

PL2022/2222 Foreign Policy Analysis

View Online



1.

Hill C. Foreign Policy in the Twenty-First Century. Second edition. Palgrave; 2016.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=4763919>

2.

Hill C. The Changing Politics of Foreign Policy. Palgrave MacMillan; 2003.

3.

Smith S, Hadfield A, Dunne T. Foreign Policy: Theories, Actors, Cases. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2012.

4.

Alden C. Foreign Policy Analysis: New Approaches. Routledge; 2012.
<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.mylibrary.com?id=368750>

5.

Neack L. The New Foreign Policy: Power Seeking in a Globalized Era. Vol New millennium books in international studies. 2nd ed. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers; 2008.

6.

Rosenau JN. The Scientific Study of Foreign Policy. Rev. and enl. ed. Pinter; 1980.

7.

Hill C. *The Changing Politics of Foreign Policy*. Palgrave MacMillan; 2003.

8.

Smith S, Hadfield A, Dunne T. *Foreign Policy: Theories, Actors, Cases*. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2012.

9.

Neack L. *The New Foreign Policy: Power Seeking in a Globalized Era*. Vol New millennium books in international studies. 2nd ed. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers; 2008.

10.

Hudson VM. *Foreign Policy Analysis: Classic and Contemporary Theory*. Second edition. Rowman & Littlefield Pub; 2014.

11.

Giacomello G, Ferrari F, Amadori A. With friends like these: foreign policy as personal relationship. *Contemporary Politics*. 2009;15(2):247-264.
doi:10.1080/13569770902858202

12.

Hudson VM. *Foreign Policy Analysis: Actor-Specific Theory and the Ground of International Relations*. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2005;1(1):1-30. doi:10.1111/j.1743-8594.2005.00001.x

13.

David C. Who was the real George Bush? Foreign policy decision-making under the bush administration. *Diplomacy & Statecraft*. 1996;7(1):197-220.
doi:10.1080/09592299608406000

14.

Zhang Q. Towards an Integrated Theory of Chinese Foreign Policy: bringing leadership personality back in. *Journal of Contemporary China*. 2014;23(89):902-922. doi:10.1080/10670564.2014.882566

15.

Görener AŞ, Ucal MŞ. The Personality and Leadership Style of Recep Tayyip Erdoğan: Implications for Turkish Foreign Policy. *Turkish Studies*. 2011;12(3):357-381. doi:10.1080/14683849.2011.604216

16.

Rottinghaus B. Presidential Leadership on Foreign Policy, Opinion Polling, and the Possible Limits of "Crafted Talk". *Political Communication*. 2008;25(2):138-157. doi:10.1080/10584600801985334

17.

Pravda A. Is there a Gorbachev foreign policy? *Journal of Communist Studies*. 1988;4(4):100-120. doi:10.1080/13523278808414940

18.

Gallagher ME, Allen SH. Presidential Personality: Not Just a Nuisance. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2014;10(1):1-21. doi:10.1111/fpa.12006

19.

DYSON SB. Personality and Foreign Policy: Tony Blair's Iraq Decisions. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2006;2(3):289-306. doi:10.1111/j.1743-8594.2006.00031.x

20.

Hill C. *The Changing Politics of Foreign Policy*. Palgrave MacMillan; 2003.

21.

Alden C. *Foreign Policy Analysis: New Approaches*. Routledge; 2012.

22.

Hudson VM. *Foreign Policy Analysis: Classic and Contemporary Theory*. Second edition. Rowman & Littlefield Pub; 2014.

23.

Neack L. *The New Foreign Policy: Power Seeking in a Globalized Era*. Vol New millennium books in international studies. 2nd ed. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers; 2008.

24.

Garrison JA. Foreign Policymaking and Group Dynamics: Where We've Been and Where We're Going. *International Studies Review*. 2003;5(2):155-202. doi:10.1111/1521-9488.5020015

25.

Clarke M, White B. *Understanding Foreign Policy: The Foreign Policy Systems Approach*. Elgar; 1989.

26.

Graham T. Allison and Morton H. Halperin. Bureaucratic Politics: A Paradigm and Some Policy Implications. *World Politics*. 1972;24:40-79. <http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/stable/2010559>

27.

Hocking B. Adaptation and the foreign policy bureaucracy: The experience of federal states. *Diplomacy & Statecraft*. 1994;5(1):47-72. doi:10.1080/09592299408405908

28.

Lai H, Kang SJ. Domestic Bureaucratic Politics and Chinese Foreign Policy. *Journal of Contemporary China*. 2014;23(86):294-313. doi:10.1080/10670564.2013.832531

29.

Badie D. Groupthink, Iraq, and the War on Terror: Explaining US Policy Shift toward Iraq. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2010;6(4):277-296. doi:10.1111/j.1743-8594.2010.00113.x

30.

GARRISON J. Constructing the 'National Interest' in U.S.?China Policy Making: How Foreign Policy Decision Groups Define and Signal Policy Choices. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2007;3(2):105-126. doi:10.1111/j.1743-8594.2007.00043.x

31.

Kaarbo J, Beasley RK. Taking It to the Extreme: The Effect of Coalition Cabinets on Foreign Policy. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2008;4(1):67-81. doi:10.1111/j.1743-8594.2007.00058.x

32.

Marsh K. Obama's Surge: A Bureaucratic Politics Analysis of the Decision to Order a Troop Surge in the Afghanistan War. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2014;10(3):265-288. doi:10.1111/fpa.12000

33.

Hill C. *The Changing Politics of Foreign Policy*. Palgrave MacMillan; 2003.

34.

Hudson VM. *Foreign Policy Analysis: Classic and Contemporary Theory*. Second edition. Rowman & Littlefield Pub; 2014.

35.

Alden C. *Foreign Policy Analysis: New Approaches*. Routledge; 2012.

36.

Hadfield A. *British Foreign Policy, National Identity, and Neoclassical Realism*. Rowman & Littlefield; 2010. <http://site.ebrary.com/lib/leicester/Doc?id=10435057>

37.

Mattes M, Rodríguez M. Autocracies and International Cooperation. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2014;58(3):527-538. doi:10.1111/isqu.12107

38.

Rosenau JN. *Domestic Sources of Foreign Policy*. Free Press; 1967.

39.

Neack L. *The New Foreign Policy: Power Seeking in a Globalized Era*. Vol New millennium books in international studies. 2nd ed. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers; 2008.

40.

Reiter D. Political structure and foreign policy learning: Are democracies more likely to act on the lessons of history? *International Interactions*. 1995;21(1):39-62.

41.

Ungerer JL. Assessing the Progress of the Democratic Peace Research Program. *International Studies Review*. 2012;14(1):1-31. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2486.2012.01103.x

42.

CHAN S, SAFRAN W. Public Opinion as a Constraint against War: Democracies' Responses to Operation Iraqi Freedom. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2006;2(2):137-156. doi:10.1111/j.1743-8594.2006.00024.x

43.

Bruce Bueno de Mesquita, Randolph M. Siverson and Gary Woller. War and the Fate of Regimes: A Comparative Analysis. *The American Political Science Review*. 1992;86(3):638-646. <http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/stable/1964127>

44.

Waltz KN. Foreign Policy and Democratic Politics: The American and British Experience. Longmans; 1968.

45.

Lee S. Canadian values in Canadian foreign policy. Canadian Foreign Policy Journal. 2002;10(1):1-9. doi:10.1080/11926422.2002.9673303

46.

Hill C. The Changing Politics of Foreign Policy. Palgrave MacMillan; 2003.

47.

Smith S, Hadfield A, Dunne T. Foreign Policy: Theories, Actors, Cases. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2012.

48.

Neack L. The New Foreign Policy: Power Seeking in a Globalized Era. Vol New millennium books in international studies. 2nd ed. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers; 2008.

49.

Alden C. Foreign Policy Analysis: New Approaches. Routledge; 2012.

50.

Nincic M, Ramos JM. Ideological structure and foreign policy preferences. Journal of Political Ideologies. 2010;15(2):119-141. doi:10.1080/13569317.2010.482368

51.

Thorngate W. The social psychology of policy analysis. Journal of Comparative Policy

Analysis: Research and Practice. 2001;3(1):85-112. doi:10.1080/13876980108412655

52.

Lebow RN. Psychological dimensions of post-Cold War foreign policy. *Israel Affairs*. 1996;2(3-4):46-56. doi:10.1080/13537129608719392

53.

Schafer M. Science, Empiricism, and Tolerance in the Study of Foreign Policymaking. *International Studies Review*. 2003;5(2):155-202. doi:10.1111/1521-9488.5020014

54.

Jack S. Levy. Learning and Foreign Policy: Sweeping a Conceptual Minefield. *International Organization*. 1994;48(2):279-312.
<http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/stable/2706933>

55.

Goldsmith B. Imitation in International Relations: Analogies, Vicarious Learning, and Foreign Policy. *International Interactions*. 2003;29(3):237-267.
doi:10.1080/03050620304593

56.

Oppermann K, Spencer A. Thinking Alike? Salience and Metaphor Analysis as Cognitive Approaches to Foreign Policy Analysis. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2013;9(1):39-56.
doi:10.1111/j.1743-8594.2011.00167.x

57.

Dolan TM. Emotion and Strategic Learning in War. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. Published online August 2014:n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/fpa.12052

58.

O'Reilly KP. Perceiving Rogue States: The Use of the 'Rogue State' Concept by U.S. Foreign

Policy Elites. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2007;3(4):295-315.
doi:10.1111/j.1743-8594.2007.00052.x

59.

Hill C. *The Changing Politics of Foreign Policy*. Palgrave MacMillan; 2003.

60.

Hudson VM. *Foreign Policy Analysis: Classic and Contemporary Theory*. Second edition. Rowman & Littlefield Pub; 2014.

61.

Langhorne R. The Diplomacy of Non-State Actors. *Diplomacy & Statecraft*. 2005;16(2):331-339. doi:10.1080/09592290590948388

62.

Michael A. Cohen and Maria Figueroa Küpçü. Privatizing Foreign Policy. *World Policy Journal* . 2005;22(3):34-52.
<http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy4.lib.le.ac.uk/stable/10.2307/40209975?origin=api>

63.

Kim Y. How NGOs Influence US Foreign Aid Allocations. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. Published online August 2014:n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/fpa.12064

64.

McMillan SL. Subnational Foreign Policy Actors: How and Why Governors Participate in U.S. Foreign Policy. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2008;4(3):227-253.
doi:10.1111/j.1743-8594.2008.00068.x

65.

True J, Mintrom M. Transnational Networks and Policy Diffusion: The Case of Gender Mainstreaming. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2001;45(1):27-57.

doi:10.1111/0020-8833.00181

66.

Price R. Reversing the Gun Sights: Transnational Civil Society Targets Land Mines. *International Organization*. 1998;52(03):613-644. doi:10.1162/002081898550671

67.

Hoffman MES. Discretion, Lobbying, and Political Influence in Models of Trade Policy. *The Journal of Policy Reform*. 2005;8(3):175-188. doi:10.1080/13841280500181650

68.

Warner CM, Walker SG. Thinking about the Role of Religion in Foreign Policy: A Framework for Analysis. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2011;7(1):113-135. doi:10.1111/j.1743-8594.2010.00125.x

69.

Joachim J. Framing Issues and Seizing Opportunities: The UN, NGOs, and Women's Rights. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2003;47(2):247-274. doi:10.1111/1468-2478.4702005

70.

Frank R. Baumgartner and Bryan D. Jones. Agenda Dynamics and Policy Subsystems. *The Journal of Politics*. 1991;53(4):1044-1074. <http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/stable/2131866>

71.

Nelson P, Dorsey E. New Rights Advocacy in a Global Public Domain. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2007;13(2):187-216. doi:10.1177/1354066107076953

72.

Hill C. *The Changing Politics of Foreign Policy*. Palgrave MacMillan; 2003.

73.

Smith S, Hadfield A, Dunne T. *Foreign Policy: Theories, Actors, Cases*. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2012.

74.

GILBOA E. The CNN Effect: The Search for a Communication Theory of International Relations. *Political Communication*. 2005;22(1):27-44. doi:10.1080/10584600590908429

75.

Robinson P. The CNN Effect Revisited. *Critical Studies in Media Communication*. 2005;22(4):344-349. doi:10.1080/07393180500288519

76.

Gilboa E. Diplomacy in the media age: Three models of uses and effects. *Diplomacy & Statecraft*. 2001;12(2):1-28. doi:10.1080/09592290108406201

77.

Rosenau JN. *Domestic Sources of Foreign Policy*. Free Press; 1967.

78.

Neack L. *The New Foreign Policy: Power Seeking in a Globalized Era*. Vol New millennium books in international studies. 2nd ed. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers; 2008.

79.

Robinson P. Theorizing the Influence of Media on World Politics: Models of Media Influence on Foreign Policy. *European Journal of Communication*. 2001;16(4):523-544. doi:10.1177/0267323101016004005

80.

Hjarvard S, Kristensen NN. When media of a small nation argue for war. *Media, War & Conflict*. 2014;7(1):51-69. doi:10.1177/1750635213516560

81.

Wang J, Wang X. Media and Chinese Foreign Policy. *Journal of Contemporary China*. 2014;23(86):216-235. doi:10.1080/10670564.2013.832523

82.

Murray S. Broadening the Debate about War: The Inclusion of Foreign Critics in Media Coverage and Its Potential Impact on US Public Opinion. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. Published online April 2013:n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/fpa.12010

83.

The Relationships Between Mass Media, Public Opinion, and Foreign Policy: Toward a Theoretical Synthesis - *Annual Review of Political Science*, 11(1):39.
<http://www.annualreviews.org.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/doi/abs/10.1146/annurev.polisci.11.060406.214132>

84.

Baru S. The Influence of Business and Media on Indian Foreign Policy. *India Review*. 2009;8(3):266-285. doi:10.1080/14736480903116792

85.

Hill C. *The Changing Politics of Foreign Policy*. Palgrave MacMillan; 2003.

86.

Smith S, Hadfield A, Dunne T. *Foreign Policy: Theories, Actors, Cases*. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2012.

87.

Cable J. Foreign policy making: Planning or reflex? *Diplomacy & Statecraft*. 1992;3(3):357-381. doi:10.1080/09592299208405861

88.

Kleiner J. The Inertia of Diplomacy. *Diplomacy & Statecraft*. 2008;19(2):321-349. doi:10.1080/09592290802096380

89.

John G. Tower. Congress versus the President: The Formulation and Implementation of American Foreign Policy. *Foreign Affairs*. 1981;60(2):229-246.
<http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/stable/10.2307/20041078?Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=ongress&searchText=versus&searchText=the&searchText=President:&searchText=The&searchText=Formulation&searchText=and&searchText=Implementation&searchText=of&searchText=American&searchText=Foreign&searchText=Policy&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3Dongress%2Bversus%2Bthe%2BPresident%253A%2BThe%2BFormulation%2Band%2BImplementation%2Bof%2BAmerican%2BForeign%2BPolicy%26amp%3Bfilter%3Djid%253A10.2307%252Fj50000070%26amp%3BSearch%3DSearch%26amp%3Bwc%3Don%26amp%3Bfc%3Doff%26amp%3BglobalSearch%3D%26amp%3Bsbbox%3D%26amp%3BsbjBox%3D%26amp%3BsbpBox%3D>

90.

Breuning M. Roles and Realities: When and Why Gatekeepers Fail to Change Foreign Policy. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2013;9(3):307-325. doi:10.1111/j.1743-8594.2012.00178.x

91.

Charles F. Hermann. Changing Course: When Governments Choose to Redirect Foreign Policy. *International Studies Quarterly*. 1990;34(1):3-21.
<http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/stable/10.2307/2600403?Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=Changing&searchText=Course:&searchText=When&searchText=Governments&searchText=Choose&searchText=to&searchText=Redirect&searchText=Foreign&searchText=Policy&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3DChangin%2BCourse%253A%2BWhen%2BGovernments%2BChoose%2Bto%2BRedirect%2BForeign%2BPolicy%26amp%3Bfilter%3Djid%253A10.2307%252Fj100193%26amp%3BSearch%3DSearch%26amp%3Bwc%3Don%26amp%3Bfc%3Doff%26amp%3BglobalSearch%3D%26amp%3Bsbbox%3D%26amp%3BsbjBox%3D%26amp%3BsbpBox%3D>

92.

Hagan JD, Everts PP, Fukui H, Stempel JD. Foreign Policy by Coalition: Deadlock, Compromise, and Anarchy. *International Studies Review*. 2001;3(2):169-216. doi:10.1111/1521-9488.00237

93.

Saideman SM, Ayres RW. Pie Crust Promises and the Sources of Foreign Policy: The Limited Impact of Accession and the Priority of Domestic Constituencies. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. 2007;3(3):189-210. doi:10.1111/j.1743-8594.2007.00047.x

94.

Foreign Policy Engineering: From Theory to Practice and Back Again. *International Security*. 18(4):143-171. http://muse.jhu.edu.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/journals/international_security/summary/v018/18.4.zelikow.html

95.

Stern EK. Crisis Studies and Foreign Policy Analysis: Insights, Synergies, and Challenges. *International Studies Review*. 2003;5(2):155-202. doi:10.1111/1521-9488.5020016

96.

T. Knecht and M. S. Weatherford. Public Opinion and Foreign Policy: The Stages of Presidential Decision Making. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2006;50(3):705-727. <http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/stable/10.2307/4092800?Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=Changing&searchText=Course:&searchText=When&searchText=Governments&searchText=Choose&searchText=to&searchText=Redirect&searchText=Foreign&searchText=Policy&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3DChanging%2BCourse%253A%2BWhen%2BGovernments%2BChoose%2Bto%2BRedirect%2BForeign%2BPolicy%26amp%3Bfilter%3Djid%253A10.2307%252Fj100193%26amp%3BSearch%3DSearch%26amp%3Bwc%3Don%26amp%3Bfc%3Doff%26amp%3BglobalSearch%3D%26amp%3BsbBox%3D%26amp%3BsbjBox%3D%26amp%3BsbpBox%3D>

97.

Hill, Christopher. The capability-expectations gap, or conceptualizing Europe's international role. *Journal of Common Market Studies*. 31(3). <http://search.ebscohost.com.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=9408291165&site=ehost-live>

98.

Hill C. *The Changing Politics of Foreign Policy*. Palgrave MacMillan; 2003.

99.

Neack L. *The New Foreign Policy: Power Seeking in a Globalized Era*. Vol New millennium books in international studies. 2nd ed. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers; 2008.

100.

K. J. Holsti. National Role Conceptions in the Study of Foreign Policy. *International Studies Quarterly*. 1970;14(3):233-309. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3013584>

101.

Hudson VM. *Foreign Policy Analysis: Classic and Contemporary Theory*. Second edition. Rowman & Littlefield Pub; 2014.

102.

Wehner LE, Thies CG. Role Theory, Narratives, and Interpretation: The Domestic Contestation of Roles. *International Studies Review*. Published online August 2014:n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/misr.12149

103.

Carranza ME. Rising Regional Powers and International Relations Theories: Comparing Brazil and India's Foreign Security Policies and Their Search for Great-Power Status. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. Published online June 2014:n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/fpa.12065

104.

Jordaan E. The concept of a middle power in international relations: distinguishing between emerging and traditional middle powers. *Politikon*. 2003;30(1):165-181. doi:10.1080/0258934032000147282

105.

Sussex M. The impotence of being earnest? Avoiding the pitfalls of 'creative middle power diplomacy'. *Australian Journal of International Affairs*. 2011;65(5):545-562.
doi:10.1080/10357718.2011.610436

106.

Gecelovsky P. Constructing a middle power: Ideas and Canadian foreign policy. *Canadian Foreign Policy Journal*. 2009;15(1):77-93. doi:10.1080/11926422.2009.9673483

107.

Beeson M. Can Australia save the world? The limits and possibilities of middle power diplomacy. *Australian Journal of International Affairs*. 2011;65(5):563-577.
doi:10.1080/10357718.2011.607149

108.

Nye JS. Power and foreign policy. *Journal of Political Power*. 2011;4(1):9-24.
doi:10.1080/2158379X.2011.555960

109.

Status Seekers: Chinese and Russian Responses to U.S. Primacy. *International Security*. 34(4):63-95.
http://muse.jhu.edu.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/journals/international_security/v034/34.4.larson.html

110.

Brown C. Do Great Powers Have Great Responsibilities? *Great Powers and Moral Agency*. *Global Society*. 2004;18(1):5-19. doi:10.1080/1360082032000173545