

The legal concept of refugee: persecution

[View Online](#)

1.

Hathaway J, Foster M. The law of refugee status [Internet]. 2nd edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2014. Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511998300>

2.

Storey H. 'Persecution: Towards a working definition'. In: Chetail V, Bauloz C, editors. Research handbook on international law and migration [Internet]. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar; 2014. Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.myilibrary.com?id=586122>

3.

Zimmermann A. The 1951 Convention relating to the status of refugees and its 1967 Protocol: a commentary. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2010.

4.

Foster M. International refugee law and socio-economic rights: refuge from deprivation. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2009.

5.

Teitiota v Chief Executive of the Ministry of Business Innovation and Employment [2014] NZCA 173 [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.refugeersearch.net/sites/default/files/Teitiota Article.pdf>

6.

Dowd R. Dissecting Discrimination in Refugee Law: an Analysis of its Meaning and its Cumulative Effect. *International Journal of Refugee Law*. 2011;23(1):28-53.

7.

Shepherd (Case C-472/13), CJEU, 26 February 2015 [Internet]. Available from: <http://curia.europa.eu/juris/document/document.jsf?text=&docid=162544&pageIndex=0&doclang=en&mode=lst&dir=&occ=first&part=1&cid=68878>

8.

Sepet and another v SSHD [2003] UKHL 15 [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKHL/2003/15.html>

9.

Gashi (Asylum; Persecution) Kosovo [1996] UKIAT 13695 (22 July 1996) [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKIAT/1996/13695.html>

10.

Ravichandran v. Secretary of State for the Home Department [1996] Imm AR 97 [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.refworld.org/cgi-bin/texis/vtx/rwmain?page=search&docid=3ae6b677c&skip=0&query=ravichandran>

11.

Shepherd v. Germany (CJEU) [Internet]. 26AD. Available from: <http://curia.europa.eu/juris/document/document.jsf?text=&docid=162544&pageIndex=0&doclang=en&mode=lst&dir=&occ=first&part=1&cid=527759>

12.

Horvath v. SSHD [2000] UKHL 37 [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.refworld.org/docid/3ae6b6e04.html>

13.

Hathaway J, Foster M. The law of refugee status [Internet]. 2nd edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2014. Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511998300>

14.

Persecution by Non-state Agents: Comparative Judicial Interpretations of the 1951 Refugee Convention. *European Journal of Migration and Law*. 2003;4(4):521-532.

15.

Marx, Reinhard. Notion of Persecution by Non-State Agents in German Jurisprudence, *The Georgetown Immigration Law Journal* [Internet]. 2001;15. Available from:
http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/geoimlj15&div=28&g_sent=1&collection=journals

16.

Schoenholtz A. The New Refugees and the Old Treaty: Persecutors and Persecuted in the Twenty-First Century. Available from:
http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2617336

17.

Januzi v. SSHD [2006] UKHL 5 [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKHL/2006/5.html>

18.

Ni Ghrainne B. The Internal Protection Alternative Inquiry and Human Rights Considerations - Irrelevant or Indispensable? *International Journal of Refugee Law*. 2015. p. 29-51.

19.

Hathaway J, Foster M. The law of refugee status [Internet]. 2nd edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2014. Available from:
<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511998300>

20.

Marx R. The Criteria of Applying the 'Internal Flight Alternative' Test in National Refugee Status Determination Procedures. *International Journal of Refugee Law*. 2002;14(2 and 3):179–218.

21.

M.E. v. Sweden (ECtHR, Fifth Section) [Internet]. 26AD. Available from: [http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-145018#{%22itemid%22:\[%22001-145018%22\]}](http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-145018#{%22itemid%22:[%22001-145018%22]})

22.

X, Y and Z, Cases C-199/12 to C-201/12, CJEU, 7 November 2013 [Internet]. Available from: <http://curia.europa.eu/juris/document/document.jsf?docid=144215&doclang=EN>

23.

Y and Z, Cases C-71/11 and C-99/11, CJEU, 5 September 2012 [Internet]. Available from: <http://curia.europa.eu/juris/document/document.jsf?docid=126364&doclang=EN>

24.

RT (Zimbabwe) v SSHD [2012] UKSC 38 [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKSC/2012/38.pdf>

25.

HJ (Iran) and HT (Cameroon) [2010] UKSC 31 [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKSC/2010/31.pdf>

26.

Appellant S395/2002 v. Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs (High Court of Australia, 9 December 2003) [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.refworld.org/docid/3fd9eca84.html>

27.

UNHCR. Guidelines on International Protection No. 9 Sexual Orientation and or Gender Identity [Internet]. 23AD. Available from: <http://www.refworld.org/pdfid/50348afc2.pdf>

28.

Hathaway J, Pobjoy J. 'Queer Cases make Bad Law'. NYU Journal of International Law and Politics [Internet]. 2012; Available from: <http://nyujilp.org/wp-content/uploads/2010/06/44.2-Hathaway-Pobjoy.pdf>