

CR3013: Forensic Science & Criminal Justice

View Online



1.

Smith, L., Bond, J.: Criminal justice and forensic science: a multidisciplinary introduction. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2015).

2.

McCartney, Carole: Forensic identification and criminal justice: forensic science, justice and risk. Willan, Cullompton (2006).

3.

Fraser, J.: Handbook of Forensic Science.

4.

White, P., Royal Society of Chemistry (Great Britain): Crime scene to court: the essentials of forensic science. Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge (2010).

5.

Williams, Robin, Johnson, Paul: Genetic policing: the use of DNA in chemical investigations. Willan, Cullompton (2008).

6.

American Academy of Forensic Sciences, <http://www.aafs.org/>.

7.

The Chartered Society of Forensic Sciences, <http://www.csofs.org>.

8.

James, S.H., Nordby, J.J., Bell, S. eds: Forensic science: an introduction to scientific and investigative techniques. CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton, Florida (2014).

9.

McCulloch, Helen, Great Britain: Police use of forensic science. Home Office, London (1996).

10.

Jamieson, Allan, Moenssens, Andre: Wiley encyclopedia of forensic science. John Wiley, Chichester (2009).

11.

Jackson, A.R.W., Jackson, J.M.: Forensic science. Prentice Hall, Harlow (2011).

12.

Saferstein, R.: Criminalistics: an introduction to forensic science. Pearson, Harlow (2014).

13.

Ramotowski, Robert, Lee, Henry C., Gaensslen, R. E.: Lee and Gaensslen's Advances in fingerprint technology. CRC, Boca Raton, Fla (2012).

14.

Jackson, Andrew R. W., Jackson, Julie M.: Forensic science. Prentice Hall, Harlow (2011).

15.

Williams, Robin, Johnson, Paul: Genetic policing: the use of DNA in chemical investigations. Willan, Cullompton (2008).

16.

Martire, Kristy A.. School of Psychology, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia, k.martire@unsw.edu.au Kemp, Richard I.. School of Psychology, University of New South Wales, Sydney, AustraliaWatkins, Ian. School of Psychology, University of New South Wales, Sydney, AustraliaSayle, Malindi A.. School of Psychology, University of New South Wales, Sydney, AustraliaNewell, Ben R.. School of Psychology, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia: The expression and interpretation of uncertain forensic science evidence: Verbal equivalence, evidence strength, and the weak evidence effect. Law and Human Behavior. (2013).

17.

Martire, K.A., Kemp, R.I., Sayle, M., Newell, B.R.: On the interpretation of likelihood ratios in forensic science evidence: Presentation formats and the weak evidence effect. Forensic Science International. 240, 61–68 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2014.04.005>.

18.

Aitken, Colin, Stoney, David Alan: The use of statistics in forensic science. E. Horwood, New York (1991).

19.

Taroni, Franco: Bayesian networks and probabilistic inference in forensic science. Wiley, Chichester (2006).

20.

Dawn McQuiston-Surrett and Michael J. Saks: The Testimony of Forensic Identification Science: What Expert Witnesses Say and What Factfinders Hear. Law and Human Behavior. 33, (2009).

21.

Podlas, Kimberlianne: 'The CSI effect': exposing the media myth.(crime scene investigation). Fordham Intellectual Property, Media. 16, (2006).

22.

Cole, Simon A: CSI and its effects: media, juries, and the burden of proof.(The CSI Effect: The True Effect of Crime Scene Television on the Justice System). New England Law Review. 41, (2007).

23.

Brewer, P.R., Ley, B.L.: Media Use and Public Perceptions of DNA Evidence. Science Communication. 32, 93–117 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1075547009340343>.

24.

Michael Allen: Reading CSI. I. B. Tauris.

25.

Robbers, M.L.P.: Blinded by Science: The Social Construction of Reality in Forensic Television Shows and its Effect on Criminal Jury Trials. Criminal Justice Policy Review. 19, 84–102 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0887403407305982>.

26.

Ley, B.L., Jankowski, N., Brewer, P.R.: Investigating CSI: Portrayals of DNA testing on a forensic crime show and their potential effects. Public Understanding of Science. 21, 51–67 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963662510367571>.

27.

Cavender, G., Deutsch, S.K.: CSI and moral authority: The police and science. Crime, Media, Culture. 3, 67–81 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1741659007074449>.

28.

Lieberman, J.D., Carrell, C.A., Miethe, T.D., Krauss, D.A.: Gold versus platinum: Do jurors

recognize the superiority and limitations of DNA evidence compared to other types of forensic evidence? *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*. 14, 27–62 (2008).
<https://doi.org/10.1037/1076-8971.14.1.27>.

29.

Nance, D.A., Morris, S.B.: Juror Understanding of DNA Evidence: An Empirical Assessment of Presentation Formats for Trace Evidence with a Relatively Small Random-Match Probability. *The Journal of Legal Studies*. 34, 395–444 (2005).
<https://doi.org/10.1086/428020>.

30.

DiFonzo, J. Herbie: Devil in a white coat: the temptation of forensic evidence in the age of CSI.(Crime Scene Investigation)(The CSI Effect: The True Effect of Crime Scene Television on the Justice System). *New England Law Review*. 41, (2007).

31.

Brian C. Smith, Steven D. Penrod, Amy L. Otto and Roger C. Park: Jurors' Use of Probabilistic Evidence. *Law and Human Behavior*. 20, (1996).

32.

Lisa L Smith: Identifying and measuring juror pre-trial bias for forensic evidence: development and validation of the Forensic Evidence Evaluation Bias Scale. *Psychology, Crime*. 18, (2012).

33.

Fisher, Jim: *Forensics under fire: are bad science and dueling experts corrupting criminal justice?* Rutgers University Press, New Brunswick, N.J. (2008).

34.

Ungvarsky, Edward J: Remarks on the use and misuse of forensic science to lead to false convictions.(The CSI Effect: The True Effect of Crime Scene Television on the Justice System). *New England Law Review*. 41, (2007).

35.

Anderson, C., Stackhouse, R., Shaw, A., Iredale, R.: The National DNA Database on trial: engaging young people in South Wales with genetics. *Public Understanding of Science*. 20, 146–162 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963662510375793>.

36.

Saks, Michael J: The individualization fallacy in forensic science evidence. *Vanderbilt Law Review*. 61, (2008).

37.

McCartney, C.: LCN DNA: proof beyond reasonable doubt? *Nature Reviews Genetics*. 9, 325–325 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2362>.

38.

Shelton, Donald E.: Forensic science evidence: can the law keep up with science? LFB Scholarly Pub. LLC, El Paso, Tex (2012).

39.

Dror, I.E., Charlton, D., Péron, A.E.: Contextual information renders experts vulnerable to making erroneous identifications. *Forensic Science International*. 156, 74–78 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2005.10.017>.

40.

Dror, I.E.: Practical Solutions to Cognitive and Human Factor Challenges in Forensic Science. *Forensic Science Policy & Management: An International Journal*. 4, 105–113 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1080/19409044.2014.901437>.

41.

Kassin, S.M., Dror, I.E., Kukucka, J.: The forensic confirmation bias: Problems, perspectives, and proposed solutions. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*. 2, 42–52 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jarmac.2013.01.001>.

42.

National Research Council (U.S.), National Research Council (U.S.), National Research Council (U.S.): Strengthening forensic science in the United States: a path forward. National Academies Press, Washington, D.C. (2009).

43.

Ubelaker, Douglas H., American Academy of Forensic Sciences: Forensic science: current issues, future directions. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, West Sussex (2012).

44.

Saks, M.J.: The Coming Paradigm Shift in Forensic Identification Science. Science. 309, 892-895 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1111565>.