

PL2022/2222 Foreign Policy Analysis

View Online



1.

Hill C. Foreign policy in the twenty-first century [Internet]. Second edition. London: Palgrave; 2016. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=4763919>

2.

Hill C. The changing politics of foreign policy. Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan; 2003.

3.

Smith S, Hadfield A, Dunne T. Foreign policy: theories, actors, cases. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2012.

4.

Alden C. Foreign policy analysis: new approaches [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2012. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.myilibrary.com?id=368750>

5.

Neack L. The new foreign policy: power seeking in a globalized era. 2nd ed. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers; 2008.

6.

Rosenau JN. The scientific study of foreign policy. Rev. and enl. ed. London: Pinter; 1980.

7.

Hill C. *The changing politics of foreign policy*. Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan; 2003.

8.

Smith S, Hadfield A, Dunne T. *Foreign policy: theories, actors, cases*. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2012.

9.

Neack L. *The new foreign policy: power seeking in a globalized era*. 2nd ed. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers; 2008.

10.

Hudson VM. *Foreign policy analysis: classic and contemporary theory*. Second edition. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Pub; 2014.

11.

Giacomello G, Ferrari F, Amadori A. With friends like these: foreign policy as personal relationship. *Contemporary Politics*. 2009 June;15(2):247-264.

12.

Hudson VM. Foreign Policy Analysis: Actor-Specific Theory and the Ground of International Relations. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2005 Feb 4;1(1):1-30.

13.

David C. Who was the real George Bush? Foreign policy decision-making under the bush administration. *Diplomacy & Statecraft*. 1996 Mar;7(1):197-220.

14.

Zhang Q. Towards an Integrated Theory of Chinese Foreign Policy: bringing leadership personality back in. *Journal of Contemporary China*. 2014 Sept 3;23(89):902–922.

15.

Görener AŞ, Ucal MŞ. The Personality and Leadership Style of Recep Tayyip Erdoğan: Implications for Turkish Foreign Policy. *Turkish Studies*. 2011 Sept;12(3):357–381.

16.

Rottinghaus B. Presidential Leadership on Foreign Policy, Opinion Polling, and the Possible Limits of "Crafted Talk". *Political Communication*. 2008 Apr 29;25(2):138–157.

17.

Pravda A. Is there a Gorbachev foreign policy? *Journal of Communist Studies*. 1988 Dec;4(4):100–120.

18.

Gallagher ME, Allen SH. Presidential Personality: Not Just a Nuisance. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2014 Jan;10(1):1–21.

19.

DYSON SB. Personality and Foreign Policy: Tony Blair's Iraq Decisions. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2006 July;2(3):289–306.

20.

Hill C. *The changing politics of foreign policy*. Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan; 2003.

21.

Alden C. *Foreign policy analysis: new approaches*. London: Routledge; 2012.

22.

Hudson VM. Foreign policy analysis: classic and contemporary theory. Second edition. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Pub; 2014.

23.

Neack L. The new foreign policy: power seeking in a globalized era. 2nd ed. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers; 2008.

24.

Garrison JA. Foreign Policymaking and Group Dynamics: Where We've Been and Where We're Going. *International Studies Review*. 2003 June;5(2):155–202.

25.

Clarke M, White B. Understanding foreign policy: the foreign policy systems approach. Aldershot: Elgar; 1989.

26.

Graham T. Allison and Morton H. Halperin. Bureaucratic Politics: A Paradigm and Some Policy Implications. *World Politics* [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 1972;24:40–79. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/stable/2010559>

27.

Hocking B. Adaptation and the foreign policy bureaucracy: The experience of federal states. *Diplomacy & Statecraft*. 1994 Mar;5(1):47–72.

28.

Lai H, Kang SJ. Domestic Bureaucratic Politics and Chinese Foreign Policy. *Journal of Contemporary China*. 2014 Mar 4;23(86):294–313.

29.

Badie D. Groupthink, Iraq, and the War on Terror: Explaining US Policy Shift toward Iraq. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2010 Sept 22;6(4):277–296.

30.

GARRISON J. Constructing the 'National Interest' in U.S.?China Policy Making: How Foreign Policy Decision Groups Define and Signal Policy Choices. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2007 Apr;3(2):105–126.

31.

Kaarbo J, Beasley RK. Taking It to the Extreme: The Effect of Coalition Cabinets on Foreign Policy. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2008 Jan;4(1):67–81.

32.

Marsh K. Obama's Surge: A Bureaucratic Politics Analysis of the Decision to Order a Troop Surge in the Afghanistan War. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2014 July;10(3):265–288.

33.

Hill C. *The changing politics of foreign policy*. Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan; 2003.

34.

Hudson VM. *Foreign policy analysis: classic and contemporary theory*. Second edition. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Pub; 2014.

35.

Alden C. *Foreign policy analysis: new approaches*. London: Routledge; 2012.

36.

Hadfield A. *British foreign policy, national identity, and neoclassical realism* [Internet]. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield; 2010. Available from:

<http://site.ebrary.com/lib/leicester/Doc?id=10435057>

37.

Mattes M, Rodríguez M. Autocracies and International Cooperation. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2014 Sept;58(3):527-538.

38.

Rosenau JN. *Domestic sources of foreign policy*. New York: Free Press; 1967.

39.

Neack L. *The new foreign policy: power seeking in a globalized era*. 2nd ed. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers; 2008.

40.

Reiter D. Political structure and foreign policy learning: Are democracies more likely to act on the lessons of history? *International Interactions*. 1995 Feb;21(1):39-62.

41.

Ungerer JL. Assessing the Progress of the Democratic Peace Research Program. *International Studies Review*. 2012 Mar;14(1):1-31.

42.

CHAN S, SAFRAN W. Public Opinion as a Constraint against War: Democracies' Responses to Operation Iraqi Freedom. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2006 Apr;2(2):137-156.

43.

Bruce Bueno de Mesquita, Randolph M. Siverson and Gary Woller. War and the Fate of Regimes: A Comparative Analysis. *The American Political Science Review* [Internet]. American Political Science Association; 1992;86(3):638-646. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/stable/1964127>

44.

Waltz KN. Foreign policy and democratic politics: the American and British experience. Harlow: Longmans; 1968.

45.

Lee S. Canadian values in Canadian foreign policy. Canadian Foreign Policy Journal. 2002 Jan;10(1):1-9.

46.

Hill C. The changing politics of foreign policy. Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan; 2003.

47.

Smith S, Hadfield A, Dunne T. Foreign policy: theories, actors, cases. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2012.

48.

Neack L. The new foreign policy: power seeking in a globalized era. 2nd ed. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers; 2008.

49.

Alden C. Foreign policy analysis: new approaches. London: Routledge; 2012.

50.

Nincic M, Ramos JM. Ideological structure and foreign policy preferences. Journal of Political Ideologies. 2010 June;15(2):119-141.

51.

Thorngate W. The social psychology of policy analysis. Journal of Comparative Policy

Analysis: Research and Practice. 2001 June;3(1):85-112.

52.

Lebow RN. Psychological dimensions of post-Cold War foreign policy. *Israel Affairs*. 1996 Mar;2(3-4):46-56.

53.

Schafer M. Science, Empiricism, and Tolerance in the Study of Foreign Policymaking. *International Studies Review*. 2003 June;5(2):155-202.

54.

Jack S. Levy. Learning and Foreign Policy: Sweeping a Conceptual Minefield. *International Organization* [Internet]. The MIT Press; 1994;48(2):279-312. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/stable/2706933>

55.

Goldsmith B. Imitation in International Relations: Analogies, Vicarious Learning, and Foreign Policy. *International Interactions*. 2003 July;29(3):237-267.

56.

Oppermann K, Spencer A. Thinking Alike? Salience and Metaphor Analysis as Cognitive Approaches to Foreign Policy Analysis. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2013 Jan;9(1):39-56.

57.

Dolan TM. Emotion and Strategic Learning in War. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2014 Aug;n/a-n/a.

58.

O'Reilly KP. Perceiving Rogue States: The Use of the 'Rogue State' Concept by U.S. Foreign Policy Elites. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2007 Oct;3(4):295-315.

59.

Hill C. The changing politics of foreign policy. Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan; 2003.

60.

Hudson VM. Foreign policy analysis: classic and contemporary theory. Second edition. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Pub; 2014.

61.

Langhorne R. The Diplomacy of Non-State Actors. *Diplomacy & Statecraft*. 2005 June;16(2):331-339.

62.

Michael A. Cohen and Maria Figueroa Küpçü. Privatizing Foreign Policy. *World Policy Journal* [Internet]. Sage Publications, Inc.; 2005;22(3):34-52. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy4.lib.le.ac.uk/stable/10.2307/40209975?origin=api>

63.

Kim Y. How NGOs Influence US Foreign Aid Allocations. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2014 Aug;n/a-n/a.

64.

McMillan SL. Subnational Foreign Policy Actors: How and Why Governors Participate in U.S. Foreign Policy. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2008 July;4(3):227-253.

65.

True J, Mintrom M. Transnational Networks and Policy Diffusion: The Case of Gender Mainstreaming. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2001 Mar;45(1):27-57.

66.

Price R. Reversing the Gun Sights: Transnational Civil Society Targets Land Mines. *International Organization*. 1998 June;52(03):613–644.

67.

Hoffman MES. Discretion, Lobbying, and Political Influence in Models of Trade Policy. *The Journal of Policy Reform*. 2005 Sept;8(3):175–188.

68.

Warner CM, Walker SG. Thinking about the Role of Religion in Foreign Policy: A Framework for Analysis. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2011 Jan;7(1):113–135.

69.

Joachim J. Framing Issues and Seizing Opportunities: The UN, NGOs, and Women's Rights. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2003 June;47(2):247–274.

70.

Frank R. Baumgartner and Bryan D. Jones. Agenda Dynamics and Policy Subsystems. *The Journal of Politics* [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 1991;53(4):1044–1074. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/stable/2131866>

71.

Nelson P, Dorsey E. New Rights Advocacy in a Global Public Domain. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2007 June 1;13(2):187–216.

72.

Hill C. *The changing politics of foreign policy*. Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan; 2003.

73.

Smith S, Hadfield A, Dunne T. *Foreign policy: theories, actors, cases*. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2012.

74.

GILBOA E. The CNN Effect: The Search for a Communication Theory of International Relations. *Political Communication*. 2005 Feb 16;22(1):27-44.

75.

Robinson P. The CNN Effect Revisited. *Critical Studies in Media Communication*. 2005 Oct;22(4):344-349.

76.

Gilboa E. Diplomacy in the media age: Three models of uses and effects. *Diplomacy & Statecraft*. 2001 June;12(2):1-28.

77.

Rosenau JN. *Domestic sources of foreign policy*. New York: Free Press; 1967.

78.

Neack L. *The new foreign policy: power seeking in a globalized era*. 2nd ed. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers; 2008.

79.

Robinson P. Theorizing the Influence of Media on World Politics: Models of Media Influence on Foreign Policy. *European Journal of Communication*. 2001 Dec 1;16(4):523-544.

80.

Hjarvard S, Kristensen NN. When media of a small nation argue for war. *Media, War & Conflict*. 2014 Apr 1;7(1):51-69.

81.

Wang J, Wang X. Media and Chinese Foreign Policy. *Journal of Contemporary China*. 2014 Mar 4;23(86):216–235.

82.

Murray S. Broadening the Debate about War: The Inclusion of Foreign Critics in Media Coverage and Its Potential Impact on US Public Opinion. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2013 Apr;n/a-n/a.

83.

The Relationships Between Mass Media, Public Opinion, and Foreign Policy: Toward a Theoretical Synthesis - *Annual Review of Political Science*, 11(1):39. Available from: <http://www.annualreviews.org.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/doi/abs/10.1146/annurev.polisci.11.060406.214132>

84.

Baru S. The Influence of Business and Media on Indian Foreign Policy. *India Review*. 2009 Aug 13;8(3):266–285.

85.

Hill C. *The changing politics of foreign policy*. Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan; 2003.

86.

Smith S, Hadfield A, Dunne T. *Foreign policy: theories, actors, cases*. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2012.

87.

Cable J. Foreign policy making: Planning or reflex? *Diplomacy & Statecraft*. 1992 Nov;3(3):357–381.

88.

Kleiner J. The Inertia of Diplomacy. *Diplomacy & Statecraft*. 2008 June 13;19(2):321–349.

89.

John G. Tower. Congress versus the President: The Formulation and Implementation of American Foreign Policy. *Foreign Affairs* [Internet]. Council on Foreign Relations; 1981;60(2):229-246. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/stable/10.2307/20041078?Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=ongress&searchText=versus&searchText=the&searchText=Pre+ident:&searchText=The&searchText=Formulation&searchText=and&searchText=Implem+entation&searchText=of&searchText=American&searchText=Foreign&searchText=Policy&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3Dongress%2Bversus%2Bthe%2BPre+ident%253A%2BThe%2BFormulation%2Band%2BImplementation%2Bof%2BAmerican%2BForeign%2BPolicy%26amp%3Bfilter%3Djid%253A10.2307%252Fj50000070%26amp%3BSe+arch%3DSearch%26amp%3Bwc%3Don%26amp%3Bfc%3Doff%26amp%3BglobalSearch%3D%26amp%3Bsbbox%3D%26amp%3BsbjBox%3D%26amp%3BsbpBox%3D>

90.

Breuning M. Roles and Realities: When and Why Gatekeepers Fail to Change Foreign Policy. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2013 July;9(3):307-325.

91.

Charles F. Hermann. Changing Course: When Governments Choose to Redirect Foreign Policy. *International Studies Quarterly* [Internet]. Wiley; 1990;34(1):3-21. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/stable/10.2307/2600403?Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=Changing&searchText=Course:&searchText=When&searchText=Governments&searchText=Choose&searchText=to&searchText=Redirect&searchText=Fo+reign&searchText=Policy&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3DChangin+g%2BCourse%253A%2BWhen%2BGovernments%2BChoose%2Bto%2BRedirect%2BForeign%2BPolicy%26amp%3Bfilter%3Djid%253A10.2307%252Fj100193%26amp%3BSearch%3D+Search%26amp%3Bwc%3Don%26amp%3Bfc%3Doff%26amp%3BglobalSearch%3D%26amp%3Bsbbox%3D%26amp%3BsbjBox%3D%26amp%3BsbpBox%3D>

92.

Hagan JD, Everts PP, Fukui H, Stempel JD. Foreign Policy by Coalition: Deadlock, Compromise, and Anarchy. *International Studies Review*. 2001 Sept;3(2):169-216.

93.

Saideman SM, Ayres RW. Pie Crust Promises and the Sources of Foreign Policy: The Limited Impact of Accession and the Priority of Domestic Constituencies. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2007 July;3(3):189–210.

94.

Foreign Policy Engineering: From Theory to Practice and Back Again. *International Security* [Internet]. The MIT Press; 18(4):143–171. Available from: http://muse.jhu.edu.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/journals/international_security/summary/v018/18.4.zelikow.html

95.

Stern EK. Crisis Studies and Foreign Policy Analysis: Insights, Synergies, and Challenges. *International Studies Review*. 2003 June;5(2):155–202.

96.

T. Knecht and M. S. Weatherford. Public Opinion and Foreign Policy: The Stages of Presidential Decision Making. *International Studies Quarterly* [Internet]. Wiley; 2006;50(3):705–727. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/stable/10.2307/4092800?Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=Changing&searchText=Course:&searchText=When&searchText=Governments&searchText=Choose&searchText=to&searchText=Redirect&searchText=Foreign&searchText=Policy&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3DChanging%2BCourse%253A%2BWhen%2BGovernments%2BChoose%2Bto%2BRedirect%2BForeign%2BPolicy%26amp%3Bfilter%3Djid%253A10.2307%252Fj100193%26amp%3BSearch%3DSearch%26amp%3Bwc%3Don%26amp%3Bfc%3Doff%26amp%3BglobalSearch%3D%26amp%3BsbBox%3D%26amp%3BsbjBox%3D%26amp%3BsbpBox%3D>

97.

Hill, Christopher. The capability-expectations gap, or conceptualizing Europe's international role. *Journal of Common Market Studies* [Internet]. 31(3). Available from: <http://search.ebscohost.com.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=9408291165&site=ehost-live>

98.

Hill C. *The changing politics of foreign policy*. Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan; 2003.

99.

Neack L. The new foreign policy: power seeking in a globalized era. 2nd ed. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers; 2008.

100.

K. J. Holsti. National Role Conceptions in the Study of Foreign Policy. *International Studies Quarterly* [Internet]. Wiley; 1970;14(3):233–309. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3013584>

101.

Hudson VM. *Foreign policy analysis: classic and contemporary theory*. Second edition. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Pub; 2014.

102.

Wehner LE, Thies CG. Role Theory, Narratives, and Interpretation: The Domestic Contestation of Roles. *International Studies Review*. 2014 Aug;n/a-n/a.

103.

Carranza ME. Rising Regional Powers and International Relations Theories: Comparing Brazil and India's Foreign Security Policies and Their Search for Great-Power Status. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2014 June;n/a-n/a.

104.

Jordaan E. The concept of a middle power in international relations: distinguishing between emerging and traditional middle powers. *Politikon*. 2003 Nov;30(1):165–181.

105.

Sussex M. The impotence of being earnest? Avoiding the pitfalls of 'creative middle power diplomacy'. *Australian Journal of International Affairs*. 2011 Nov;65(5):545–562.

106.

Gecelovsky P. Constructing a middle power: Ideas and Canadian foreign policy. *Canadian Foreign Policy Journal*. 2009 Jan;15(1):77-93.

107.

Beeson M. Can Australia save the world? The limits and possibilities of middle power diplomacy. *Australian Journal of International Affairs*. 2011 Nov;65(5):563-577.

108.

Nye JS. Power and foreign policy. *Journal of Political Power*. 2011 Apr;4(1):9-24.

109.

Status Seekers: Chinese and Russian Responses to U.S. Primacy. *International Security* [Internet]. The MIT Press; 34(4):63-95. Available from: http://muse.jhu.edu.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/journals/international_security/v034/34.4.larson.html

110.

Brown C. Do Great Powers Have Great Responsibilities? *Great Powers and Moral Agency*. *Global Society*. 2004 Jan;18(1):5-19.