

# MS 7013 Approaches to 'Audiences' and 'Users'

View Online



---

1

Mytton G, Diem P, Dam PH van. Media audience research: a guide for professionals. Third edition. Thousand Oaks, California: : SAGE Publications, Incorporated 2016.  
<http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=4440204>

2

Lacey N. Media institutions and audiences: key concepts in media studies. Basingstoke: : Palgrave 2002.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=327969>

3

McKee A. Textual analysis: a beginner's guide. London: : Sage Publications 2003.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?pq-origsite=primo&docID=254647>

4

Sconce J. Haunted media: electronic presence from telegraphy to television. Durham, NC: : Duke University Press 2000.

5

Radway JA. Reading the romance: women, patriarchy, and popular literature. 2nd ed. Chapel Hill: : University of North Carolina Press 1991.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=880363>

6

Kavka M. Reality television, affect and intimacy: reality matters. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2008.

7

Duffy BE. Remake, remodel: women's magazines in the digital age. Urbana, Illinois: : University of Illinois Press 2013.

8

Alasuutari P. Rethinking the media audience: the new agenda. London: : Sage 1999.

9

Ross K, Nightingale V. Media and audiences: new perspectives. Maidenhead, Berkshire, England: : Open University Press 2003.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?pq-origsite=primo&docID=295455>

10

Long P, Wall T. Media studies: texts, production, context. 2nd ed. Harlow: : Pearson 2012.  
<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=812615>

11

Eagleton T. Ideology. London: : Longman 1994.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=1746767>

12

Gorton K. Media audiences: television, meaning and emotion. Edinburgh: : Edinburgh University Press 2009.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?pq-origsite=primo&docID=536997>

13

Cantril H, Gaudet H, Herzog H, et al. The invasion from Mars: a study in the psychology of panic : with the complete script of the famous Orson Welles broadcast. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1982.

14

Williams K. Effects What Effects chapter 7. In: Understanding Media Theory. Arnold 2003.

15

McLeod D, Wise D, Perryman M. Thinking about the media: A review of theory and research on media perceptions, media effects perception and their consequences. Review of Communication REsearch 2017;**Volume 5**.

16

Abercrombie N, Longhurst B. Changing audiences, changing paradigms of research Chapter one. In: Audiences: a sociological theory of performance and imagination. London: : Sage 1998. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=1024126>

17

Webster, James G. Audience, The. Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media 1998;**42** .<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/jbem42&collection=journals&page=190>

18

Abercrombie N, Longhurst B. Audiences: a sociological theory of performance and imagination. London: : Sage 1998. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=1024126>

19

Schrøder KC. Convergence of Antagonistic Traditions? The Case of Audience Research. European journal of communication 1987;**2**:7–31.

20

Barker M, Petley J. Introduction: from bad research to good. In: Ill effects: the media violence debate. London: : Routledge 2001.

[http://le.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package\\_service\\_id=5665221790002746&institutionId=2746&customerId=2745](http://le.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=5665221790002746&institutionId=2746&customerId=2745)

21

Livingstone SM. Making sense of television: the psychology of audience interpretation. 2nd ed. London: : Routledge 1998.

22

Gauntlett D. Ten things wrong with the "effects model.". In: Approaches to audiences: a reader. London: : Arnold 1998.

23

Redman P, Open University. Attachment: sociology and social worlds. Manchester: : Manchester University Press in association with the Open University 2008.

24

Nightingale V. Studying the television audience: the shock of the real. London: : Routledge 1996.

25

Tulloch J. The implied audience in soap opera production: Everyday Rhetorical Strategies among television professionals. In: Rethinking the media audience: the new agenda. London: : Sage 1999.

151-78.<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.myilibrary.com?id=226264>

26

Gerbner et al G. Growing up with television: The Cultivation Perspective. In: Media effects: advances in theory and research. New York: : Routledge 2009.

<http://site.ebrary.com/lib/leicester/Doc?id=10274244>

27

Blackman L, Walkerdine V. Mass hysteria: critical psychology and media studies. Basingstoke: : Macmillan 2000.

28

Barker M, Petley J. Ill effects: the media violence debate. 2nd ed. London: : Routledge 2001.

<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&scope=site&db=nlebk&db=nlabk&AN=84561>

29

Michael O'Shaughnessy. Promoting 'emotion': Feelings, film studies and teaching or understanding films; understanding ourselves. *Metro Media and Education* 1994;**97**:44-8.

30

Mayer V. The Places Where Audience Studies and Production Studies Meet. *Television & New Media* 2016;**17**:706-18. doi:10.1177/1527476416652482

31

Gray J. Reviving audience studies. *Critical Studies in Media Communication* 2017;**34**:79-83. doi:10.1080/15295036.2016.1266680

32

Hermes J, van den Berg A, Mol M. Sleeping with the enemy: Audience studies and critical literacy. *International Journal of Cultural Studies* 2013;**16**:457-73. doi:10.1177/1367877912474547

33

Behrenshausen BG. The active audience, again: Player-centric game studies and the problem of binarism. *New Media & Society* 2013;**15**:872–89.  
doi:10.1177/1461444812462843

34

Athique A. The dynamics and potentials of big data for audience research. *Media, Culture & Society* 2018;**40**:59–74. doi:10.1177/0163443717693681

35

Das R. Audiences: a decade of transformations – reflections from the CEDAR network on emerging directions in audience analysis. *Media, Culture & Society* 2017;**39**:1257–67.  
doi:10.1177/0163443717717632

36

Ross K, Playdon P. *Black marks: minority ethnic audiences and media*. Aldershot: : Ashgate 2001.

37

Social comparison, social media, and self-esteem. *Psychology of Popular Media Culture*  
Published Online First:  
2014.<http://search.ebscohost.com.ezproxy4.lib.le.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pdh&AN=2014-33471-001&site=ehost-live>

38

Sconce J. The Voice from the Void. *International Journal of Cultural Studies* 1998;**1**:211–32.  
doi:10.1177/13678779980010020401

39

Kavka M. *Reality television, affect and intimacy: reality matters*. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2008.

40

Jackson RL, Sage reference on-line. Encyclopedia of identity. London: : SAGE 2010.  
<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://knowledge.sagepub.com/view/identity/SAGE.xml>

41

Rosengren k. E. Uses and Gratifications: A Paradigm Outlined. In: The uses of mass communications: current perspectives on gratifications research. Beverly Hills, Calif: : Sage Publications 1974. 269-86.

42

Shanahan J, Morgan M. Television and its viewers: cultivation research and theory. Cambridge, UK: : Cambridge University Press 1999.  
<http://site.ebrary.com/lib/leicester/Doc?id=5001729>

43

Michael O'Shaughnessy. Promoting 'emotion': Feelings, film studies and teaching or understanding films; understanding ourselves. Metro Media and Education 1994;**97**:44-8.

44

Elliott P. Uses and gratifications research: A critique and a sociological alternative. In: The uses of mass communications: current perspectives on gratifications research. Beverly Hills, Calif: : Sage Publications 1974. 249-68.

45

Seiter ellen. Making distinctions in TV audience research: Case study of a troubling interview. Cultural Studies 1990;**4**.

46

Palmgreen p, Wenner LA, Rosengren KE. Uses and gratifications research: the past ten years. In: Media gratifications research: current perspectives. Beverly Hills: : Sage 1985. xx-xxx.

47

Ruggiero TE. Uses and Gratifications Theory in the 21st Century. *Mass Communication and Society* 2000;**3**:3-37. doi:10.1207/S15327825MCS0301\_02

48

Redman P, Open University. Attachment: sociology and social worlds. Manchester: : Manchester University Press in association with the Open University 2008.

49

Whitehouse-Hart J. Psychosocial explorations of film and television viewing: ordinary audience. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2014.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=1880204>

50

Bainbridge C, Ward I, Yates C. Television and psychoanalysis: psycho-cultural perspectives. London: : Karnac 2014.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=1574555>

51

Seiter ellen. Making distinctions in TV audience research: Case study of a troubling interview. *Cultural Studies* 1990;**4**.

52

Rosengren K. Chapter 2 - Combinations, comparisons and confrontations: towards a comprehensive theory of audience research. In: *The audience and its landscape*. Boulder, Colo: : Westview Press 1996. 23-51.

53

Seiter E. Remote control: television, audiences and cultural power. London: : Routledge 1989.



54

Brooker W, Jermyn D. The audience studies reader. London: : Routledge 2003.

55

Modleski T. Loving with a vengeance: mass-produced fantasies for women. New York: : Methuen 1984.

56

Hall S. Encoding/ decoding. In: Culture, media, language: working papers in cultural studies, 1972-79. London: : Hutchinson in association with the Centre for Contemporary Cultural Studies, University of Birmingham 1980. 117-28.[http://le.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package\\_service\\_id=5665769750002746&institutionId=2746&customerId=2745](http://le.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=5665769750002746&institutionId=2746&customerId=2745)

57

Ang I. On the politics of empirical audience research. In: Media and cultural studies: keywords. Malden, MA: : Blackwell 2006.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=239901>

58

Hall S. Reflections upon the Encoding/Decoding Model: An Interview with Stuart Hall. In: Viewing, reading, listening: audiences and cultural reception. Boulder, Colo: : Westview Press 1994. 253-74.

59

Ang I, Couling D. Watching Dallas: soap opera and the melodramatic imagination. New York: : Routledge 1996. <http://site.ebrary.com/lib/leicester/Doc?id=10763823>

60

Radway JA. Reading the romance: women, patriarchy and popular literature. Chapel Hill, N.C.: : University of North Carolina Press 1991.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?pq-origsite=primo&docID=880363>

61

Ginsburg FD, Abu-Lughod L, Larkin B. Media worlds: anthropology on new terrain. Berkeley: : University of California Press 2002.

<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://site.ebrary.com/lib/leicester/Doc?id=10058549>

62

Hobson D. Crossroads: the drama of a soap opera. London: : Methuen 1982.

63

Gillespie M. Television, ethnicity and cultural change. London: : Routledge 1995.

64

Liebes T, Katz E. The export of meaning: cross-cultural readings of Dallas. 2nd ed. Cambridge: : Polity Press 1993.

65

Helen Wood. The mediated conversational floor: an interactive approach to audience reception analysis. *Media, Culture & Society*,; **29**:75–103. doi:10.1177/0163443706072000

66

Martin J. Barker. The Lord of the Rings and 'Identification': A Critical Encounter. *European Journal of Communication*,; **20**:353–78. <http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/0267323105055262>

67

Tincknell E, Raghuram P. Big Brother: Reconfiguring the `active' audience of cultural studies? *European Journal of Cultural Studies* 2002; **5**:199–215.

doi:10.1177/1364942002005002159

68

Victor Costello. Cultural Outlaws: An Examination of Audience Activity and Online Television Fandom. *Television & New Media*,; **8**:124-43. doi:10.1177/1527476406299112

69

Elizabeth Jane Evans. Character, audience agency and transmedia drama. *Media, Culture & Society*,; **30**:197-213. doi:10.1177/0163443707086861

70

Skeggs B, Wood H. The labour of transformation and circuits of value 'around' reality television. *Continuum* 2008;**22**:559-72. doi:10.1080/10304310801983664

71

Brand New You | Kanopy.  
<https://le.kanopy.com/video/brand-new-you-makeover-television-and-american-dream>

72

Skeggs B, Thumim N, Wood H. 'Oh goodness, I am watching reality TV'. *European Journal of Cultural Studies* 2008;**11**:5-24. doi:10.1177/1367549407084961

73

Jin D. *New Korean Wave: Transnational Cultural Power in the Age of Social Media*. Baltimore: : University of Illinois Press 2016.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=4443546>

74

Livingstone S, Das R. The End of Audiences? Theoretical echoes of reception amidst the uncertainties of use. In: *A companion to new media dynamics*. Chichester: : John Wiley & Sons 2013.  
104-22. <http://le.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService>

e&package\_service\_id=5665206730002746&institutionId=2746&customerId=2745

75

Cavalcante A, Press A, Sender K. Feminist reception studies in a post-audience age: returning to audiences and everyday life. *Feminist Media Studies* 2017;**17**:1-13.  
doi:10.1080/14680777.2017.1261822

76

Kavka M. *Reality television, affect and intimacy: reality matters*. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2008.

77

Wasko J. *Reality TV: Performance, Authenticity, and Television Audiences*. In: *A companion to television*. Malden, Mass: : Blackwell 2005.

A-Hill.[http://le.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package\\_service\\_id=5665769740002746&institutionId=2746&customerId=2745](http://le.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=5665769740002746&institutionId=2746&customerId=2745)

78

Paddy Scannell. Big Brother as a Television Event. *Television & New Media*,;**3**:271-82.  
doi:10.1177/152747640200300303

79

Piper H. Understanding Reality Television \* Reality TV - Audiences and Popular Factual Television \* Reality TV - Realism and Revelation. *Screen* 2006;**47**:133-8.  
doi:10.1093/screen/hjl012

80

Dovey J. *Freakshow: first person media and factual television*. London: : Pluto Press 2000.  
<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://site.ebrary.com/lib/leicester/Doc?id=2001153>

81

Skeggs B, Wood H. Reality television and class. London: : BFI 2011.

82

Skeggs B, Wood H. Reacting to reality television: performance, audience and value. New York: : Routledge 2012.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=957761>

83

Couldry N. The Extended Audience: Scanning the Horizon'. In: Media audiences. Maidenhead: : Open University Press 2005.

84

Gillespie M. Television, ethnicity and cultural change. London: : Routledge 1995.

85

Wood H. What Reading the Romance Did for Us. European Journal of Cultural Studies 2004; **7**:147-54. doi:10.1177/1367549404042487

86

Ong JC. Watching the Nation, Singing the Nation: London-Based Filipino Migrants' Identity Constructions in News and Karaoke Practices. Communication, Culture & Critique 2009;**2** :160-81. doi:10.1111/j.1753-9137.2009.01033.x

87

Skeggs B, Thumim N, Wood H. 'Oh goodness, I am watching reality TV'. European Journal of Cultural Studies 2008;**11**:5-24. doi:10.1177/1367549407084961

88

Skeggs B, Wood H. Turning it on is a class act: immediated object relations with television. Media, Culture & Society 2011;**33**:941-51. doi:10.1177/0163443711412298

89

Skeggs B, Wood H. *Reacting to Reality Television: Performance, Audience and Value*. Florence: : Taylor & Francis Group 2014.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=957761>

90

Skeggs B, Wood H. *Reality television and class*. London: : BFI 2011.

91

Sender K, Sullivan M. Epidemics of will, failures of self-esteem: Responding to fat bodies in and. *Continuum* 2008;**22**:573–84. doi:10.1080/10304310802190046

92

Sender K. Reconsidering Reflexivity: Audience Research and Reality Television. *The Communication Review* 2015;**18**:37–52. doi:10.1080/10714421.2015.996414

93

Readdy T, Ebbeck V. Weighing in on NBC's *The Biggest Loser*. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport* 2012;**83**:579–86. doi:10.1080/02701367.2012.10599255

94

Sender K. *The makeover: reality television and reflexive audiences*. New York: : New York University Press 2012.  
<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.18574/nyu/9780814740699.001.0001>

95

Sender K. Queens for a Day: \_\_\_\_\_ and the Neoliberal Project. *Critical Studies in Media Communication* 2006;**23**:131–51. doi:10.1080/07393180600714505

96

Redman P, Maples W, Open University. Good essay writing: a social sciences guide. Fifth edition. Los Angeles: : SAGE 2017.

97

Bonnett A. How to argue: a student's guide. Harlow: : Pearson Education 2001.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=5185704>

98

Pears R, Shields GJ. Cite them right: the essential referencing guide. 12th edition. New York: : Bloomsbury Academic 2022.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=6992940>

99

Lowes R, Peters H, Turner MC. The international student's guide: studying in English at university. Thousand Oaks, Calif: : SAGE 2004.  
<http://site.ebrary.com/lib/leicester/Doc?id=10218078>

100

Ferrucci P, Painter C. Print Versus Digital. Journal of Communication Inquiry 2017;**41**:124-39. doi:10.1177/0196859917690533

101

Madianou M, Miller D. Polymedia: Towards a new theory of digital media in interpersonal communication. International Journal of Cultural Studies 2013;**16**:169-87.  
doi:10.1177/1367877912452486

102

Madianou M. Smartphones as Polymedia. Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication 2014;**19**:667-80. doi:10.1111/jcc4.12069

103

Ytre-Arne B. 'I want to hold it in my hands': readers' experiences of the phenomenological differences between women's magazines online and in print. *Media, Culture & Society* 2011;**33**:467–77. doi:10.1177/01634437111398766

104

Das R, Sonia L. The End of Audiences? Theoretical echoes of reception amidst the uncertainties of use. In: *A companion to new media dynamics*. Chichester: : John Wiley & Sons 2013.  
[http://le.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package\\_service\\_id=5665880050002746&institutionId=2746&customerId=2745](http://le.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=5665880050002746&institutionId=2746&customerId=2745)

105

Hartley J, Burgess J, Bruns A. *A companion to new media dynamics*. Chichester: : John Wiley & Sons 2013.  
<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&scope=site&db=nlebk&db=nlabk&AN=531267>

106

Mirca Madianou. Polymedia: Towards a new theory of digital media in interpersonal communication. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*,; **16**:169–87. [http://ics.sagepub.com/search?author1=Madianou&fulltext=Polymedia:%20Towards%20a%20new%20theory%20of%20digital%20media%20in%20interpersonal%20communication&pubdate\\_year=2013&volume=16&firstpage=169&submit=yes](http://ics.sagepub.com/search?author1=Madianou&fulltext=Polymedia:%20Towards%20a%20new%20theory%20of%20digital%20media%20in%20interpersonal%20communication&pubdate_year=2013&volume=16&firstpage=169&submit=yes)

107

Mediatization and the 'molding force' of the media. doi:10.1515/commun-2012-0001

108

Hepp A. *Cultures of mediatization*. Cambridge: : Polity 2012.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=1180925>

109



Ian Hutchby. Technologies, Texts and Affordances. *Sociology* 2001;**35**:441-56. [http://www.jstor.org/stable/42856294?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org/stable/42856294?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

110

Miller D. Tales from Facebook. Cambridge: : Polity 2011. <http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=571931>

111

Jenkins H. Convergence culture: where old and new media collide. Updated and with a new afterword. New York, N.Y.: : New York University Press 2008.

112

Dallas S. On the Audience Commodity and its work. In: *Approaches to media: a reader*. London: : Arnold 1995.

113

Toynbee J. The Media's View of the Audience. In: *Media Production*. Maidenhead: : Open University Press 2006. 91-133.

114

Long P, Wall T. *Media studies: texts, production, context*. 2nd ed. Harlow: : Pearson 2012. <http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=812615>

115

Lee McGuigan. Consumers: The Commodity Product of Interactive Commercial Television, or, Is Dallas Smythe's Thesis More Germane Than Ever? *The Journal of Communication Inquiry* 2012;**36**. doi:10.1177/0196859912459756

116

Ang, Ien. *Desperately Seeking the Audience*. Routledge 1991.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=165716>

117

Webster JG, Phalen PF, Lichty LW. *Ratings analysis: the theory and practice of audience research*. 3rd ed. Mahwah, N.J.: : L. Erlbaum Associates 2006.

118

Gitlin T. *Inside prime time*. Rev. ed. Berkeley, Calif: : University of California Press 2000.

119

Greene, Kira. TV's test pilots. *Broadcasting & Cable* 2000;**130**  
.http://search.ebscohost.com.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bah&AN=3364522&site=ehost-live

120

Hayes, Dade dhayes@nbmedia.com. Inside TV's Secret Lab. (cover story). *Broadcasting & Cable* 2015;**145**  
:4-6.http://search.ebscohost.com.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bah&AN=102711548&site=ehost-live

121

P M. Made to Order and Standardized Audiences: forms of reality in audience measurements. In: *Audience making: how the media create the audience*. Thousand Oaks, Calif: : Sage 1994. 57-74.

122

Serials Solutions Article Linker -.  
[http://gl9sn3dh2u.search.serialssolutions.com/?ctx\\_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx\\_enc=info%3Aofi%2Fenc%3AUTF-8&rft\\_id=info:sid/summon.serialssolutions.com&rft\\_val\\_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:book&rft.genre=book&rft.title=Audience+Economics&rft.au=PHILIP+M.+NAPOLI&rft.date=2003-09-25&rft.pub=Columbia+University+Press&rft\\_id=info:doi/10.7312%2Fnapo12652&rft.externalDocID=napo12652&paramdict=en-US](http://gl9sn3dh2u.search.serialssolutions.com/?ctx_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx_enc=info%3Aofi%2Fenc%3AUTF-8&rft_id=info:sid/summon.serialssolutions.com&rft_val_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:book&rft.genre=book&rft.title=Audience+Economics&rft.au=PHILIP+M.+NAPOLI&rft.date=2003-09-25&rft.pub=Columbia+University+Press&rft_id=info:doi/10.7312%2Fnapo12652&rft.externalDocID=napo12652&paramdict=en-US)

123

Twitter to drive TV Ratings beyond an 'assumption' of engagement. B and T Weekly.  
7AD.<http://www.bandt.com.au/media/twitter-to-drive-tv-ratings-beyond-an-assumption-o>

124

Neilsen Launches 'Neilsen Twitter TV Ratings'.  
<http://web.b.ebscohost.com/ehost/detail/detail?vid=1&sid=263c30ed-675a-4554-859f-e35ae5e4887b%40sessionmgr120&hid=110&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZWZWhvc3QtbGl2ZQ%3d%3d#db=bwh&AN=bizwire.c51050908>

125

Radway JA. Reading the romance: women, patriarchy, and popular literature. 2nd ed.  
Chapel Hill: : University of North Carolina Press 1991.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=880363>

126

Livingstone sonia. Relationships between media and audiences: Prospects for future  
audience reception studies. In: Media, ritual, and identity. London: : Routledge 1998.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=169411>

127

Morley D. Unanswered Questions in Audience Research. The Communication Review 2006;  
**9**:101-21. doi:10.1080/10714420600663286

128

Barker M. I Have Seen the Future and It Is Not Here Yet ...; or, On Being Ambitious for  
Audience Research. The Communication Review 2006;**9**:123-41.  
doi:10.1080/10714420600663310

129

Seiter E. Remote control: television, audiences and cultural power. London: : Routledge 1989.

130

Birgitta Höijer. Ontological Assumptions and Generalizations in Qualitative (Audience) Research. *European Journal of Communication*,; **23**:275–94. [http://ejc.sagepub.com.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/search?author1=Hojjer&fulltext=Ontological%20Assumptions%20and%20Generalizations%20in%20Qualitative%20\(Audience\)%20Research&pubdate\\_year=2008&volume=23&firstpage=275&submit=yes](http://ejc.sagepub.com.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/search?author1=Hojjer&fulltext=Ontological%20Assumptions%20and%20Generalizations%20in%20Qualitative%20(Audience)%20Research&pubdate_year=2008&volume=23&firstpage=275&submit=yes)

131

Arild Fetveit. Anti-essentialism and reception studies: In defense of the text. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*,; **4**:173–99. doi:10.1177/136787790100400203

132

David Buckingham. `Creative' visual methods in media research: possibilities, problems and proposals. *Media, Culture & Society*,; **31**:633–52. doi:10.1177/0163443709335280

133

Cavalcante A, Press A, Sender K. Feminist reception studies in a post-audience age: returning to audiences and everyday life. *Feminist Media Studies* 2017; **17**:1–13. doi:10.1080/14680777.2017.1261822

134

Tse T. Reconceptualising prosumption beyond the cultural turn : passive fashion consumption in Korea and China. *Journal of Consumer Culture* 2018; **o (o) 1**. doi:10.1177/1469540518804300

135

Bird SE. ARE WE ALL PRODUSERS NOW? *Cultural Studies* 2011; **25**:502–16. doi:10.1080/09502386.2011.600532

136

Sonia Livingstone. The Challenge of Changing Audiences: Or, What is the Audience Researcher to Do in the Age of the Internet? *European Journal of Communication*,; **19**:75–86. <http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1177%2F0267323104040695>

137

Nancy Thumin. Self-Representation and Digital Culture. *European Journal of Communication*, 2013; **28**:729–30. doi:10.1177/0267323113505802c

138

Thumim N. Self-representation and digital culture. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2012. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=990162>

139

Henry Jenkins. The Cultural Logic of Media Convergence. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*,; **7**:33–43. doi:10.1177/1367877904040603

140

Morley D. Unanswered Questions in Audience Research. *The Communication Review* 2006; **9**:101–21. doi:10.1080/10714420600663286

141

Jermyn D, Holmes S. The Audience is Dead; Long Live the Audience!: Interactivity, 'Telephilia' and the Contemporary Television Audience. *Critical Studies in Television: The International Journal of Television Studies* 2006; **1**:49–57. doi:10.7227/CST.1.1.8

142

Hartley J, Burgess J, Bruns A, editors. The End of Audiences? In: *A Companion to New Media Dynamics*. Chichester, [England]: : Wiley-Blackwell 2013. <http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/reader.action?docID=3422436&ppg=126>

143

Press AL. Audience Research in the Post-Audience Age: An Introduction to Barker and Morley. *The Communication Review* 2006;**9**:93–100. doi:10.1080/10714420600663278

144

Ranjana Das. Converging perspectives in audience studies and digital literacies: Youthful interpretations of an online genre. *European Journal of Communication*,;**26**:343–60. [http://ejc.sagepub.com/search?author1=Das&fulltext=Converging%20Perspectives%20in%20Audience%20Studies%20and%20Digital%20Literacies:%20Youthful%20Interpretations%20of%20an%20Online%20Genre&pubdate\\_year=2011&volume=26&firstpage=343&submit=yes](http://ejc.sagepub.com/search?author1=Das&fulltext=Converging%20Perspectives%20in%20Audience%20Studies%20and%20Digital%20Literacies:%20Youthful%20Interpretations%20of%20an%20Online%20Genre&pubdate_year=2011&volume=26&firstpage=343&submit=yes)

145

The communication review (Yverdon, Switzerland). 2006;**9**:123–41. [http://gl9sn3dh2u.search.serialssolutions.com/?ctx\\_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx\\_enc=info%3Aofi%2Fenc%3AUTF-8&rft\\_id=info:sid/summon.serialssolutions.com&rft\\_val\\_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:journal&rft.genre=article&rft.atitle=I+have+seen+the+future+and+it+is+not+here+yet+...%3A+Or%2C+on+being+ambitious+for+audience+research&rft.jtitle=The+Communication+Review&rft.au=Barker%2C+M&rft.date=2006&rft.eissn=1547-7487&rft.volume=9&rft.issue=2&rft.spage=123&rft.epage=141&rft.externalDBID=n%2Fa&rft.externalDocID=CAX0290060002004&paramdict=en-US](http://gl9sn3dh2u.search.serialssolutions.com/?ctx_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx_enc=info%3Aofi%2Fenc%3AUTF-8&rft_id=info:sid/summon.serialssolutions.com&rft_val_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:journal&rft.genre=article&rft.atitle=I+have+seen+the+future+and+it+is+not+here+yet+...%3A+Or%2C+on+being+ambitious+for+audience+research&rft.jtitle=The+Communication+Review&rft.au=Barker%2C+M&rft.date=2006&rft.eissn=1547-7487&rft.volume=9&rft.issue=2&rft.spage=123&rft.epage=141&rft.externalDBID=n%2Fa&rft.externalDocID=CAX0290060002004&paramdict=en-US)

146

José van Dijck. Users like you? Theorizing agency in user-generated content. *Media, Culture & Society*,;**31**:41–58. doi:10.1177/0163443708098245

147

Hartley J, Burgess J, Bruns A. *A companion to new media dynamics*. Chichester: : John Wiley & Sons 2013. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=3422436>

148

Lapsley R. Psychoanalytic Criticism. In: *The Routledge companion to critical theory*. London: : Routledge 2006.

149

O'Shaughnessy M. Promoting 'emotion': Feelings, film studies and teaching or understanding films; understanding ourselves. *Metro Media and Education* 1994;**97**.

150

Mansfield N. Lacan : The Subject is Language. In: *Subjectivity: Theories of the self from Freud to Haraway*. Sydney: : Allen & Unwin 2000.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=286495>

151

Whitehouse-Hart J, SpringerLink (Online service). *Psychosocial Explorations of Film and Television Viewing: Ordinary Audience*. London: : Palgrave Macmillan UK 2014.  
<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1057/9781137465146>

152

Kavka M. *Reality television, affect and intimacy: reality matters*. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2008.

153

Manley J, Crociani-Windland L. *Social dreaming, associative thinking and intensities of affect*. Cham, Switzerland: : Palgrave Macmillan 2018.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=5497847>

154

Rose G. *Visual methodologies: an introduction to researching with visual materials*. 3rd ed. London: : SAGE 2012.

155

Meissner, W W. Notes on identification. I. Origins in Freud. *The Psychoanalytic quarterly*;**39** :563-89.  
[https://librarysearch.le.ac.uk/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=TN\\_medline4921741&context=PC&vid=44UOLE\\_NUI&lang=en\\_US&search\\_scope=default](https://librarysearch.le.ac.uk/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=TN_medline4921741&context=PC&vid=44UOLE_NUI&lang=en_US&search_scope=default)

\_scope&adaptor=primo\_central\_multiple\_fe&tab=default\_tab&query=any,contains,W.W.%20Meissner,%201970.%20Notes%20on%20Identification%20I.%20Origens%20in%20Freud,%20Psychoanalytic%20Quarterly,%2039,%20563-589.%20&pcAvailability=false

156

Sandler J, Sigmund Freud Center for Study and Research in Psychoanalysis (Universit

ah ha'Ivrit bi-Yerushalayim). Projection, identification, projective identification. London: : Karnac Books 1988.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=709550>

157

Pink S. Doing visual ethnography: images, media and representation in research. 2nd ed. London: : SAGE 2007.

<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=LeicesterU&isbn=9781446296035>

158

Claydon E, Whitehouse-Hart J. Overcoming' the 'Battlefield of the Mind': A Psycho-linguistic Examination of the Discourse of Digital-Televangelists Self-Help Texts'. Language and Psychoanalysis 2018;**7 (2) 2-28**. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.7565/landp.v7i21587>

159

Campbell HA, La Pastina AC. How the iPhone became divine: new media, religion and the intertextual circulation of meaning. New Media & Society 2010;**12**:1191-207.

doi:[10.1177/1461444810362204](https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444810362204)

160

Campbell H. Digital religion: understanding religious practice in new media worlds. London: : Routledge 2013.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=1097827>

161



Campbell H, Garner S. Networked theology: negotiating faith in digital culture. Grand Rapids, Michigan: : Baker Academic 2016.  
<http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=5248646>

162

Campbell H, Grieve GP, editors. Playing with religion in digital games. Bloomington, Indiana: : Indiana University Press 2014.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?pq-origsite=primo&docID=1680203>

163

Tsuria R, Yadlin-Segal A, Vitullo A, et al. Approaches to digital methods in studies of digital religion. *The Communication Review* 2017;**20**:73–97.  
doi:10.1080/10714421.2017.1304137

164

Xu S, Campbell HA. Surveying digital religion in China: Characteristics of religion on the Internet in Mainland China. *The Communication Review* 2018;**21**:253–76.  
doi:10.1080/10714421.2018.1535729

165

Morgan D. Religion and media: A critical review of recent developments. *Critical Research on Religion* 2013;**1**:347–56. doi:10.1177/2050303213506476

166

Lundby K. PATTERNS OF BELONGING IN ONLINE/OFFLINE INTERFACES OF RELIGION. *Information, Communication & Society* 2011;**14**:1219–35.  
doi:10.1080/1369118X.2011.594077

167

Rippen A. Internet: Implications and Future Possibilities'. In: *Muslims And The New Information And Communication Technologies Notes From An Emerging And Infinite Field*. Springer 2014.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=1636818>

168

Hoover SM, Clark LS. Practicing religion in the age of the media: explorations in media, religion, and culture. New York: : Columbia University Press 2002.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?pq-origsite=primo&docID=895172>

169

Bolongaro KAM. Pauline Hope Cheong, Peter Fischer-Nielsen, Stefan Gelfgren & Charles Ess (Eds.): Digital Religion, Social Media and Culture: Perspectives, Practices and Futures. New York: Peter Lang Publishing, Inc. 2012. *MedieKultur: Journal of media and communication research* 2013;**29**. doi:10.7146/mediekultur.v29i55.9716

170

Cheong PH. Digital religion, social media, and culture: perspectives, practices, and futures. New York: : Peter Lang 2012.

171

Lofton K. Religion and the American Celebrity. *Social Compass* 2011;**58**:346-52.  
doi:10.1177/0037768611412143

172

Nikolas Coupland. The Handbook of Language and Globalization (Blackwell Handbooks in Linguistics). Wiley-Blackwell 10AD.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=4041769>

173

Stephen Parker. Winnicott's object relations theory and the work of the Holy Spirit. *Journal of Psychology and Theology*  
<http://go.galegroup.com.ezproxy4.lib.le.ac.uk/ps/i.do?id=GALE%7CA192863906&v=2.1&u=leicester&it=r&p=EAIM&sw=w>

174

Harris J, Watson E. The Oprah phenomenon. Updated edition. Lexington: : University Press of Kentucky 2007.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/reader.action?docID=1920897&ppg=15>

175

Glad B, Beradt C. The Third Reich of Dreams. The American Political Science Review 1969; **63**. doi:10.2307/1954716

176

Glad B, Beradt C. The Third Reich of Dreams. The American Political Science Review 1969; **63**. doi:10.2307/1954716

177

Whitehouse-Hart J, SpringerLink (Online service). Psychosocial Explorations of Film and Television Viewing: Ordinary Audience. London: : Palgrave Macmillan UK 2014.

<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1057/9781137465146>

178

O'Shaughnessy M. Promoting 'emotion': Feelings, film studies and teaching or understanding films; understanding ourselves. Metro Media and Education 1994;**97**.

179

Hills M. Michael Jackson Fans on Trial? "Documenting" Emotivism and Fandom in. Social Semiotics 2007;**17**:459-77. doi:10.1080/10350330701637056

180

Melissa A. ClickSuzanne Scott. The Routledge Companion to Media Fandom (Routledge Media and Cultural Studies Companions). Routledge; 1 edition 9AD.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=5122937>

181

Gorton K. Media audiences: television, meaning and emotion. Edinburgh: : Edinburgh University Press 2009.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?pq-origsite=primo&docID=536997>