

Creative Audiences MS2004

View Online



This module examines new and key developments in audience research and considers the cultural, social and economic significance of 'the audience.' The module will explore how the concept of the audience has shifted over time, and how the vulnerable 'dupes' of the early mass audience became today's digital 'prosumers.' In doing so, it will provide students with an understanding of different theoretical approaches to the creativity of audiences, and a critical perspective on the ways that audiences have been denigrated, feared, courted, and celebrated over time. What do these changing notions of the audience tell us about the influence of the media and the complex relationship between producers and consumers? More broadly, in an age of digital media and increasing personalisation and individualisation of media content, can 'the audience' still be seen to exist?

1.

Livingstone S. The changing nature of audiences : from the mass audience to the interactive media user - LSE Research Online. Published online 2003. <http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/417/>

2.

Highmore B. 'Absentminded Media'. In: Ordinary Lives: Studies in the Everyday. Routledge; 2011:114-138.

3.

Livingstone S. On the continuing problems of media effects research - LSE Research Online. 1996. <http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/21503/>

4.

Humphreys S. Productive Players: Online Computer Games' Challenge to Conventional Media Forms. Communication and Critical/Cultural Studies. 2005;2(1):37-51.

doi:10.1080/1479142042000332116

5.

Whitehouse-Hart J. Psychosocial Explorations of Film and Television Viewing: Ordinary Audience. Vol Studies in the psychosocial. Palgrave Macmillan; 2014.
<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.myilibrary.com?id=671529>

6.

Whitehouse-Hart J. Psychosocial Explorations of Film and Television Viewing: Ordinary Audience. Vol Studies in the psychosocial. Palgrave Macmillan; 2014.
<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.myilibrary.com?id=671529>

7.

Kearney MC. 'Grrrl Zines: Exploring Identity, Transforming Girls' Written Culture'. In: Girls Make Media. Routledge; 2006:135-187.
<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://lib.myilibrary.com?id=80948>

8.

Wood H. The mediated conversational floor: an interactive approach to audience reception analysis. Media, Culture & Society. 2007;29(1):75-103. doi:10.1177/0163443706072000

9.

Das R. Converging perspectives in audience studies and digital literacies: Youthful interpretations of an online genre. European Journal of Communication. 2011;26(4):343-360. doi:10.1177/0267323111423379

10.

Butsch R, Livingstone SM. Meanings of Audiences: Comparative Discourses. Routledge; 2014.

11.

various. Rethinking the Media Audience: The New Agenda. (Alasuutari, Pertti, ed.); 1999.
<http://lib.mylibrary.com.ezproxy4.lib.le.ac.uk/Open.aspx?id=226264>

12.

Brooker W, Jermyn D. The Audience Studies Reader. Routledge; 2003.

13.

Dickinson R, Linne O, Harindranath R. Approaches to Audiences: A Reader. Vol Foundations in media. Arnold; 1998.

14.

Gauntlett D. Moving Experiences: Understanding Television's Influences and Effects. Vol Acamedia research monographs. John Libbey; 1995.

15.

McQuail D. McQuail's Mass Communication Theory. 6th ed. SAGE; 2010.

16.

Andrew Ruddock. Understanding Audiences: Theory and Method. SAGE; 2001.
<http://site.ebrary.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=10080942>

17.

Benjamin W, Arendt H. Illuminations. Vol Pimlico. Pimlico; 1999.

18.

Benjamin W, Tiedemann R. The Arcades Project. Belknap Press; 1999.

19.

Recommended: application of theory of reception as distraction.

20.

Livingstone S. On the continuing problems of media effects research - LSE Research Online. 1996. <http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/21503/>

21.

Dickinson R, Linne O, Harindranath R. Approaches to Audiences: A Reader. Vol Foundations in media. Arnold; 1998.

22.

O'Neill B. Media Effects in Context. In: The Handbook of Media Audiences. Vol Global handbooks in media and communication research. Wiley-Blackwell; 2011:320-339. doi:10.1002/9781444340525.ch16

23.

David Gauntlett - Ten things wrong with the media 'effects' model. <http://www.theory.org.uk/david/effects.htm>

24.

Morgan M, Shanahan J. The State of Cultivation. Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media. 2010;54(2):337-355. doi:10.1080/08838151003735018

25.

Potter WJ. A Critical Analysis of Cultivation Theory. Journal of Communication. 2014;64(6):1015-1036. doi:10.1111/jcom.12128

26.

Gerbner G. Cultivation Analysis: An Overview. Mass Communication and Society. 1998;1(3-4):175-194. doi:10.1080/15205436.1998.9677855

27.

Bandura A. Influence of models' reinforcement contingencies on the acquisition of imitative responses. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 1965;1(6):589-595. doi:10.1037/h0022070

28.

Humphreys S. Productive Players: Online Computer Games' Challenge to Conventional Media Forms. *Communication and Critical/Cultural Studies*. 2005;2(1):37-51. doi:10.1080/1479142042000332116

29.

van Dijck J. Users like you? Theorizing agency in user-generated content. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2009;31(1):41-58. doi:10.1177/0163443708098245

30.

Whitehouse-Hart J. *Psychosocial Explorations of Film and Television Viewing: Ordinary Audience*. Vol Studies in the psychosocial. Palgrave Macmillan; 2014. <http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.mylibrary.com?id=671529>

31.

Seiter E. Making distinctions in TV audience research: Case study of a troubling interview. *Cultural Studies*. 1990;4(1):61-84. doi:10.1080/09502389000490051

32.

Ortega Breton H. A psycho-cultural approach to radio listening and creative production. *Radio Journal:International Studies in Broadcast & Audio Media*. 2013;11(1):75-90. doi:10.1386/rjao.11.1.75_1

33.

On Not Being a Fan: Masculine Identity, DVD Culture and the Accidental Collector | Bainbridge | *Wide Screen*. <http://widescreenjournal.org/index.php/journal/article/view/41>

34.

Jensen T. "Watching with my hands over my eyes": Shame and irritation in ambivalent encounters with 'Bad Mothers'. <http://www.radicalpsychology.org/vol9-2/jensen.html>

35.

Recommended Sources / Reading.

36.

Stephen F, Lisa B. Psychoanalysis and Psychosocial Studies. *Psychoanalysis Culture and Society*. 2008;13(4):346-365.

37.

Whitehouse-Hart J. *Psychosocial Explorations of Film and Television Viewing: Ordinary Audience*. Vol *Studies in the psychosocial*. Palgrave Macmillan; 2014.
<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.myilibrary.com?id=671529>

38.

Seiter E. Making distinctions in TV audience research: Case study of a troubling interview. *Cultural Studies*. 1990;4(1):61-84. doi:10.1080/09502389000490051

39.

Jensen T. "Watching with my hands over my eyes": Shame and irritation in ambivalent encounters with 'Bad Mothers'. <http://www.radicalpsychology.org/vol9-2/jensen.html>

40.

Chabot Davis K. *An Ethnography of Political Identification: The Birmingham School Meets Psychoanalytic Theory*. doi:10.1353/psy.2003.0009

41.

various - collection. *The Gender and Media Reader*. (Mary Celeste Kearney, ed.). Routledge; 2011.

42.

Rosenbaum, m. *Psychosomatics*. 39(Jan-feb).

43.

Hine C. Towards ethnography of television on the internet: A mobile strategy for exploring mundane interpretive activities. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2011;33(4):567-582. doi:10.1177/0163443711401940

44.

Moore S. *Interpreting Audiences: The Ethnography of Media Consumption*. Vol *The media, culture and society series*. Sage Publications; 1993.

45.

Buckingham D. 'Creative' visual methods in media research: possibilities, problems and proposals. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2009;31(4):633-652. doi:10.1177/0163443709335280

46.

Kearney MC. 'Grrrl Zines: Exploring Identity, Transforming Girls' Written Culture'. In: *Girls Make Media*. Routledge; 2006:135-187. <http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://lib.myilibrary.com?id=80948>

47.

Banet-Weiser S. 'Branding the Post-Feminist Self: Girls' Video Production and YouTube'. In: *Mediated Girlhoods: New Explorations of Girls' Media Culture*. Vol *Mediated youth*. Peter Lang; 2011:277-294.

48.

Wood H. The mediated conversational floor: an interactive approach to audience reception analysis. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2007;29(1):75-103. doi:10.1177/0163443706072000

49.

Jensen T. "Watching with my hands over my eyes": Shame and irritation in ambivalent encounters with 'Bad Mothers'. <http://www.radicalpsychology.org/vol9-2/jensen.html>

50.

Wood H. Television is Happening: Methodological Considerations for Capturing Digital Television Reception". 2007;10(4):485-506.
http://gl9sn3dh2u.search.serialssolutions.com/?ctx_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx_enc=info%3Aofi%2Fenc%3AUTF-8&rft_id=info:sid/summon.serialssolutions.com&rft_val_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:journal&rft.genre=article&rft.atitle=Television+is+happening&rft.jtitle=European+Journal+of+Cultural+Studies&rft.au=Wood%2C+Helen&rft.date=2007-11-01&rft.pub=Sage+Publications&rft.issn=1367-5494&rft.volume=10&rft.issue=4&rft.spage=485&rft.epage=506&rft.externalDBID=n%2Fa&rft.externalDocID=10.1177%2F1367549407081956¶mdict=en-US

51.

Gorton K. *Media Audiences: Television, Meaning and Emotion*. Edinburgh University Press; 2009. <http://site.ebrary.com/lib/leicester/Doc?id=10391726>

52.

Das R. Converging perspectives in audience studies and digital literacies: Youthful interpretations of an online genre. *European Journal of Communication*. 2011;26(4):343-360. doi:10.1177/0267323111423379

53.

Livingstone S, Das R. The End of Audiences? Theoretical Echoes of Reception amid the Uncertainties of Use.
<http://www.lse.ac.uk/media@lse/WhosWho/AcademicStaff/SoniaLivingstone/pdf/Livingstone-and-Das,-manuscript.pdf>

54.

Madianou M, Miller D. Polymedia: Towards a new theory of digital media in interpersonal communication. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*. 2013;16(2):169-187. doi:10.1177/1367877912452486

55.

Livingstone S. The Challenge of Changing Audiences: Or, What is the Audience Researcher to do in the Age of the Internet? *European Journal of Communication*. 2004;19(1):75-86. doi:10.1177/0267323104040695

56.

Das R. Meaning at the Interface: New Genres, New Modes of Interpretative Engagement? *The Communication Review*. 2010;13(2):140-159. doi:10.1080/10714421003795535

57.

Are We All Producers Now?

<http://www.tandfonline.com.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/doi/pdf/10.1080/09502386.2011.600532>

58.

Rosenbaum, m. *Psychosomatics*. 39(Jan-feb).

59.

Rosenbaum, m. *Psychosomatics*. 39(Jan-feb).