

# MS 7013 Approaches to 'Audiences' and 'Users'

View Online



1.  

Mytton G, Diem P, Dam PH van. Media audience research: a guide for professionals [Internet]. Third edition. Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE Publications, Incorporated; 2016. Available from:  
<http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=4440204>
2.  

Lacey N. Media institutions and audiences: key concepts in media studies [Internet]. Basingstoke: Palgrave; 2002. Available from:  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=327969>
3.  

McKee A. Textual analysis: a beginner's guide [Internet]. London: Sage Publications; 2003. Available from:  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?pq-origsite=primo&docID=254647>
4.  

Sconce J. Haunted media: electronic presence from telegraphy to television. Durham, NC: Duke University Press; 2000.
5.  

Radway JA. Reading the romance: women, patriarchy, and popular literature [Internet]. 2nd ed. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press; 1991. Available from:  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=880363>

6.

Kavka M. Reality television, affect and intimacy: reality matters. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2008.

7.

Duffy BE. Remake, remodel: women's magazines in the digital age. Urbana, Illinois: University of Illinois Press; 2013.

8.

Alasuutari P. Rethinking the media audience: the new agenda. London: Sage; 1999.

9.

Ross K, Nightingale V. Media and audiences: new perspectives [Internet]. Maidenhead, Berkshire, England: Open University Press; 2003. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?pq-origsite=primo&docID=295455>

10.

Long P, Wall T. Media studies: texts, production, context [Internet]. 2nd ed. Harlow: Pearson; 2012. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=812615>

11.

Eagleton T. Ideology [Internet]. Vol. Longman critical readers. London: Longman; 1994. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=1746767>

12.

Gorton K. Media audiences: television, meaning and emotion [Internet]. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press; 2009. Available from:

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?pq-origsite=primo&docID=536997>

13.

Cantril H, Gaudet H, Herzog H, Welles O. The invasion from Mars: a study in the psychology of panic : with the complete script of the famous Orson Welles broadcast. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 1982.

14.

Williams K. Effects What Effects chapter 7. In: Understanding Media Theory. Arnold; 2003.

15.

McLeod D, Wise D, Perryman M. Thinking about the media: A review of theory and research on media perceptions, media effects perception and their consequences. Review of Communication REsearch. 2017;Volume 5.

16.

Abercrombie N, Longhurst B. Changing audiences, changing paradigms of research Chapter one. In: Audiences: a sociological theory of performance and imagination [Internet]. London: Sage; 1998. Available from:  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=1024126>

17.

Webster, James G. Audience, The. Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media [Internet]. 1998;42. Available from:  
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/jbem42&collection=journals&page=190>

18.

Abercrombie N, Longhurst B. Audiences: a sociological theory of performance and imagination [Internet]. London: Sage; 1998. Available from:  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=1024126>

19.

Schrøder KC. Convergence of Antagonistic Traditions? The Case of Audience Research. *European journal of communication*. 1987;2(1):7-31.

20.

Barker M, Petley J. Introduction: from bad research to good. In: *Ill effects: the media violence debate* [Internet]. 2nd ed. London: Routledge; 2001. Available from: [http://le.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package\\_service\\_id=5665221790002746&institutionId=2746&customerId=2745](http://le.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=5665221790002746&institutionId=2746&customerId=2745)

21.

Livingstone SM. *Making sense of television: the psychology of audience interpretation*. 2nd ed. Vol. *International series in social psychology*. London: Routledge; 1998.

22.

Gauntlett D. Ten things wrong with the "effects model.". In: *Approaches to audiences: a reader*. London: Arnold; 1998.

23.

Redman P, Open University. Attachment: sociology and social worlds. Vol. *Making social worlds*. Manchester: Manchester University Press in association with the Open University; 2008.

24.

Nightingale V. *Studying the television audience: the shock of the real*. London: Routledge; 1996.

25.

Tulloch J. The implied audience in soap opera production: Everyday Rhetorical Strategies among television professionals. In: *Rethinking the media audience: the new agenda* [Internet]. London: Sage; 1999. p. 151-78. Available from:

<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.mylibrary.com?id=226264>

26.

Gerbner et al G. Growing up with television: The Cultivation Perspective. In: Media effects: advances in theory and research [Internet]. 3rd ed. New York: Routledge; 2009. Available from: <http://site.ebrary.com/lib/leicester/Doc?id=10274244>

27.

Blackman L, Walkerdine V. Mass hysteria: critical psychology and media studies. Basingstoke: Macmillan; 2000.

28.

Barker M, Petley J. Ill effects: the media violence debate [Internet]. 2nd ed. Vol. Communication and society [Routledge]. London: Routledge; 2001. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&scope=site&db=nlebk&db=nlabk&AN=84561>

29.

Michael O'Shaughnessy. Promoting 'emotion': Feelings, film studies and teaching or understanding films; understanding ourselves. Metro Media and Education. 1994;97:44-8.

30.

Mayer V. The Places Where Audience Studies and Production Studies Meet. Television & New Media. 2016 Dec;17(8):706-18.

31.

Gray J. Reviving audience studies. Critical Studies in Media Communication. 2017 Jan;34(1):79-83.

32.

Hermes J, van den Berg A, Mol M. Sleeping with the enemy: Audience studies and critical literacy. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*. 2013 Sep;16(5):457–73.

33.

Behrenshausen BG. The active audience, again: Player-centric game studies and the problem of binarism. *New Media & Society*. 2013 Sep;15(6):872–89.

34.

Athique A. The dynamics and potentials of big data for audience research. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2018 Jan;40(1):59–74.

35.

Das R. Audiences: a decade of transformations – reflections from the CEDAR network on emerging directions in audience analysis. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2017 Nov;39(8):1257–67.

36.

Ross K, Playdon P. *Black marks: minority ethnic audiences and media*. Aldershot: Ashgate; 2001.

37.

Social comparison, social media, and self-esteem. *Psychology of Popular Media Culture* [Internet]. 2014; Available from: <http://search.ebscohost.com.ezproxy4.lib.le.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pdh&AN=2014-33471-001&site=ehost-live>

38.

Sconce J. The Voice from the Void. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*. 1998 Aug;1(2):211–32.

39.

Kavka M. Reality television, affect and intimacy: reality matters. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2008.

40.

Jackson RL, Sage reference on-line. Encyclopedia of identity [Internet]. London: SAGE; 2010. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://knowledge.sagepub.com/view/identity/SAGE.xml>

41.

Rosengren k. E. Uses and Gratifications: A Paradigm Outlined. In: The uses of mass communications: current perspectives on gratifications research. Beverly Hills, Calif: Sage Publications; 1974. p. 269-86.

42.

Shanahan J, Morgan M. Television and its viewers: cultivation research and theory [Internet]. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press; 1999. Available from: <http://site.ebrary.com/lib/leicester/Doc?id=5001729>

43.

Michael O'Shaughnessy. Promoting 'emotion': Feelings, film studies and teaching or understanding films; understanding ourselves. Metro Media and Education. 1994;97:44-8.

44.

Elliott P. Uses and gratifications research: A critique and a sociological alternative. In: The uses of mass communications: current perspectives on gratifications research. Beverly Hills, Calif: Sage Publications; 1974. p. 249-68.

45.

Seiter ellen. Making distinctions in TV audience research: Case study of a troubling interview. Cultural Studies. 1990;4(1).

46.

Palmgreen p, Wenner LA, Rosengren KE. Uses and gratifications research: the past ten years. In: Media gratifications research: current perspectives. Beverly Hills: Sage; 1985. p. xx-xxx.

47.

Ruggiero TE. Uses and Gratifications Theory in the 21st Century. Mass Communication and Society. 2000 Feb;3(1):3-37.

48.

Redman P, Open University. Attachment: sociology and social worlds. Manchester: Manchester University Press in association with the Open University; 2008.

49.

Whitehouse-Hart J. Psychosocial explorations of film and television viewing: ordinary audience [Internet]. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2014. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=1880204>

50.

Bainbridge C, Ward I, Yates C. Television and psychoanalysis: psycho-cultural perspectives [Internet]. London: Karnac; 2014. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=1574555>

51.

Seiter ellen. Making distinctions in TV audience research: Case study of a troubling interview. Cultural Studies. 1990;4(1).

52.

Rosengren K. Chapter 2 - Combinations, comparisons and confrontations: towards a comprehensive theory of audience research. In: The audience and its landscape. Boulder, Colo: Westview Press; 1996. p. 23-51.



53.

Seiter E. Remote control: television, audiences and cultural power. London: Routledge; 1989.

54.

Brooker W, Jermyn D. The audience studies reader. London: Routledge; 2003.

55.

Modleski T. Loving with a vengeance: mass-produced fantasies for women. New York: Methuen; 1984.

56.

Hall S. Encoding/ decoding. In: Culture, media, language: working papers in cultural studies, 1972-79 [Internet]. London: Hutchinson in association with the Centre for Contemporary Cultural Studies, University of Birmingham; 1980. p. 117-28. Available from: [http://le.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package\\_service\\_id=5665769750002746&institutionId=2746&customerId=2745](http://le.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=5665769750002746&institutionId=2746&customerId=2745)

57.

Ang I. On the politics of empirical audience research. In: Media and cultural studies: keywords [Internet]. Rev. ed. Malden, MA: Blackwell; 2006. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=239901>

58.

Hall S. Reflections upon the Encoding/Decoding Model: An Interview with Stuart Hall. In: Viewing, reading, listening: audiences and cultural reception. Boulder, Colo: Westview Press; 1994. p. 253-74.

59.

Ang I, Couling D. Watching Dallas: soap opera and the melodramatic imagination [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 1996. Available from: <http://site.ebrary.com/lib/leicester/Doc?id=10763823>

60.

Radway JA. Reading the romance: women, patriarchy and popular literature [Internet]. Chapel Hill, N.C.: University of North Carolina Press; 1991. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?pq-origsite=primo&docID=880363>

61.

Ginsburg FD, Abu-Lughod L, Larkin B. Media worlds: anthropology on new terrain [Internet]. Berkeley: University of California Press; 2002. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://site.ebrary.com/lib/leicester/Doc?id=10058549>

62.

Hobson D. Crossroads: the drama of a soap opera. London: Methuen; 1982.

63.

Gillespie M. Television, ethnicity and cultural change. Vol. Comedia. London: Routledge; 1995.

64.

Liebes T, Katz E. The export of meaning: cross-cultural readings of Dallas. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Polity Press; 1993.

65.

Helen Wood. The mediated conversational floor: an interactive approach to audience reception analysis. *Media, Culture & Society*,. 29:75–103.

66.

Martin J. Barker. The Lord of the Rings and 'Identification': A Critical Encounter. *European Journal of Communication*, [Internet]. 20:353–78. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/0267323>

105055262

67.

Tincknell E, Raghuram P. Big Brother: Reconfiguring the `active' audience of cultural studies? *European Journal of Cultural Studies*. 2002 May 1;5(2):199-215.

68.

Victor Costello. Cultural Outlaws: An Examination of Audience Activity and Online Television Fandom. *Television & New Media*,. 8:124-43.

69.

Elizabeth Jane Evans. Character, audience agency and transmedia drama. *Media, Culture & Society*,. 30:197-213.

70.

Skeggs B, Wood H. The labour of transformation and circuits of value 'around' reality television. *Continuum*. 2008 Aug;22(4):559-72.

71.

Brand New You | Kanopy [Internet]. Available from:  
<https://le.kanopy.com/video/brand-new-you-makeover-television-and-american-dream>

72.

Skeggs B, Thumim N, Wood H. 'Oh goodness, I am watching reality TV'. *European Journal of Cultural Studies*. 2008 Feb;11(1):5-24.

73.

Jin D. New Korean Wave: Transnational Cultural Power in the Age of Social Media [Internet]. Baltimore: University of Illinois Press; 2016. Available from:  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=4443546>

74.

Livingstone S, Das R. The End of Audiences? Theoretical echoes of reception amidst the uncertainties of use. In: A companion to new media dynamics [Internet]. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons; 2013. p. 104–22. Available from: [http://le.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package\\_service\\_id=5665206730002746&institutionId=2746&customerId=2745](http://le.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=5665206730002746&institutionId=2746&customerId=2745)

75.

Cavalcante A, Press A, Sender K. Feminist reception studies in a post-audience age: returning to audiences and everyday life. *Feminist Media Studies*. 2017 Jan 2;17(1):1–13.

76.

Kavka M. Reality television, affect and intimacy: reality matters. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2008.

77.

Wasko J. Reality TV: Performance, Authenticity, and Television Audiences. In: A companion to television [Internet]. Malden, Mass: Blackwell; 2005. p. A-Hill. Available from: [http://le.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package\\_service\\_id=5665769740002746&institutionId=2746&customerId=2745](http://le.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=5665769740002746&institutionId=2746&customerId=2745)

78.

Paddy Scannell. Big Brother as a Television Event. *Television & New Media*,. 3:271–82.

79.

Piper H. Understanding Reality Television \* Reality TV - Audiences and Popular Factual Television \* Reality TV - Realism and Revelation. *Screen*. 2006 Jan 1;47(1):133–8.

80.

Dovey J. Freakshow: first person media and factual television [Internet]. London: Pluto

Press; 2000. Available from:

<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://site.ebrary.com/lib/leicester/Doc?id=2001153>

81.

Skeggs B, Wood H. Reality television and class. London: BFI; 2011.

82.

Skeggs B, Wood H. Reacting to reality television: performance, audience and value [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2012. Available from:

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=957761>

83.

Couldry N. The Extended Audience: Scanning the Horizon'. In: Media audiences. Maidenhead: Open University Press; 2005.

84.

Gillespie M. Television, ethnicity and cultural change. Vol. Comedia. London: Routledge; 1995.

85.

Wood H. What Reading the Romance Did for Us. European Journal of Cultural Studies. 2004 May 1;7(2):147-54.

86.

Ong JC. Watching the Nation, Singing the Nation: London-Based Filipino Migrants' Identity Constructions in News and Karaoke Practices. Communication, Culture & Critique. 2009 Jun;2(2):160-81.

87.

Skeggs B, Thumim N, Wood H. 'Oh goodness, I am watching reality TV'. European Journal

of Cultural Studies. 2008 Feb;11(1):5-24.

88.

Skeggs B, Wood H. Turning it on is a class act: immediated object relations with television. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2011 Sep;33(6):941-51.

89.

Skeggs B, Wood H. *Reacting to Reality Television: Performance, Audience and Value* [Internet]. Florence: Taylor & Francis Group; 2014. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=957761>

90.

Skeggs B, Wood H. *Reality television and class*. London: BFI; 2011.

91.

Sender K, Sullivan M. Epidemics of will, failures of self-esteem: Responding to fat bodies in and. *Continuum*. 2008 Aug;22(4):573-84.

92.

Sender K. *Reconsidering Reflexivity: Audience Research and Reality Television*. *The Communication Review*. 2015 Jan 2;18(1):37-52.

93.

Readdy T, Ebbeck V. Weighing in on NBC's *The Biggest Loser*. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*. 2012 Dec;83(4):579-86.

94.

Sender K. *The makeover: reality television and reflexive audiences* [Internet]. New York: New York University Press; 2012. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.18574/nyu/9780814740699.001.0001>

95.

Sender K. Queens for a Day: \_\_\_\_\_ and the Neoliberal Project. *Critical Studies in Media Communication*. 2006 Jun;23(2):131–51.

96.

Redman P, Maples W, Open University. *Good essay writing: a social sciences guide*. Fifth edition. Los Angeles: SAGE; 2017.

97.

Bonnett A. *How to argue: a student's guide* [Internet]. Harlow: Pearson Education; 2001. Available from:

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=5185704>

98.

Pears R, Shields GJ. *Cite them right: the essential referencing guide* [Internet]. 12th edition. New York: Bloomsbury Academic; 2022. Available from:

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=6992940>

99.

Lowes R, Peters H, Turner MC. *The international student's guide: studying in English at university* [Internet]. Vol. Sage study skills. Thousand Oaks, Calif: SAGE; 2004. Available from: <http://site.ebrary.com/lib/leicester/Doc?id=10218078>

100.

Ferrucci P, Painter C. Print Versus Digital. *Journal of Communication Inquiry*. 2017 Apr;41(2):124–39.

101.

Madianou M, Miller D. Polymedia: Towards a new theory of digital media in interpersonal communication. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*. 2013 Mar 1;16(2):169–87.

102.

Madianou M. Smartphones as Polymedia. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*. 2014 Apr;19(3):667-80.

103.

Ytre-Arne B. 'I want to hold it in my hands': readers' experiences of the phenomenological differences between women's magazines online and in print. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2011 Apr;33(3):467-77.

104.

Das R, Sonia L. The End of Audiences? Theoretical echoes of reception amidst the uncertainties of use. In: *A companion to new media dynamics* [Internet]. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons; 2013. Available from: [http://le.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package\\_service\\_id=5665880050002746&institutionId=2746&customerId=2745](http://le.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=5665880050002746&institutionId=2746&customerId=2745)

105.

Hartley J, Burgess J, Bruns A. *A companion to new media dynamics* [Internet]. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons; 2013. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&scope=site&db=nlebk&db=nlabk&AN=531267>

106.

Mirca Madianou. Polymedia: Towards a new theory of digital media in interpersonal communication. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*, [Internet]. 16:169-87. Available from: [http://ics.sagepub.com/search?author1=Madianou&fulltext=Polymedia:%20Towards%20a%20new%20theory%20of%20digital%20media%20in%20interpersonal%20communication&pubdate\\_year=2013&volume=16&firstpage=169&submit=yes](http://ics.sagepub.com/search?author1=Madianou&fulltext=Polymedia:%20Towards%20a%20new%20theory%20of%20digital%20media%20in%20interpersonal%20communication&pubdate_year=2013&volume=16&firstpage=169&submit=yes)

107.

Mediatization and the 'molding force' of the media [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.degruyter.com/view/j/commun.2012.37.1.issue-1/commun-2012-0001/commun-2012-0001.xml>



108.

Hepp A. Cultures of mediatization [Internet]. Cambridge: Polity; 2012. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=1180925>

109.

Ian Hutchby. Technologies, Texts and Affordances. *Sociology* [Internet]. 2001;35(2):441-56. Available from: [http://www.jstor.org/stable/42856294?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org/stable/42856294?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

110.

Miller D. Tales from Facebook [Internet]. Cambridge: Polity; 2011. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=571931>

111.

Jenkins H. *Convergence culture: where old and new media collide*. Updated and with a new afterword. New York, N.Y.: New York University Press; 2008.

112.

Dallas S. On the Audience Commodity and its work. In: *Approaches to media: a reader*. London: Arnold; 1995.

113.

Toynbee J. The Media's View of the Audience. In: *Media Production*. Maidenhead: Open University Press; 2006. p. 91-133.

114.

Long P, Wall T. *Media studies: texts, production, context* [Internet]. 2nd ed. Harlow: Pearson; 2012. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&>

mp;db=nlebk&AN=812615

115.

Lee McGuigan. Consumers: The Commodity Product of Interactive Commercial Television, or, Is Dallas Smythe's Thesis More Germane Than Ever? *The Journal of Communication Inquiry*. 2012 Oct 1;36(4).

116.

Ang, Ien. *Desperately Seeking the Audience* [Internet]. Routledge; 1991. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=165716>

117.

Webster JG, Phalen PF, Lichty LW. *Ratings analysis: the theory and practice of audience research*. 3rd ed. Vol. LEA's communication series. Mahwah, N.J.: L. Erlbaum Associates; 2006.

118.

Gitlin T. *Inside prime time*. Rev. ed. Berkeley, Calif: University of California Press; 2000.

119.

Greene, Kira. TV's test pilots. *Broadcasting & Cable* [Internet]. 2000;130(30). Available from: <http://search.ebscohost.com.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bah&AN=3364522&site=ehost-live>

120.

Hayes, Dade dhayes@nbmedia.com. Inside TV's Secret Lab. (cover story). *Broadcasting & Cable* [Internet]. 2015;145(19):4-6. Available from: <http://search.ebscohost.com.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bah&AN=102711548&site=ehost-live>

121.

P M. Made to Order and Standardized Audiences: forms of reality in audience measurements. In: Audience making: how the media create the audience. Thousand Oaks, Calif: Sage; 1994. p. 57-74.

122.

Serials Solutions Article Linker - [Internet]. Available from:  
[http://gl9sn3dh2u.search.serialssolutions.com/?ctx\\_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx\\_enc=info%3Aofi%2Fenc%3AUTF-8&rft\\_id=info:sid/summon.serialssolutions.com&rft\\_val\\_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:k ev:mtx:book&rft.genre=book&rft.title=Audience+Economics&rft.au=PHILIP+M.+NAPOLI&rft.date=2003-09-25&rft.pub=Columbia+University+Press&rft\\_id=info:doi/10.7312%2Fnapo12652&rft.externalDocID=napo12652&paramdict=en-US](http://gl9sn3dh2u.search.serialssolutions.com/?ctx_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx_enc=info%3Aofi%2Fenc%3AUTF-8&rft_id=info:sid/summon.serialssolutions.com&rft_val_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:k ev:mtx:book&rft.genre=book&rft.title=Audience+Economics&rft.au=PHILIP+M.+NAPOLI&rft.date=2003-09-25&rft.pub=Columbia+University+Press&rft_id=info:doi/10.7312%2Fnapo12652&rft.externalDocID=napo12652&paramdict=en-US)

123.

Twitter to drive TV Ratings beyond an 'assumption' of engagement [Internet]. B and T Weekly. 7AD. Available from:  
<http://www.bandt.com.au/media/twitter-to-drive-tv-ratings-beyond-an-assumption-o>

124.

Neilsen Launches 'Neilsen Twitter TV Ratings' [Internet]. Available from:  
<http://web.b.ebscohost.com/ehost/detail/detail?vid=1&sid=263c30ed-675a-4554-859f-e35ae5e4887b%40sessionmgr120&hid=110&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZWZwhvc3QtbGl2ZQ%3d%3d#db=bwh&AN=bizwire.c51050908>

125.

Radway JA. Reading the romance: women, patriarchy, and popular literature [Internet]. 2nd ed. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press; 1991. Available from:  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=880363>

126.

Livingstone sonia. Relationships between media and audiences: Prospects for future audience reception studies. In: Media, ritual, and identity [Internet]. London: Routledge; 1998. Available from:  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=169411>

127.

Morley D. Unanswered Questions in Audience Research. *The Communication Review*. 2006 Jul;9(2):101–21.

128.

Barker M. I Have Seen the Future and It Is Not Here Yet ...; or, On Being Ambitious for Audience Research. *The Communication Review*. 2006 Jul;9(2):123–41.

129.

Seiter E. *Remote control: television, audiences and cultural power*. London: Routledge; 1989.

130.

Birgitta Höijer. Ontological Assumptions and Generalizations in Qualitative (Audience) Research. *European Journal of Communication*, [Internet]. 23:275–94. Available from: [http://ejc.sagepub.com.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/search?author1=Hojjer&fulltext=Ontological%20Assumptions%20and%20Generalizations%20in%20Qualitative%20\(Audience\)%20Research&pubdate\\_year=2008&volume=23&firstpage=275&submit=yes](http://ejc.sagepub.com.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/search?author1=Hojjer&fulltext=Ontological%20Assumptions%20and%20Generalizations%20in%20Qualitative%20(Audience)%20Research&pubdate_year=2008&volume=23&firstpage=275&submit=yes)

131.

Arild Fetveit. Anti-essentialism and reception studies: In defense of the text. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*,. 4:173–99.

132.

David Buckingham. `Creative' visual methods in media research: possibilities, problems and proposals. *Media, Culture & Society*,. 31:633–52.

133.

Cavalcante A, Press A, Sender K. Feminist reception studies in a post-audience age: returning to audiences and everyday life. *Feminist Media Studies*. 2017 Jan 2;17(1):1–13.

134.

Tse T. Reconceptualising prosumption beyond the cultural turn : passive fashion consumption in Korea and China. *Journal of Consumer Culture*. 2018;o (o) 1.

135.

Bird SE. ARE WE ALL PRODUSERS NOW? *Cultural Studies*. 2011 Sep;25(4-5):502-16.

136.

Sonia Livingstone. The Challenge of Changing Audiences: Or, What is the Audience Researcher to Do in the Age of the Internet? *European Journal of Communication*, [Internet]. 19(1):75-86. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1177%2F0267323104040695>

137.

Nancy Thumin. Self-Representation and Digital Culture. *European Journal of Communication*,. 2013;28(6):729-30.

138.

Thumim N. Self-representation and digital culture [Internet]. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2012. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=990162>

139.

Henry Jenkins. The Cultural Logic of Media Convergence. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*,. 7:33-43.

140.

Morley D. Unanswered Questions in Audience Research. *The Communication Review*. 2006 Jul;9(2):101-21.

141.

Jermyn D, Holmes S. The Audience is Dead; Long Live the Audience!: Interactivity, 'Telephilia' and the Contemporary Television Audience. *Critical Studies in Television: The International Journal of Television Studies*. 2006 Mar 1;1(1):49-57.

142.

Hartley J, Burgess J, Bruns A, editors. *The End of Audiences? In: A Companion to New Media Dynamics* [Internet]. Chichester, [England]: Wiley-Blackwell; 2013. Available from: <http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/reader.action?docID=3422436&ppg=126>

143.

Press AL. Audience Research in the Post-Audience Age: An Introduction to Barker and Morley. *The Communication Review*. 2006 Jul;9(2):93-100.

144.

Ranjana Das. Converging perspectives in audience studies and digital literacies: Youthful interpretations of an online genre. *European Journal of Communication*, [Internet]. 26:343-60. Available from: [http://ejc.sagepub.com/search?author1=Das&fulltext=Converging%20Perspectives%20in%20Audience%20Studies%20and%20Digital%20Literacies:%20Youthful%20Interpretations%20of%20an%20Online%20Genre&pubdate\\_year=2011&volume=26&firstpage=343&submit=yes](http://ejc.sagepub.com/search?author1=Das&fulltext=Converging%20Perspectives%20in%20Audience%20Studies%20and%20Digital%20Literacies:%20Youthful%20Interpretations%20of%20an%20Online%20Genre&pubdate_year=2011&volume=26&firstpage=343&submit=yes)

145.

The communication review (Yverdon, Switzerland). 2006;9(2):123-41. Available from: [http://gl9sn3dh2u.search.serialssolutions.com/?ctx\\_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx\\_enc=info%3Aofi%2Fenc%3AUTF-8&rft\\_id=info:sid/summon.serialssolutions.com&rft\\_val\\_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:journal&rft.genre=article&rft.atitle=I+have+seen+the+future+and+it+is+not+here+yet+...%3A+Or%2C+on+being+ambitious+for+audience+research&rft.jtitle=The+Communication+Review&rft.au=Barker%2C+M&rft.date=2006&rft.eissn=1547-7487&rft.volume=9&rft.issue=2&rft.spage=123&rft.epage=141&rft.externalDBID=n%2Fa&rft.externalDocID=CAX0290060002004&paramdict=en-US](http://gl9sn3dh2u.search.serialssolutions.com/?ctx_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx_enc=info%3Aofi%2Fenc%3AUTF-8&rft_id=info:sid/summon.serialssolutions.com&rft_val_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:journal&rft.genre=article&rft.atitle=I+have+seen+the+future+and+it+is+not+here+yet+...%3A+Or%2C+on+being+ambitious+for+audience+research&rft.jtitle=The+Communication+Review&rft.au=Barker%2C+M&rft.date=2006&rft.eissn=1547-7487&rft.volume=9&rft.issue=2&rft.spage=123&rft.epage=141&rft.externalDBID=n%2Fa&rft.externalDocID=CAX0290060002004&paramdict=en-US)

146.

José van Dijck. Users like you? Theorizing agency in user-generated content. *Media, Culture & Society*,. 31:41-58.

147.

Hartley J, Burgess J, Bruns A. A companion to new media dynamics [Internet]. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons; 2013. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=3422436>

148.

Lapsley R. Psychoanalytic Criticism. In: The Routledge companion to critical theory. London: Routledge; 2006.

149.

O'Shaughnessy M. Promoting 'emotion': Feelings, film studies and teaching or understanding films; understanding ourselves. Metro Media and Education. 1994;97.

150.

Mansfield N. Lacan : The Subject is Language. In: Subjectivity: Theories of the self from Freud to Haraway [Internet]. Sydney: Allen & Unwin; 2000. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=286495>

151.

Whitehouse-Hart J, SpringerLink (Online service). Psychosocial Explorations of Film and Television Viewing: Ordinary Audience [Internet]. London: Palgrave Macmillan UK; 2014. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1057/9781137465146>

152.

Kavka M. Reality television, affect and intimacy: reality matters. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2008.

153.

Manley J, Crociani-Windland L. Social dreaming, associative thinking and intensities of affect [Internet]. Cham, Switzerland: Palgrave Macmillan; 2018. Available from:

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=5497847>

154.

Rose G. *Visual methodologies: an introduction to researching with visual materials*. 3rd ed. London: SAGE; 2012.

155.

Meissner, W W. Notes on identification. I. Origins in Freud. *The Psychoanalytic quarterly* [Internet]. 39(4):563-89. Available from:  
[https://librarysearch.le.ac.uk/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=TN\\_medline4921741&context=PC&vid=44UOLE\\_NUI&lang=en\\_US&search\\_scope=default\\_scope&adaptor=primo\\_central\\_multiple\\_fe&tab=default\\_tab&query=any,contains,W.W.%20Meissner,%201970.%20Notes%20on%20Identification%20I.%20Origens%20in%20Freud,%20Psychoanalytic%20Quarterly,%2039,%20563-589.%20&pcAvailability=false](https://librarysearch.le.ac.uk/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=TN_medline4921741&context=PC&vid=44UOLE_NUI&lang=en_US&search_scope=default_scope&adaptor=primo_central_multiple_fe&tab=default_tab&query=any,contains,W.W.%20Meissner,%201970.%20Notes%20on%20Identification%20I.%20Origens%20in%20Freud,%20Psychoanalytic%20Quarterly,%2039,%20563-589.%20&pcAvailability=false)

156.

Sandler J, Sigmund Freud Center for Study and Research in Psychoanalysis (Universit

ah ha'Ivrit bi-Yerushalayim). *Projection, identification, projective identification* [Internet]. London: Karnac Books; 1988. Available from:  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=709550>

157.

Pink S. *Doing visual ethnography: images, media and representation in research* [Internet]. 2nd ed. London: SAGE; 2007. Available from:  
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=LeicesterU&isbn=9781446296035>

158.

Claydon E, Whitehouse-Hart J. Overcoming' the 'Battlefield of the Mind': A Psycho-linguistic Examination of the Discourse of Digital-Televangelists Self-Help Texts'. *Language and Psychoanalysis* [Internet]. 2018;7 (2) 2-28. Available from:  
<http://www.language-and-psychoanalysis.com/article/view/2824>



159.

Campbell HA, La Pastina AC. How the iPhone became divine: new media, religion and the intertextual circulation of meaning. *New Media & Society*. 2010 Nov;12(7):1191–207.

160.

Campbell H. Digital religion: understanding religious practice in new media worlds [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2013. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=1097827>

161.

Campbell H, Garner S. Networked theology: negotiating faith in digital culture [Internet]. Grand Rapids, Michigan: Baker Academic; 2016. Available from: <http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=5248646>

162.

Campbell H, Grieve GP, editors. Playing with religion in digital games [Internet]. Bloomington, Indiana: Indiana University Press; 2014. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?pq-origsite=primo&docID=1680203>

163.

Tsuria R, Yadlin-Segal A, Vitullo A, Campbell HA. Approaches to digital methods in studies of digital religion. *The Communication Review*. 2017 Apr 3;20(2):73–97.

164.

Xu S, Campbell HA. Surveying digital religion in China: Characteristics of religion on the Internet in Mainland China. *The Communication Review*. 2018 Oct 2;21(4):253–76.

165.

Morgan D. Religion and media: A critical review of recent developments. *Critical Research on Religion*. 2013 Dec;1(3):347–56.

166.

Lundby K. PATTERNS OF BELONGING IN ONLINE/OFFLINE INTERFACES OF RELIGION. *Information, Communication & Society*. 2011 Dec;14(8):1219–35.

167.

Rippen A. Internet: Implications and Future Possibilities'. In: *Muslims And The New Information And Communication Technologies Notes From An Emerging And Infinite Field* [Internet]. Springer; 2014. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=1636818>

168.

Hoover SM, Clark LS. Practicing religion in the age of the media: explorations in media, religion, and culture [Internet]. New York: Columbia University Press; 2002. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?pq-origsite=primo&docID=895172>

169.

Bolongaro KAM. Pauline Hope Cheong, Peter Fischer-Nielsen, Stefan Gelfgren & Charles Ess (Eds.): *Digital Religion, Social Media and Culture: Perspectives, Practices and Futures*. New York: Peter Lang Publishing, Inc. 2012. *MedieKultur: Journal of media and communication research*. 2013 Dec 21;29(55).

170.

Cheong PH. *Digital religion, social media, and culture: perspectives, practices, and futures*. Vol. v. 78. New York: Peter Lang; 2012.

171.

Lofton K. Religion and the American Celebrity. *Social Compass*. 2011 Sep;58(3):346–52.

172.

Nikolas Coupland. *The Handbook of Language and Globalization (Blackwell Handbooks in Linguistics)* [Internet]. Wiley-Blackwell; 10AD. Available from:

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=4041769>

173.

Stephen Parker. Winnicott's object relations theory and the work of the Holy Spirit. *Journal of Psychology and Theology* [Internet]. Available from: <http://go.galegroup.com.ezproxy4.lib.le.ac.uk/ps/i.do?id=GALE%7CA192863906&v=2.1&u=leicester&it=r&p=EAIM&sw=w>

174.

Harris J, Watson E. *The Oprah phenomenon* [Internet]. Updated edition. Lexington: University Press of Kentucky; 2007. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/reader.action?docID=1920897&ppg=15>

175.

Glad B, Beradt C. The Third Reich of Dreams. *The American Political Science Review*. 1969 Jun;63(2).

176.

Glad B, Beradt C. The Third Reich of Dreams. *The American Political Science Review*. 1969 Jun;63(2).

177.

Whitehouse-Hart J, SpringerLink (Online service). *Psychosocial Explorations of Film and Television Viewing: Ordinary Audience* [Internet]. London: Palgrave Macmillan UK; 2014. Available from: <http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1057/9781137465146>

178.

O'Shaughnessy M. Promoting 'emotion': Feelings, film studies and teaching or understanding films; understanding ourselves. *Metro Media and Education*. 1994;97.

179.

Hills M. Michael Jackson Fans on Trial? "Documenting" Emotivism and Fandom in. *Social Semiotics*. 2007 Dec;17(4):459-77.

180.

Melissa A. ClickSuzanne Scott. *The Routledge Companion to Media Fandom* (Routledge Media and Cultural Studies Companions) [Internet]. Routledge; 1 edition; 9AD. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=5122937>

181.

Gorton K. *Media audiences: television, meaning and emotion* [Internet]. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press; 2009. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?pq-origsite=primo&docID=536997>