

# PL2022/2222 Foreign Policy Analysis

View Online



---

1

Hill C. Foreign policy in the twenty-first century. Second edition. London: Palgrave 2016.

2

Hill C. The changing politics of foreign policy. Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan 2003.

3

Smith S, Hadfield A, Dunne T. Foreign policy: theories, actors, cases. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press 2012.

4

Alden C. Foreign policy analysis: new approaches. London: Routledge 2012.

5

Neack L. The new foreign policy: power seeking in a globalized era. 2nd ed. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers 2008.

6

Rosenau JN. The scientific study of foreign policy. Rev. and enl. ed. London: Pinter 1980.

7

Hill C. *The changing politics of foreign policy*. Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan 2003.

8

Smith S, Hadfield A, Dunne T. *Foreign policy: theories, actors, cases*. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press 2012.

9

Neack L. *The new foreign policy: power seeking in a globalized era*. 2nd ed. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers 2008.

10

Hudson VM. *Foreign policy analysis: classic and contemporary theory*. Second edition. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Pub 2014.

11

Giacomello G, Ferrari F, Amadori A. With friends like these: foreign policy as personal relationship. *Contemporary Politics*. 2009;15:247-64. doi: 10.1080/13569770902858202

12

Hudson VM. Foreign Policy Analysis: Actor-Specific Theory and the Ground of International Relations. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2005;1:1-30. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-8594.2005.00001.x

13

David C. Who was the real George Bush? Foreign policy decision-making under the bush administration. *Diplomacy & Statecraft*. 1996;7:197-220. doi: 10.1080/09592299608406000

14

Zhang Q. Towards an Integrated Theory of Chinese Foreign Policy: bringing leadership personality back in. *Journal of Contemporary China*. 2014;23:902–22. doi: 10.1080/10670564.2014.882566

15

Görener AŞ, Ucal MŞ. The Personality and Leadership Style of Recep Tayyip Erdoğan: Implications for Turkish Foreign Policy. *Turkish Studies*. 2011;12:357–81. doi: 10.1080/14683849.2011.604216

16

Rottinghaus B. Presidential Leadership on Foreign Policy, Opinion Polling, and the Possible Limits of "Crafted Talk". *Political Communication*. 2008;25:138–57. doi: 10.1080/10584600801985334

17

Pravda A. Is there a Gorbachev foreign policy? *Journal of Communist Studies*. 1988;4:100–20. doi: 10.1080/13523278808414940

18

Gallagher ME, Allen SH. Presidential Personality: Not Just a Nuisance. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2014;10:1–21. doi: 10.1111/fpa.12006

19

DYSON SB. Personality and Foreign Policy: Tony Blair's Iraq Decisions. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2006;2:289–306. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-8594.2006.00031.x

20

Hill C. *The changing politics of foreign policy*. Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan 2003.

21

Alden C. *Foreign policy analysis: new approaches*. London: Routledge 2012.

22

Hudson VM. Foreign policy analysis: classic and contemporary theory. Second edition. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Pub 2014.

23

Neack L. The new foreign policy: power seeking in a globalized era. 2nd ed. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers 2008.

24

Garrison JA. Foreign Policymaking and Group Dynamics: Where We've Been and Where We're Going. *International Studies Review*. 2003;5:155–202. doi: 10.1111/1521-9488.5020015

25

Clarke M, White B. Understanding foreign policy: the foreign policy systems approach. Aldershot: Elgar 1989.

26

Graham T. Allison and Morton H. Halperin. Bureaucratic Politics: A Paradigm and Some Policy Implications. *World Politics*. 1972;24:40–79.

27

Hocking B. Adaptation and the foreign policy bureaucracy: The experience of federal states. *Diplomacy & Statecraft*. 1994;5:47–72. doi: 10.1080/09592299408405908

28

Lai H, Kang S-J. Domestic Bureaucratic Politics and Chinese Foreign Policy. *Journal of Contemporary China*. 2014;23:294–313. doi: 10.1080/10670564.2013.832531

29

Badie D. Groupthink, Iraq, and the War on Terror: Explaining US Policy Shift toward Iraq. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2010;6:277–96. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-8594.2010.00113.x

30

GARRISON J. Constructing the 'National Interest' in U.S. China Policy Making: How Foreign Policy Decision Groups Define and Signal Policy Choices. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2007;3:105–26. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-8594.2007.00043.x

31

Kaarbo J, Beasley RK. Taking It to the Extreme: The Effect of Coalition Cabinets on Foreign Policy. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2008;4:67–81. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-8594.2007.00058.x

32

Marsh K. Obama's Surge: A Bureaucratic Politics Analysis of the Decision to Order a Troop Surge in the Afghanistan War. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2014;10:265–88. doi: 10.1111/fpa.12000

33

Hill C. *The changing politics of foreign policy*. Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan 2003.

34

Hudson VM. *Foreign policy analysis: classic and contemporary theory*. Second edition. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Pub 2014.

35

Alden C. *Foreign policy analysis: new approaches*. London: Routledge 2012.

36

Hadfield A. *British foreign policy, national identity, and neoclassical realism*. Lanham, MD:

Rowman & Littlefield 2010.

37

Mattes M, Rodríguez M. Autocracies and International Cooperation. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2014;58:527–38. doi: 10.1111/isqu.12107

38

Rosenau JN. *Domestic sources of foreign policy*. New York: Free Press 1967.

39

Neack L. *The new foreign policy: power seeking in a globalized era*. 2nd ed. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers 2008.

40

Reiter D. Political structure and foreign policy learning: Are democracies more likely to act on the lessons of history? *International Interactions*. 1995;21:39–62.

41

Ungerer JL. Assessing the Progress of the Democratic Peace Research Program. *International Studies Review*. 2012;14:1–31. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-2486.2012.01103.x

42

CHAN S, SAFRAN W. Public Opinion as a Constraint against War: Democracies' Responses to Operation Iraqi Freedom. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2006;2:137–56. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-8594.2006.00024.x

43

Bruce Bueno de Mesquita, Randolph M. Siverson and Gary Woller. War and the Fate of Regimes: A Comparative Analysis. *The American Political Science Review*. 1992;86:638–46.

44

Waltz KN. Foreign policy and democratic politics: the American and British experience. Harlow: Longmans 1968.

45

Lee S. Canadian values in Canadian foreign policy. *Canadian Foreign Policy Journal*. 2002;10:1-9. doi: 10.1080/11926422.2002.9673303

46

Hill C. The changing politics of foreign policy. Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan 2003.

47

Smith S, Hadfield A, Dunne T. Foreign policy: theories, actors, cases. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press 2012.

48

Neack L. The new foreign policy: power seeking in a globalized era. 2nd ed. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers 2008.

49

Alden C. Foreign policy analysis: new approaches. London: Routledge 2012.

50

Nincic M, Ramos JM. Ideological structure and foreign policy preferences. *Journal of Political Ideologies*. 2010;15:119-41. doi: 10.1080/13569317.2010.482368

51

Thorngate W. The social psychology of policy analysis. *Journal of Comparative Policy*

Analysis: Research and Practice. 2001;3:85–112. doi: 10.1080/13876980108412655

52

Lebow RN. Psychological dimensions of post-Cold War foreign policy. *Israel Affairs*. 1996;2:46–56. doi: 10.1080/13537129608719392

53

Schafer M. Science, Empiricism, and Tolerance in the Study of Foreign Policymaking. *International Studies Review*. 2003;5:155–202. doi: 10.1111/1521-9488.5020014

54

Jack S. Levy. Learning and Foreign Policy: Sweeping a Conceptual Minefield. *International Organization*. 1994;48:279–312.

55

Goldsmith B. Imitation in International Relations: Analogies, Vicarious Learning, and Foreign Policy. *International Interactions*. 2003;29:237–67. doi: 10.1080/03050620304593

56

Oppermann K, Spencer A. Thinking Alike? Salience and Metaphor Analysis as Cognitive Approaches to Foreign Policy Analysis. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2013;9:39–56. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-8594.2011.00167.x

57

Dolan TM. Emotion and Strategic Learning in War. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2014;n/a-n/a. doi: 10.1111/fpa.12052

58

O'Reilly KP. Perceiving Rogue States: The Use of the 'Rogue State' Concept by U.S. Foreign Policy Elites. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2007;3:295–315. doi:

10.1111/j.1743-8594.2007.00052.x

59

Hill C. The changing politics of foreign policy. Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan 2003.

60

Hudson VM. Foreign policy analysis: classic and contemporary theory. Second edition. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Pub 2014.

61

Langhorne R. The Diplomacy of Non-State Actors. *Diplomacy & Statecraft*. 2005;16:331-9. doi: 10.1080/09592290590948388

62

Michael A. Cohen and Maria Figueroa K p c . Privatizing Foreign Policy. *World Policy Journal* . 2005;22:34-52.

63

Kim Y. How NGOs Influence US Foreign Aid Allocations. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2014;n/a-n/a. doi: 10.1111/fpa.12064

64

McMillan SL. Subnational Foreign Policy Actors: How and Why Governors Participate in U.S. Foreign Policy. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2008;4:227-53. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-8594.2008.00068.x

65

True J, Mintrom M. Transnational Networks and Policy Diffusion: The Case of Gender Mainstreaming. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2001;45:27-57. doi: 10.1111/0020-8833.00181

66

Price R. Reversing the Gun Sights: Transnational Civil Society Targets Land Mines. *International Organization*. 1998;52:613-44. doi: 10.1162/002081898550671

67

Hoffman MES. Discretion, Lobbying, and Political Influence in Models of Trade Policy. *The Journal of Policy Reform*. 2005;8:175-88. doi: 10.1080/13841280500181650

68

Warner CM, Walker SG. Thinking about the Role of Religion in Foreign Policy: A Framework for Analysis. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2011;7:113-35. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-8594.2010.00125.x

69

Joachim J. Framing Issues and Seizing Opportunities: The UN, NGOs, and Women's Rights. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2003;47:247-74. doi: 10.1111/1468-2478.4702005

70

Frank R. Baumgartner and Bryan D. Jones. Agenda Dynamics and Policy Subsystems. *The Journal of Politics*. 1991;53:1044-74.

71

Nelson P, Dorsey E. New Rights Advocacy in a Global Public Domain. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2007;13:187-216. doi: 10.1177/1354066107076953

72

Hill C. *The changing politics of foreign policy*. Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan 2003.

73

Smith S, Hadfield A, Dunne T. Foreign policy: theories, actors, cases. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press 2012.

74

GILBOA E. The CNN Effect: The Search for a Communication Theory of International Relations. *Political Communication*. 2005;22:27-44. doi: 10.1080/10584600590908429

75

Robinson P. The CNN Effect Revisited. *Critical Studies in Media Communication*. 2005;22:344-9. doi: 10.1080/07393180500288519

76

Gilboa E. Diplomacy in the media age: Three models of uses and effects. *Diplomacy & Statecraft*. 2001;12:1-28. doi: 10.1080/09592290108406201

77

Rosenau JN. *Domestic sources of foreign policy*. New York: Free Press 1967.

78

Neack L. *The new foreign policy: power seeking in a globalized era*. 2nd ed. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers 2008.

79

Robinson P. Theorizing the Influence of Media on World Politics: Models of Media Influence on Foreign Policy. *European Journal of Communication*. 2001;16:523-44. doi: 10.1177/0267323101016004005

80

Hjarvard S, Kristensen NN. When media of a small nation argue for war. *Media, War & Conflict*. 2014;7:51-69. doi: 10.1177/1750635213516560

81

Wang J, Wang X. Media and Chinese Foreign Policy. *Journal of Contemporary China*. 2014;23:216–35. doi: 10.1080/10670564.2013.832523

82

Murray S. Broadening the Debate about War: The Inclusion of Foreign Critics in Media Coverage and Its Potential Impact on US Public Opinion. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2013;n/a-n/a. doi: 10.1111/fpa.12010

83

The Relationships Between Mass Media, Public Opinion, and Foreign Policy: Toward a Theoretical Synthesis - *Annual Review of Political Science*, 11(1):39.

84

Baru S. The Influence of Business and Media on Indian Foreign Policy. *India Review*. 2009;8:266–85. doi: 10.1080/14736480903116792

85

Hill C. *The changing politics of foreign policy*. Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan 2003.

86

Smith S, Hadfield A, Dunne T. *Foreign policy: theories, actors, cases*. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press 2012.

87

Cable J. Foreign policy making: Planning or reflex? *Diplomacy & Statecraft*. 1992;3:357–81. doi: 10.1080/09592299208405861

88

Kleiner J. The Inertia of Diplomacy. *Diplomacy & Statecraft*. 2008;19:321–49. doi: 10.1080/09592290802096380

89

John G. Tower. Congress versus the President: The Formulation and Implementation of American Foreign Policy. *Foreign Affairs*. 1981;60:229–46.

90

Breuning M. Roles and Realities: When and Why Gatekeepers Fail to Change Foreign Policy. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2013;9:307–25. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-8594.2012.00178.x

91

Charles F. Hermann. Changing Course: When Governments Choose to Redirect Foreign Policy. *International Studies Quarterly*. 1990;34:3–21.

92

Hagan JD, Everts PP, Fukui H, et al. Foreign Policy by Coalition: Deadlock, Compromise, and Anarchy. *International Studies Review*. 2001;3:169–216. doi: 10.1111/1521-9488.00237

93

Saideman SM, Ayres RW. Pie Crust Promises and the Sources of Foreign Policy: The Limited Impact of Accession and the Priority of Domestic Constituencies. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2007;3:189–210. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-8594.2007.00047.x

94

Foreign Policy Engineering: From Theory to Practice and Back Again. *International Security*. ;18:143–71.

95

Stern EK. *Crisis Studies and Foreign Policy Analysis: Insights, Synergies, and Challenges*.

International Studies Review. 2003;5:155–202. doi: 10.1111/1521-9488.5020016

96

T. Knecht and M. S. Weatherford. Public Opinion and Foreign Policy: The Stages of Presidential Decision Making. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2006;50:705–27.

97

Hill, Christopher. The capability-expectations gap, or conceptualizing Europe's international role. *Journal of Common Market Studies*. ;31.

98

Hill C. *The changing politics of foreign policy*. Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan 2003.

99

Neack L. *The new foreign policy: power seeking in a globalized era*. 2nd ed. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers 2008.

100

K. J. Holsti. National Role Conceptions in the Study of Foreign Policy. *International Studies Quarterly*. 1970;14:233–309.

101

Hudson VM. *Foreign policy analysis: classic and contemporary theory*. Second edition. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Pub 2014.

102

Wehner LE, Thies CG. Role Theory, Narratives, and Interpretation: The Domestic Contestation of Roles. *International Studies Review*. 2014;n/a-n/a. doi: 10.1111/misr.12149

103

Carranza ME. Rising Regional Powers and International Relations Theories: Comparing Brazil and India's Foreign Security Policies and Their Search for Great-Power Status. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2014;n/a-n/a. doi: 10.1111/fpa.12065

104

Jordaan E. The concept of a middle power in international relations: distinguishing between emerging and traditional middle powers. *Politikon*. 2003;30:165-81. doi: 10.1080/0258934032000147282

105

Sussex M. The impotence of being earnest? Avoiding the pitfalls of 'creative middle power diplomacy'. *Australian Journal of International Affairs*. 2011;65:545-62. doi: 10.1080/10357718.2011.610436

106

Gecelovsky P. Constructing a middle power: Ideas and Canadian foreign policy. *Canadian Foreign Policy Journal*. 2009;15:77-93. doi: 10.1080/11926422.2009.9673483

107

Beeson M. Can Australia save the world? The limits and possibilities of middle power diplomacy. *Australian Journal of International Affairs*. 2011;65:563-77. doi: 10.1080/10357718.2011.607149

108

Nye JS. Power and foreign policy. *Journal of Political Power*. 2011;4:9-24. doi: 10.1080/2158379X.2011.555960

109

Status Seekers: Chinese and Russian Responses to U.S. Primacy. *International Security*. ;34:63-95.

110

Brown C. Do Great Powers Have Great Responsibilities? Great Powers and Moral Agency. *Global Society*. 2004;18:5-19. doi: 10.1080/1360082032000173545