23**, 902–922 (2014).

15.

Görener, A. Ş. & Ucal, M. Ş. The Personality and Leadership Style of Recep Tayyip Erdoğan: Implications for Turkish Foreign Policy. *Turkish Studies* **12**, 357–381 (2011).

16.

Rottinghaus, B. Presidential Leadership on Foreign Policy, Opinion Polling, and the Possible Limits of "Crafted Talk". *Political Communication* **25**, 138–157 (2008).

17.

Pravda, A. Is there a Gorbachev foreign policy? *Journal of Communist Studies* **4**, 100–120 (1988).

18.

Gallagher, M. E. & Allen, S. H. Presidential Personality: Not Just a Nuisance. *Foreign Policy Analysis* **10**, 1–21 (2014).

19.

DYSON, S. B. Personality and Foreign Policy: Tony Blair's Iraq Decisions. *Foreign Policy Analysis* **2**, 289–306 (2006).

20.

Hill, C. *The Changing Politics of Foreign Policy*. (Palgrave MacMillan, Basingstoke, 2003).

21.

Alden, C. *Foreign Policy Analysis: New Approaches*. (Routledge, London, 2012).

22.

Hudson, V. M. *Foreign Policy Analysis: Classic and Contemporary Theory*. (Rowman & Littlefield Pub, Lanham, 2014).

23.

Neack, L. *The New Foreign Policy: Power Seeking in a Globalized Era*. vol. *New millennium books in international studies* (Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Lanham, Md, 2008).

24.

Garrison, J. A. *Foreign Policymaking and Group Dynamics: Where We've Been and Where We're Going*. *International Studies Review* **5**, 155–202 (2003).

25.

Clarke, M. & White, B. *Understanding Foreign Policy: The Foreign Policy Systems Approach*. (Elgar, Aldershot, 1989).

26.

Graham T. Allison and Morton H. Halperin. *Bureaucratic Politics: A Paradigm and Some Policy Implications*. *World Politics* **24**, 40–79 (1972).

27.

Hocking, B. *Adaptation and the foreign policy bureaucracy: The experience of federal states*. *Diplomacy & Statecraft* **5**, 47–72 (1994).

28.

Lai, H. & Kang, S.-J. *Domestic Bureaucratic Politics and Chinese Foreign Policy*. *Journal of Contemporary China* **23**, 294–313 (2014).

29.

Badie, D. *Groupthink, Iraq, and the War on Terror: Explaining US Policy Shift toward Iraq*.

Foreign Policy Analysis **6**, 277–296 (2010).

30.

GARRISON, J. Constructing the 'National Interest' in U.S.?China Policy Making: How Foreign Policy Decision Groups Define and Signal Policy Choices. *Foreign Policy Analysis* **3**, 105–126 (2007).

31.

Kaarbo, J. & Beasley, R. K. Taking It to the Extreme: The Effect of Coalition Cabinets on Foreign Policy. *Foreign Policy Analysis* **4**, 67–81 (2008).

32.

Marsh, K. Obama's Surge: A Bureaucratic Politics Analysis of the Decision to Order a Troop Surge in the Afghanistan War. *Foreign Policy Analysis* **10**, 265–288 (2014).

33.

Hill, C. *The Changing Politics of Foreign Policy*. (Palgrave MacMillan, Basingstoke, 2003).

34.

Hudson, V. M. *Foreign Policy Analysis: Classic and Contemporary Theory*. (Rowman & Littlefield Pub, Lanham, 2014).

35.

Alden, C. *Foreign Policy Analysis: New Approaches*. (Routledge, London, 2012).

36.

Hadfield, A. *British Foreign Policy, National Identity, and Neoclassical Realism*. (Rowman & Littlefield, Lanham, MD, 2010).

37.

Mattes, M. & Rodríguez, M. Autocracies and International Cooperation. *International Studies Quarterly* **58**, 527–538 (2014).

38.

Rosenau, J. N. *Domestic Sources of Foreign Policy*. (Free Press, New York, 1967).

39.

Neack, L. *The New Foreign Policy: Power Seeking in a Globalized Era*. vol. *New millennium books in international studies* (Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Lanham, Md, 2008).

40.

Reiter, D. Political structure and foreign policy learning: Are democracies more likely to act on the lessons of history? *International Interactions* **21**, 39–62 (1995).

41.

Ungerer, J. L. Assessing the Progress of the Democratic Peace Research Program. *International Studies Review* **14**, 1–31 (2012).

42.

CHAN, S. & SAFRAN, W. Public Opinion as a Constraint against War: Democracies' Responses to Operation Iraqi Freedom. *Foreign Policy Analysis* **2**, 137–156 (2006).

43.

Bruce Bueno de Mesquita, Randolph M. Siverson and Gary Woller. War and the Fate of Regimes: A Comparative Analysis. *The American Political Science Review* **86**, 638–646 (1992).

44.

Waltz, K. N. *Foreign Policy and Democratic Politics: The American and British Experience*.

(Longmans, Harlow, 1968).

45.

Lee, S. Canadian values in Canadian foreign policy. *Canadian Foreign Policy Journal* **10**, 1-9 (2002).

46.

Hill, C. *The Changing Politics of Foreign Policy*. (Palgrave MacMillan, Basingstoke, 2003).

47.

Smith, S., Hadfield, A. & Dunne, T. *Foreign Policy: Theories, Actors, Cases*. (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2012).

48.

Neack, L. *The New Foreign Policy: Power Seeking in a Globalized Era*. vol. *New millennium books in international studies* (Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Lanham, Md, 2008).

49.

Alden, C. *Foreign Policy Analysis: New Approaches*. (Routledge, London, 2012).

50.

Nincic, M. & Ramos, J. M. Ideological structure and foreign policy preferences. *Journal of Political Ideologies* **15**, 119-141 (2010).

51.

Thorngate, W. The social psychology of policy analysis. *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis: Research and Practice* **3**, 85-112 (2001).

52.

Lebow, R. N. Psychological dimensions of post-Cold War foreign policy. *Israel Affairs* **2**, 46–56 (1996).

53.

Schafer, M. Science, Empiricism, and Tolerance in the Study of Foreign Policymaking. *International Studies Review* **5**, 155–202 (2003).

54.

Jack S. Levy. Learning and Foreign Policy: Sweeping a Conceptual Minefield. *International Organization* **48**, 279–312 (1994).

55.

Goldsmith, B. Imitation in International Relations: Analogies, Vicarious Learning, and Foreign Policy. *International Interactions* **29**, 237–267 (2003).

56.

Oppermann, K. & Spencer, A. Thinking Alike? Salience and Metaphor Analysis as Cognitive Approaches to Foreign Policy Analysis. *Foreign Policy Analysis* **9**, 39–56 (2013).

57.

Dolan, T. M. Emotion and Strategic Learning in War. *Foreign Policy Analysis* n/a-n/a (2014) doi:10.1111/fpa.12052.

58.

O'Reilly, K. P. Perceiving Rogue States: The Use of the 'Rogue State' Concept by U.S. Foreign Policy Elites. *Foreign Policy Analysis* **3**, 295–315 (2007).

59.

Hill, C. *The Changing Politics of Foreign Policy*. (Palgrave MacMillan, Basingstoke, 2003).

60.

Hudson, V. M. *Foreign Policy Analysis: Classic and Contemporary Theory*. (Rowman & Littlefield Pub, Lanham, 2014).

61.

Langhorne, R. The Diplomacy of Non-State Actors. *Diplomacy & Statecraft* **16**, 331–339 (2005).

62.

Michael A. Cohen and Maria Figueroa K p  . Privatizing Foreign Policy. *World Policy Journal* **22**, 34–52 (2005).

63.

Kim, Y. How NGOs Influence US Foreign Aid Allocations. *Foreign Policy Analysis* n/a-n/a (2014) doi:10.1111/fpa.12064.

64.

McMillan, S. L. Subnational Foreign Policy Actors: How and Why Governors Participate in U.S. Foreign Policy. *Foreign Policy Analysis* **4**, 227–253 (2008).

65.

True, J. & Mintrom, M. Transnational Networks and Policy Diffusion: The Case of Gender Mainstreaming. *International Studies Quarterly* **45**, 27–57 (2001).

66.

Price, R. Reversing the Gun Sights: Transnational Civil Society Targets Land Mines. *International Organization* **52**, 613–644 (1998).

67.

Hoffman, M. E. S. Discretion, Lobbying, and Political Influence in Models of Trade Policy. *The Journal of Policy Reform* **8**, 175–188 (2005).

68.

Warner, C. M. & Walker, S. G. Thinking about the Role of Religion in Foreign Policy: A Framework for Analysis. *Foreign Policy Analysis* **7**, 113–135 (2011).

69.

Joachim, J. Framing Issues and Seizing Opportunities: The UN, NGOs, and Women's Rights. *International Studies Quarterly* **47**, 247–274 (2003).

70.

Frank R. Baumgartner and Bryan D. Jones. Agenda Dynamics and Policy Subsystems. *The Journal of Politics* **53**, 1044–1074 (1991).

71.

Nelson, P. & Dorsey, E. New Rights Advocacy in a Global Public Domain. *European Journal of International Relations* **13**, 187–216 (2007).

72.

Hill, C. *The Changing Politics of Foreign Policy*. (Palgrave MacMillan, Basingstoke, 2003).

73.

Smith, S., Hadfield, A. & Dunne, T. *Foreign Policy: Theories, Actors, Cases*. (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2012).

74.

GILBOA, E. *The CNN Effect: The Search for a Communication Theory of International*

Relations. *Political Communication* **22**, 27-44 (2005).

75.

Robinson, P. The CNN Effect Revisited. *Critical Studies in Media Communication* **22**, 344-349 (2005).

76.

Gilboa, E. Diplomacy in the media age: Three models of uses and effects. *Diplomacy & Statecraft* **12**, 1-28 (2001).

77.

Rosenau, J. N. *Domestic Sources of Foreign Policy*. (Free Press, New York, 1967).

78.

Neack, L. *The New Foreign Policy: Power Seeking in a Globalized Era*. vol. *New millennium books in international studies* (Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Lanham, Md, 2008).

79.

Robinson, P. Theorizing the Influence of Media on World Politics: Models of Media Influence on Foreign Policy. *European Journal of Communication* **16**, 523-544 (2001).

80.

Hjarvard, S. & Kristensen, N. N. When media of a small nation argue for war. *Media, War & Conflict* **7**, 51-69 (2014).

81.

Wang, J. & Wang, X. Media and Chinese Foreign Policy. *Journal of Contemporary China* **23**, 216-235 (2014).

82.

Murray, S. Broadening the Debate about War: The Inclusion of Foreign Critics in Media Coverage and Its Potential Impact on US Public Opinion. *Foreign Policy Analysis* n/a-n/a (2013) doi:10.1111/fpa.12010.

83.

The Relationships Between Mass Media, Public Opinion, and Foreign Policy: Toward a Theoretical Synthesis - *Annual Review of Political Science*, 11(1):39.

84.

Baru, S. The Influence of Business and Media on Indian Foreign Policy. *India Review* **8**, 266-285 (2009).

85.

Hill, C. *The Changing Politics of Foreign Policy*. (Palgrave MacMillan, Basingstoke, 2003).

86.

Smith, S., Hadfield, A. & Dunne, T. *Foreign Policy: Theories, Actors, Cases*. (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2012).

87.

Cable, J. Foreign policy making: Planning or reflex? *Diplomacy & Statecraft* **3**, 357-381 (1992).

88.

Kleiner, J. The Inertia of Diplomacy. *Diplomacy & Statecraft* **19**, 321-349 (2008).

89.

John G. Tower. *Congress versus the President: The Formulation and Implementation of*

American Foreign Policy. *Foreign Affairs* **60**, 229–246 (1981).

90.

Breuning, M. Roles and Realities: When and Why Gatekeepers Fail to Change Foreign Policy. *Foreign Policy Analysis* **9**, 307–325 (2013).

91.

Charles F. Hermann. Changing Course: When Governments Choose to Redirect Foreign Policy. *International Studies Quarterly* **34**, 3–21 (1990).

92.

Hagan, J. D., Everts, P. P., Fukui, H. & Stempel, J. D. Foreign Policy by Coalition: Deadlock, Compromise, and Anarchy. *International Studies Review* **3**, 169–216 (2001).

93.

Saideman, S. M. & Ayres, R. W. Pie Crust Promises and the Sources of Foreign Policy: The Limited Impact of Accession and the Priority of Domestic Constituencies. *Foreign Policy Analysis* **3**, 189–210 (2007).

94.

Foreign Policy Engineering: From Theory to Practice and Back Again. *International Security* **18**, 143–171.

95.

Stern, E. K. Crisis Studies and Foreign Policy Analysis: Insights, Synergies, and Challenges. *International Studies Review* **5**, 155–202 (2003).

96.

T. Knecht and M. S. Weatherford. Public Opinion and Foreign Policy: The Stages of Presidential Decision Making. *International Studies Quarterly* **50**, 705–727 (2006).

97.

Hill, Christopher. The capability-expectations gap, or conceptualizing Europe's international role. *Journal of Common Market Studies* **31**.

98.

Hill, C. *The Changing Politics of Foreign Policy*. (Palgrave MacMillan, Basingstoke, 2003).

99.

Neack, L. *The New Foreign Policy: Power Seeking in a Globalized Era*. vol. New millennium books in international studies (Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Lanham, Md, 2008).

100.

K. J. Holsti. National Role Conceptions in the Study of Foreign Policy. *International Studies Quarterly* **14**, 233-309 (1970).

101.

Hudson, V. M. *Foreign Policy Analysis: Classic and Contemporary Theory*. (Rowman & Littlefield Pub, Lanham, 2014).

102.

Wehner, L. E. & Thies, C. G. Role Theory, Narratives, and Interpretation: The Domestic Contestation of Roles. *International Studies Review* n/a-n/a (2014) doi:10.1111/misr.12149.

103.

Carranza, M. E. Rising Regional Powers and International Relations Theories: Comparing Brazil and India's Foreign Security Policies and Their Search for Great-Power Status. *Foreign Policy Analysis* n/a-n/a (2014) doi:10.1111/fpa.12065.

104.

Jordaan, E. The concept of a middle power in international relations: distinguishing between emerging and traditional middle powers. *Politikon* **30**, 165–181 (2003).

105.

Sussex, M. The impotence of being earnest? Avoiding the pitfalls of 'creative middle power diplomacy'. *Australian Journal of International Affairs* **65**, 545–562 (2011).

106.

Gecelovsky, P. Constructing a middle power: Ideas and Canadian foreign policy. *Canadian Foreign Policy Journal* **15**, 77–93 (2009).

107.

Beeson, M. Can Australia save the world? The limits and possibilities of middle power diplomacy. *Australian Journal of International Affairs* **65**, 563–577 (2011).

108.

Nye, J. S. Power and foreign policy. *Journal of Political Power* **4**, 9–24 (2011).

109.

Status Seekers: Chinese and Russian Responses to U.S. Primacy. *International Security* **34**, 63–95.

110.

Brown, C. Do Great Powers Have Great Responsibilities? Great Powers and Moral Agency. *Global Society* **18**, 5–19 (2004).