MS 7013 Approaches to 'Audiences' and 'Users'

View Online

1.

Mytton, G., Diem, P. & Dam, P. H. van. Media audience research: a guide for professionals. (SAGE Publications, Incorporated, 2016).

2.

Lacey, N. Media institutions and audiences: key concepts in media studies. (Palgrave, 2002).

3.

McKee, A. Textual analysis: a beginner's guide. (Sage Publications, 2003).

4.

Sconce, J. Haunted media: electronic presence from telegraphy to television. (Duke University Press, 2000).

5.

Radway, J. A. Reading the romance: women, patriarchy, and popular literature. (University of North Carolina Press, 1991).

6.

Kavka, M. Reality television, affect and intimacy: reality matters. (Palgrave Macmillan, 2008).

7.

Duffy, B. E. Remake, remodel: women's magazines in the digital age. (University of Illinois Press, 2013).

8.

Alasuutari, P. Rethinking the media audience: the new agenda. (Sage, 1999).

9.

Ross, K. & Nightingale, V. Media and audiences: new perspectives. (Open University Press, 2003).

10.

Long, P. & Wall, T. Media studies: texts, production, context. (Pearson, 2012).

11.

Eagleton, T. Ideology. vol. Longman critical readers (Longman, 1994).

12.

Gorton, K. Media audiences: television, meaning and emotion. (Edinburgh University Press, 2009).

13.

Cantril, H., Gaudet, H., Herzog, H. & Welles, O. The invasion from Mars: a study in the psychology of panic : with the complete script of the famous Orson Welles broadcast. (Princeton University Press, 1982).

14.

Williams, K. Effects What Effects chapter 7. in Understanding Media Theory (Arnold, 2003).

15.

McLeod, D., Wise, D. & Perryman, M. Thinking about the media: A review of theory and research on media perceptions, media effects perception and their consequences. Review of Communication REsearch **Volume 5**, (2017).

16.

Abercrombie, N. & Longhurst, B. Changing audiences, changing paradigms of research Chapter one. in Audiences: a sociological theory of performance and imagination (Sage, 1998).

17.

Webster, James G. Audience, The. Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media **42**, (1998).

18.

Abercrombie, N. & Longhurst, B. Audiences: a sociological theory of performance and imagination. (Sage, 1998).

19.

Schrøder, K. C. Convergence of Antagonistic Traditions? The Case of Audience Research. European journal of communication **2**, 7–31 (1987).

20.

Barker, M. & Petley, J. Introduction: from bad research to good. in Ill effects: the media violence debate vol. Communication and society [Routledge] (Routledge, 2001).

21.

Livingstone, S. M. Making sense of television: the psychology of audience interpretation. vol. International series in social psychology (Routledge, 1998).

22.

Gauntlett, D. Ten things wrong with the "effects model.". in Approaches to audiences: a reader vol. Foundations in media (Arnold, 1998).

23.

Redman, P. & Open University. Attachment: sociology and social worlds. vol. Making social worlds (Manchester University Press in association with the Open University, 2008).

24.

Nightingale, V. Studying the television audience: the shock of the real. (Routledge, 1996).

25.

Tulloch, J. The implied audience in soap opera production: Everyday Rhetorical Strategies among television professionals. in Rethinking the media audience: the new agenda 151–178 (Sage, 1999).

26.

Gerbner et al, G. Growing up with television: The Cultivation Perspective. in Media effects: advances in theory and research vol. Communication series. Communication theory and methodology (Routledge, 2009).

27.

Blackman, L. & Walkerdine, V. Mass hysteria: critical psychology and media studies. (Macmillan, 2000).

28.

Barker, M. & Petley, J. Ill effects: the media violence debate. vol. Communication and society [Routledge] (Routledge, 2001).

29.

Michael O'Shaughnessy. Promoting 'emotion': Feelings, film studies and teaching or understanding films; understanding ourselves. Metro Media and Education **97**, 44–48 (1994).

30.

Mayer, V. The Places Where Audience Studies and Production Studies Meet. Television & New Media **17**, 706–718 (2016).

31.

Gray, J. Reviving audience studies. Critical Studies in Media Communication **34**, 79–83 (2017).

32.

Hermes, J., van den Berg, A. & Mol, M. Sleeping with the enemy: Audience studies and critical literacy. International Journal of Cultural Studies **16**, 457–473 (2013).

33.

Behrenshausen, B. G. The active audience, again: Player-centric game studies and the problem of binarism. New Media & Society **15**, 872–889 (2013).

34.

Athique, A. The dynamics and potentials of big data for audience research. Media, Culture & Society **40**, 59–74 (2018).

35.

Das, R. Audiences: a decade of transformations – reflections from the CEDAR network on emerging directions in audience analysis. Media, Culture & Society **39**, 1257–1267 (2017).

36.

Ross, K. & Playdon, P. Black marks: minority ethnic audiences and media. (Ashgate, 2001).

37.

Social comparison, social media, and self-esteem. Psychology of Popular Media Culture (2014).

38.

Sconce, J. The Voice from the Void. International Journal of Cultural Studies **1**, 211–232 (1998).

39.

Kavka, M. Reality television, affect and intimacy: reality matters. (Palgrave Macmillan, 2008).

40.

Jackson, R. L. & Sage reference on-line. Encyclopedia of identity. (SAGE, 2010).

41.

Rosengren, k. E. Uses and Gratifications: A Paradigm Outlined. in The uses of mass communications: current perspectives on gratifications research vol. Sage annual reviews of communication research 269–286 (Sage Publications, 1974).

42.

Shanahan, J. & Morgan, M. Television and its viewers: cultivation research and theory. (Cambridge University Press, 1999).

43.

Michael O'Shaughnessy. Promoting 'emotion': Feelings, film studies and teaching or understanding films; understanding ourselves. Metro Media and Education **97**, 44–48 (1994).

44.

Elliott, P. Uses and gratifications research: A critique and a sociological alternative. in The uses of mass communications: current perspectives on gratifications research vol. Sage annual reviews of communication research 249–268 (Sage Publications, 1974).

45.

Seiter, ellen. Making distinctions in TV audience research: Case study of a troubling interview. Cultural Studies **4**, (1990).

46.

Palmgreen, p, Wenner, L. A. & Rosengren, K. E. Uses and gratifications research: the past ten years. in Media gratifications research: current perspectives xx–xxx (Sage, 1985).

47.

Ruggiero, T. E. Uses and Gratifications Theory in the 21st Century. Mass Communication and Society **3**, 3–37 (2000).

48.

Redman, P. & Open University. Attachment: sociology and social worlds. (Manchester University Press in association with the Open University, 2008).

49.

Whitehouse-Hart, J. Psychosocial explorations of film and television viewing: ordinary audience. (Palgrave Macmillan, 2014).

50.

Bainbridge, C., Ward, I. & Yates, C. Television and psychoanalysis: psycho-cultural perspectives. (Karnac, 2014).

51.

Seiter, ellen. Making distinctions in TV audience research: Case study of a troubling interview. Cultural Studies **4**, (1990).

52.

Rosengren, K. Chapter 2 - Combinations, comparisons and confrontations: towards a comprehensive theory of audience research. in The audience and its landscape vol. Cultural studies [Westview Press] 23–51 (Westview Press, 1996).

53.

Seiter, E. Remote control: television, audiences and cultural power. (Routledge, 1989).

54.

Brooker, W. & Jermyn, D. The audience studies reader. (Routledge, 2003).

55.

Modleski, T. Loving with a vengeance: mass-produced fantasies for women. (Methuen, 1984).

56.

Hall, S. Encoding/ decoding. in Culture, media, language: working papers in cultural studies, 1972-79 vol. Working papers in cultural studies, 1972-79 117–128 (Hutchinson in association with the Centre for Contemporary Cultural Studies, University of Birmingham, 1980).

57.

Ang, I. On the politics of empirical audience research. in Media and cultural studies: keyworks vol. Keyworks in cultural studies (Blackwell, 2006).

58.

Hall, S. Reflections upon the Encoding/Decoding Model: An Interview with Stuart Hall. in Viewing, reading, listening: audiences and cultural reception vol. Cultural studies [Westview Press] 253–274 (Westview Press, 1994).

59.

Ang, I. & Couling, D. Watching Dallas: soap opera and the melodramatic imagination. (Routledge, 1996).

60.

Radway, J. A. Reading the romance: women, patriarchy and popular literature. (University of North Carolina Press, 1991).

61.

Ginsburg, F. D., Abu-Lughod, L. & Larkin, B. Media worlds: anthropology on new terrain. (University of California Press, 2002).

62.

Hobson, D. Crossroads: the drama of a soap opera. (Methuen, 1982).

63.

Gillespie, M. Television, ethnicity and cultural change. vol. Comedia (Routledge, 1995).

64.

Liebes, T. & Katz, E. The export of meaning: cross-cultural readings of Dallas. (Polity Press, 1993).

65.

Helen Wood. The mediated conversational floor: an interactive approach to audience reception analysis. Media, Culture & Society, **29**, 75–103.

66.

Martin J. Barker. The Lord of the Rings and ‘Identification’: A Critical Encounter. European Journal of Communication, **20**, 353–378.

67.

Tincknell, E. & Raghuram, P. Big Brother: Reconfiguring the `active' audience of cultural studies? European Journal of Cultural Studies **5**, 199–215 (2002).

68.

Victor Costello. Cultural Outlaws: An Examination of Audience Activity and Online Television Fandom. Television & New Media, **8**, 124–143.

69.

Elizabeth Jane Evans. Character, audience agency and transmedia drama. Media, Culture & Society, **30**, 197–213.

70.

Skeggs, B. & Wood, H. The labour of transformation and circuits of value ‘around’ reality television. Continuum **22**, 559–572 (2008).

71.

Brand New You | Kanopy.
https://le.kanopy.com/video/brand-new-you-makeover-television-and-american-dream.

72.

Skeggs, B., Thumim, N. & Wood, H. ‘Oh goodness, I am watching reality TV’. European Journal of Cultural Studies **11**, 5–24 (2008).

73.

Jin, D. New Korean Wave: Transnational Cultural Power in the Age of Social Media.

(University of Illinois Press, 2016).

74.

Livingstone, S. & Das, R. The End of Audiences? Theoretical echoes of reception amidst the uncertainties of use. in A companion to new media dynamics 104–122 (John Wiley & Sons, 2013).

75.

Cavalcante, A., Press, A. & Sender, K. Feminist reception studies in a post-audience age: returning to audiences and everyday life. Feminist Media Studies **17**, 1–13 (2017).

76.

Kavka, M. Reality television, affect and intimacy: reality matters. (Palgrave Macmillan, 2008).

77.

Wasko, J. Reality TV: Performance, Authenticity, and Television Audiences. in A companion to television vol. Blackwell companions in cultural studies A-Hill (Blackwell, 2005).

78.

Paddy Scannell. Big Brother as a Television Event. Television & New Media, **3**, 271–282.

79.

Piper, H. Understanding Reality Television * Reality TV - Audiences and Popular Factual Television * Reality TV - Realism and Revelation. Screen **47**, 133–138 (2006).

80.

Dovey, J. Freakshow: first person media and factual television. (Pluto Press, 2000).

81.

Skeggs, B. & Wood, H. Reality television and class. (BFI, 2011).

82.

Skeggs, B. & Wood, H. Reacting to reality television: performance, audience and value. (Routledge, 2012).

83.

Couldry, N. The Extended Audience: Scanning the Horizon'. in Media audiences (Open University Press, 2005).

84.

Gillespie, M. Television, ethnicity and cultural change. vol. Comedia (Routledge, 1995).

85.

Wood, H. What Reading the Romance Did for Us. European Journal of Cultural Studies **7**, 147–154 (2004).

86.

Ong, J. C. Watching the Nation, Singing the Nation: London-Based Filipino Migrants' Identity Constructions in News and Karaoke Practices. Communication, Culture & Critique **2**, 160–181 (2009).

87.

Skeggs, B., Thumim, N. & Wood, H. 'Oh goodness, I am watching reality TV'. European Journal of Cultural Studies **11**, 5–24 (2008).

88.

Skeggs, B. & Wood, H. Turning it on is a class act: immediated object relations with television. Media, Culture & Society **33**, 941–951 (2011).

89.

Skeggs, B. & Wood, H. Reacting to Reality Television: Performance, Audience and Value. (Taylor & Francis Group, 2014).

90.

Skeggs, B. & Wood, H. Reality television and class. (BFI, 2011).

91.

Sender, K. & Sullivan, M. Epidemics of will, failures of self-esteem: Responding to fat bodies in and. Continuum **22**, 573–584 (2008).

92.

Sender, K. Reconsidering Reflexivity: Audience Research and Reality Television. The Communication Review **18**, 37–52 (2015).

93.

Readdy, T. & Ebbeck, V. Weighing in on NBC's The Biggest Loser. Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport **83**, 579–586 (2012).

94.

Sender, K. The makeover: reality television and reflexive audiences. (New York University Press, 2012).

95.

Sender, K. Queens for a Day: and the Neoliberal Project. Critical Studies in Media Communication **23**, 131–151 (2006).

96.

Redman, P., Maples, W., & Open University. Good essay writing: a social sciences guide. (SAGE, 2017).

97.

Bonnett, A. How to argue: a student's guide. (Pearson Education, 2001).

98.

Pears, R. & Shields, G. J. Cite them right: the essential referencing guide. (Bloomsbury Academic, 2022).

99.

Lowes, R., Peters, H. & Turner, M. C. The international student's guide: studying in English at university. vol. Sage study skills (SAGE, 2004).

100.

Ferrucci, P. & Painter, C. Print Versus Digital. Journal of Communication Inquiry **41**, 124–139 (2017).

101.

Madianou, M. & Miller, D. Polymedia: Towards a new theory of digital media in interpersonal communication. International Journal of Cultural Studies **16**, 169–187 (2013).

102.

Madianou, M. Smartphones as Polymedia. Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication **19**, 667–680 (2014).

103.

Ytre-Arne, B. 'I want to hold it in my hands': readers' experiences of the phenomenological differences between women's magazines online and in print. Media, Culture & Society **33**, 467–477 (2011).

104.

Das, R. & Sonia, L. The End of Audiences? Theoretical echoes of reception amidst the uncertainties of use. in A companion to new media dynamics (John Wiley & Sons, 2013).

105.

Hartley, J., Burgess, J. & Bruns, A. A companion to new media dynamics. (John Wiley & Sons, 2013).

106.

Mirca Madianou. Polymedia: Towards a new theory of digital media in interpersonal communication. International Journal of Cultural Studies, **16**, 169–187.

107.

Mediatization and the 'molding force' of the media. http://www.degruyter.com/view/j/commun.2012.37.1.issue-1/commun-2012-0001/commun-2012-0001.xml doi:10.1515/commun-2012-0001.

108.

Hepp, A. Cultures of mediatization. (Polity, 2012).

109.

Ian Hutchby. Technologies, Texts and Affordances. Sociology **35**, 441–456 (2001).

110.

Miller, D. Tales from Facebook. (Polity, 2011).

111.

Jenkins, H. Convergence culture: where old and new media collide. (New York University Press, 2008).

112.

Dallas, S. On the Audience Commodity and its work. in Approaches to media: a reader vol. Foundations in media (Arnold, 1995).

113.

Toynbee, J. The Media's View of the Audience. in Media Production 91–133 (Open University Press, 2006).

114.

Long, P. & Wall, T. Media studies: texts, production, context. (Pearson, 2012).

115.

Lee McGuigan. Consumers: The Commodity Product of Interactive Commercial Television, or, Is Dallas Smythe's Thesis More Germane Than Ever? The Journal of Communication Inquiry **36**, (2012).

116.

Ang, Ien. Desperately Seeking the Audience. (Routledge, 1991).

117.

Webster, J. G., Phalen, P. F. & Lichty, L. W. Ratings analysis: the theory and practice of audience research. vol. LEA's communication series (L. Erlbaum Associates, 2006).

118.

Gitlin, T. Inside prime time. (University of California Press, 2000).

119.

Greene, Kira. TV's test pilots. Broadcasting & Cable. **130**, (2000).

120.

Hayes, Dade dhayes@nbmedia.com. Inside TV's Secret Lab. (cover story). Broadcasting & Cable. **145**, 4–6 (2015).

121.

P, M. Made to Order and Standardized Audiences: forms of reality in audience measurements. in Audience making: how the media create the audience vol. Sage annual reviews of communication research 57–74 (Sage, 1994).

122.

Serials Solutions Article Linker -. http://gl9sn3dh2u.search.serialssolutions.com/?ctx_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx_enc=info%3Aofi%2Fenc%3AUTF-8&rfr_id=info:sid/summon.serialssolutions.com&rft_val_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:book&rft.genre=book&rft.title=Audience+Economics&rft.au=PHILIP+M.+NAPOLI&rft.date=2003-09-25&rft.pub=Columbia+University+Press&rft_id=info:doi/10.7312%2Fnapo12652&rft.externalDocID=napo12652&paramdict=en-US.

123.

Twitter to drive TV Ratings beyond an 'assumption' of engagement. B and T Weekly http://www.bandt.com.au/media/twitter-to-drive-tv-ratings-beyond-an-assumption-o (7AD).

124.

Neilsen Launches 'Neilsen Twitter TV Ratings'. http://web.b.ebscohost.com/ehost/detail/detail?vid=1&sid=263c30ed-675a-4554-859f-e35ae5e4887b%40sessionmgr120&hid=110&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZWhvc3QtbGl2ZQ%3d%3d#db=bwh&AN=bizwire.c51050908.

125.

Radway, J. A. Reading the romance: women, patriarchy, and popular literature. (University of North Carolina Press, 1991).

126.

Livingstone, sonia. Relationships between media and audiences: Prospects for future audience reception studies. in Media, ritual, and identity vol. Communication and society (Routledge, 1998).

127.

Morley, D. Unanswered Questions in Audience Research. The Communication Review **9**, 101–121 (2006).

128.

Barker, M. I Have Seen the Future and It Is Not Here Yet …; or, On Being Ambitious for Audience Research. The Communication Review **9**, 123–141 (2006).

129.

Seiter, E. Remote control: television, audiences and cultural power. (Routledge, 1989).

130.

Birgitta Höijer. Ontological Assumptions and Generalizations in Qualitative (Audience) Research. European Journal of Communication, **23**, 275–294.

131.

Arild Fetveit. Anti-essentialism and reception studies: In defense of the text. International Journal of Cultural Studies, **4**, 173–199.

132.

David Buckingham. `Creative' visual methods in media research: possibilities, problems and proposals. Media, Culture & Society, **31**, 633–652.

133.

Cavalcante, A., Press, A. & Sender, K. Feminist reception studies in a post-audience age:

returning to audiences and everyday life. Feminist Media Studies **17**, 1–13 (2017).

134.

Tse, T. Reconceptualising prosumption beyond the cultural turn : passive fashion consumption in Korea and China. journal of Consumer Culture **o (o) 1**, (2018).

135.

Bird, S. E. ARE WE ALL PRODUSERS NOW? Cultural Studies **25**, 502–516 (2011).

136.

Sonia Livingstone. The Challenge of Changing Audiences: Or, What is the Audience Researcher to Do in the Age of the Internet? European Journal of Communication, **19**, 75–86.

137.

Nancy Thumin. Self-Representation and Digital Culture. European Journal of Communication, **28**, 729–730 (2013).

138.

Thumim, N. Self-representation and digital culture. (Palgrave Macmillan, 2012).

139.

Henry Jenkins. The Cultural Logic of Media Convergence. International Journal of Cultural Studies, **7**, 33–43.

140.

Morley, D. Unanswered Questions in Audience Research. The Communication Review **9**, 101–121 (2006).

141.

Jermyn, D. & Holmes, S. The Audience is Dead; Long Live the Audience!: Interactivity, 'Telephilia' and the Contemporary Television Audience. Critical Studies in Television: The International Journal of Television Studies **1**, 49–57 (2006).

142.

The End of Audiences? in A Companion to New Media Dynamics (eds. Hartley, J., Burgess, J. & Bruns, A.) (Wiley-Blackwell, 2013).

143.

Press, A. L. Audience Research in the Post-Audience Age: An Introduction to Barker and Morley. The Communication Review **9**, 93–100 (2006).

144.

Ranjana Das. Converging perspectives in audience studies and digital literacies: Youthful interpretations of an online genre. European Journal of Communication, **26**, 343–360.

145.

The communication review (Yverdon, Switzerland). **9**, 123–141 (2006).

146.

José van Dijck. Users like you? Theorizing agency in user-generated content. Media, Culture & Society, **31**, 41–58.

147.

Hartley, J., Burgess, J. & Bruns, A. A companion to new media dynamics. (John Wiley & Sons, 2013).

148.

Lapsley, R. Psychoanalytic Criticism. in The Routledge companion to critical theory

(Routledge, 2006).

149.

O'Shaughnessy, M. Promoting 'emotion': Feelings, film studies and teaching or understanding films; understanding ourselves. Metro Media and Education **97**, (1994).

150.

Mansfield, N. Lacan : The Subject is Language. in Subjectivity: Theories of the self from Freud to Haraway (Allen & Unwin, 2000).

151.

Whitehouse-Hart, J. & SpringerLink (Online service). Psychosocial Explorations of Film and Television Viewing: Ordinary Audience. (Palgrave Macmillan UK, 2014).

152.

Kavka, M. Reality television, affect and intimacy: reality matters. (Palgrave Macmillan, 2008).

153.

Manley, J. & Crociani-Windland, L. Social dreaming, associative thinking and intensities of affect. (Palgrave Macmillan, 2018).

154.

Rose, G. Visual methodologies: an introduction to researching with visual materials. (SAGE, 2012).

155.

Meissner, W W. Notes on identification. I. Origins in Freud. The Psychoanalytic quarterly **39** , 563–89.

156.

Sandler, J. & Sigmund Freud Center for Study and Research in Psychoanalysis (Universit

ah ha'Ivrit bi-Yerushalayim). Projection, identification, projective identification. (Karnac Books, 1988).

157.

Pink, S. Doing visual ethnography: images, media and representation in research. (SAGE, 2007).

158.

Claydon, E. & Whitehouse-Hart, J. Overcoming' the 'Battlefield of the Mind': A Psycho-linguistic Examination of the Discourse of Digital-Televangelists Self-Help Texts'. Language and Psychoanalysis **7 (2) 2-28**, (2018).

159.

Campbell, H. A. & La Pastina, A. C. How the iPhone became divine: new media, religion and the intertextual circulation of meaning. New Media & Society **12**, 1191–1207 (2010).

160.

Campbell, H. Digital religion: understanding religious practice in new media worlds. (Routledge, 2013).

161.

Campbell, H. & Garner, S. Networked theology: negotiating faith in digital culture. (Baker Academic, 2016).

162.

Playing with religion in digital games. (Indiana University Press, 2014).

163.

Tsuria, R., Yadlin-Segal, A., Vitullo, A. & Campbell, H. A. Approaches to digital methods in studies of digital religion. The Communication Review **20**, 73–97 (2017).

164.

Xu, S. & Campbell, H. A. Surveying digital religion in China: Characteristics of religion on the Internet in Mainland China. The Communication Review **21**, 253–276 (2018).

165.

Morgan, D. Religion and media: A critical review of recent developments. Critical Research on Religion **1**, 347–356 (2013).

166.

Lundby, K. PATTERNS OF BELONGING IN ONLINE/OFFLINE INTERFACES OF RELIGION. Information, Communication & Society **14**, 1219–1235 (2011).

167.

Rippen, A. Internet: Implications and Future Possibilities'. in Muslims And The New Information And Communication Technologies Notes From An Emerging And Infinite Field (Springer, 2014).

168.

Hoover, S. M. & Clark, L. S. Practicing religion in the age of the media: explorations in media, religion, and culture. (Columbia University Press, 2002).

169.

Bolongaro, K. A. M. Pauline Hope Cheong, Peter Fischer-Nielsen, Stefan Gelfgren & Charles Ess (Eds.): Digital Religion, Social Media and Culture: Perspectives, Practices and Futures. New York: Peter Lang Publishing, Inc. 2012. MedieKultur: Journal of media and communication research **29**, (2013).

170.

Cheong, P. H. Digital religion, social media, and culture: perspectives, practices, and futures. vol. v. 78 (Peter Lang, 2012).

171.

Lofton, K. Religion and the American Celebrity. Social Compass **58**, 346–352 (2011).

172.

Nikolas Coupland. The Handbook of Language and Globalization (Blackwell Handbooks in Linguistics). (Wiley-Blackwell, 10AD).

173.

Stephen Parker. Winnicott's object relations theory and the work of the Holy Spirit. Journal of Psychology and Theology.

174.

Harris, J. & Watson, E. The Oprah phenomenon. (University Press of Kentucky, 2007).

175.

Glad, B. & Beradt, C. The Third Reich of Dreams. The American Political Science Review **63**, (1969).

176.

Glad, B. & Beradt, C. The Third Reich of Dreams. The American Political Science Review **63**, (1969).

177.

Whitehouse-Hart, J. & SpringerLink (Online service). Psychosocial Explorations of Film and Television Viewing: Ordinary Audience. (Palgrave Macmillan UK, 2014).

178.

O'Shaughnessy, M. Promoting 'emotion': Feelings, film studies and teaching or understanding films; understanding ourselves. Metro Media and Education **97**, (1994).

179.

Hills, M. Michael Jackson Fans on Trial? "Documenting" Emotivism and Fandom in. Social Semiotics **17**, 459–477 (2007).

180.

Melissa A. ClickSuzanne Scott. The Routledge Companion to Media Fandom (Routledge Media and Cultural Studies Companions). (Routledge; 1 edition, 9AD).

181.

Gorton, K. Media audiences: television, meaning and emotion. (Edinburgh University Press, 2009).