

# MS 7013 Approaches to 'Audiences' and 'Users'

View Online



- 
1.  
Mytton G, Diem P, Dam PH van. Media Audience Research: A Guide for Professionals. Third edition. SAGE Publications, Incorporated; 2016.  
<http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=4440204>
  
  2.  
Lacey N. Media Institutions and Audiences: Key Concepts in Media Studies. Palgrave; 2002.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=327969>
  
  3.  
McKee A. Textual Analysis: A Beginner's Guide. Sage Publications; 2003.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?pq-origsite=primo&docID=254647>
  
  4.  
Sconce J. Haunted Media: Electronic Presence from Telegraphy to Television. Duke University Press; 2000.
  
  5.  
Radway JA. Reading the Romance: Women, Patriarchy, and Popular Literature. 2nd ed. University of North Carolina Press; 1991.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=880363>
  
  - 6.

Kavka M. Reality Television, Affect and Intimacy: Reality Matters. Palgrave Macmillan; 2008.

7.

Duffy BE. Remake, Remodel: Women's Magazines in the Digital Age. University of Illinois Press; 2013.

8.

Alasuutari P. Rethinking the Media Audience: The New Agenda. Sage; 1999.

9.

Ross K, Nightingale V. Media and Audiences: New Perspectives. Open University Press; 2003.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?pq-origsite=primo&docID=295455>

10.

Long P, Wall T. Media Studies: Texts, Production, Context. 2nd ed. Pearson; 2012.  
<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&mp;db=nlebk&AN=812615>

11.

Eagleton T. Ideology. Vol Longman critical readers. Longman; 1994.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=1746767>

12.

Gorton K. Media Audiences: Television, Meaning and Emotion. Edinburgh University Press; 2009.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?pq-origsite=primo&docID=536997>

13.

Cantril H, Gaudet H, Herzog H, Welles O. The Invasion from Mars: A Study in the Psychology of Panic : With the Complete Script of the Famous Orson Welles Broadcast. Princeton University Press; 1982.

14.

Williams K. Effects What Effects chapter 7. In: Understanding Media Theory. Arnold; 2003.

15.

McLeod D, Wise D, Perryman M. Thinking about the media: A review of theory and research on media perceptions, media effects perception and their consequences. Review of Communication REsearch. 2017;Volume 5.

16.

Abercrombie N, Longhurst B. Changing audiences, changing paradigms of research Chapter one. In: Audiences: A Sociological Theory of Performance and Imagination. Sage; 1998. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=1024126>

17.

Webster, James G. Audience, The. Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media. 1998;42. <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/jbem42&collection=journals&page=190>

18.

Abercrombie N, Longhurst B. Audiences: A Sociological Theory of Performance and Imagination. Sage; 1998. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=1024126>

19.

Schrøder KC. Convergence of Antagonistic Traditions? The Case of Audience Research. European journal of communication. 1987;2(1):7-31.

20.

Barker M, Petley J. Introduction: from bad research to good. In: Ill Effects: The Media Violence Debate. Vol Communication and society [Routledge]. 2nd ed. Routledge; 2001. [http://le.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package\\_service\\_id=5665221790002746&institutionId=2746&customerId=2745](http://le.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=5665221790002746&institutionId=2746&customerId=2745)

21.

Livingstone SM. Making Sense of Television: The Psychology of Audience Interpretation. Vol International series in social psychology. 2nd ed. Routledge; 1998.

22.

Gauntlett D. Ten things wrong with the "effects model.". In: Approaches to Audiences: A Reader. Vol Foundations in media. Arnold; 1998.

23.

Redman P, Open University. Attachment: Sociology and Social Worlds. Vol Making social worlds. Manchester University Press in association with the Open University; 2008.

24.

Nightingale V. Studying the Television Audience: The Shock of the Real. Routledge; 1996.

25.

Tulloch J. The implied audience in soap opera production: Everyday Rhetorical Strategies among television professionals. In: Rethinking the Media Audience: The New Agenda. Sage; 1999:151-178. <http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.mylibrary.com?id=226264>

26.

Gerbner et al G. Growing up with television: The Cultivation Perspective. In: Media Effects: Advances in Theory and Research. Vol Communication series. Communication theory and methodology. 3rd ed. Routledge; 2009. <http://site.ebrary.com/lib/leicester/Doc?id=10274244>

27.

Blackman L, Walkerdine V. *Mass Hysteria: Critical Psychology and Media Studies*. Macmillan; 2000.

28.

Barker M, Petley J. III *Effects: The Media Violence Debate*. Vol *Communication and society* [Routledge]. 2nd ed. Routledge; 2001.  
<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&scope=site&db=nlebk&db=nlabk&AN=84561>

29.

Michael O'Shaughnessy. Promoting 'emotion': Feelings, film studies and teaching or understanding films; understanding ourselves. *Metro Media and Education*. 1994;97:44-48.

30.

Mayer V. The Places Where Audience Studies and Production Studies Meet. *Television & New Media*. 2016;17(8):706-718. doi:10.1177/1527476416652482

31.

Gray J. Reviving audience studies. *Critical Studies in Media Communication*. 2017;34(1):79-83. doi:10.1080/15295036.2016.1266680

32.

Hermes J, van den Berg A, Mol M. Sleeping with the enemy: Audience studies and critical literacy. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*. 2013;16(5):457-473.  
doi:10.1177/1367877912474547

33.

Behrenshausen BG. The active audience, again: Player-centric game studies and the problem of binarism. *New Media & Society*. 2013;15(6):872-889.

doi:10.1177/1461444812462843

34.

Athique A. The dynamics and potentials of big data for audience research. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2018;40(1):59-74. doi:10.1177/0163443717693681

35.

Das R. Audiences: a decade of transformations – reflections from the CEDAR network on emerging directions in audience analysis. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2017;39(8):1257-1267. doi:10.1177/0163443717717632

36.

Ross K, Playdon P. *Black Marks: Minority Ethnic Audiences and Media*. Ashgate; 2001.

37.

Social comparison, social media, and self-esteem. *Psychology of Popular Media Culture*. Published online 2014.  
<http://search.ebscohost.com.ezproxy4.lib.le.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pdh&mp;AN=2014-33471-001&site=ehost-live>

38.

Sconce J. The Voice from the Void. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*. 1998;1(2):211-232. doi:10.1177/13678779980010020401

39.

Kavka M. *Reality Television, Affect and Intimacy: Reality Matters*. Palgrave Macmillan; 2008.

40.

Jackson RL, Sage reference on-line. *Encyclopedia of Identity*. SAGE; 2010.  
<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://knowledge.sagepub.com/view/identity/SAGE.xml>

41.

Rosengren k. E. Uses and Gratifications: A Paradigm Outlined. In: The Uses of Mass Communications: Current Perspectives on Gratifications Research. Vol Sage annual reviews of communication research. Sage Publications; 1974:269-286.

42.

Shanahan J, Morgan M. Television and Its Viewers: Cultivation Research and Theory. Cambridge University Press; 1999. <http://site.ebrary.com/lib/leicester/Doc?id=5001729>

43.

Michael O'Shaughnessy. Promoting 'emotion': Feelings, film studies and teaching or understanding films; understanding ourselves. Metro Media and Education. 1994;97:44-48.

44.

Elliott P. Uses and gratifications research: A critique and a sociological alternative. In: The Uses of Mass Communications: Current Perspectives on Gratifications Research. Vol Sage annual reviews of communication research. Sage Publications; 1974:249-268.

45.

Seiter ellen. Making distinctions in TV audience research: Case study of a troubling interview. Cultural Studies. 1990;4(1).

46.

Palmgreen p, Wenner LA, Rosengren KE. Uses and gratifications research: the past ten years. In: Media Gratifications Research: Current Perspectives. Sage; 1985:xx-xxx.

47.

Ruggiero TE. Uses and Gratifications Theory in the 21st Century. Mass Communication and Society. 2000;3(1):3-37. doi:10.1207/S15327825MCS0301\_02

48.

Redman P, Open University. Attachment: Sociology and Social Worlds. Manchester University Press in association with the Open University; 2008.

49.

Whitehouse-Hart J. Psychosocial Explorations of Film and Television Viewing: Ordinary Audience. Palgrave Macmillan; 2014.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=1880204>

50.

Bainbridge C, Ward I, Yates C. Television and Psychoanalysis: Psycho-Cultural Perspectives . Karnac; 2014.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=1574555>

51.

Seiter ellen. Making distinctions in TV audience research: Case study of a troubling interview. Cultural Studies. 1990;4(1).

52.

Rosengren K. Chapter 2 - Combinations, comparisons and confrontations: towards a comprehensive theory of audience research. In: The Audience and Its Landscape. Vol Cultural studies [Westview Press]. Westview Press; 1996:23-51.

53.

Seiter E. Remote Control: Television, Audiences and Cultural Power. Routledge; 1989.

54.

Brooker W, Jermyn D. The Audience Studies Reader. Routledge; 2003.



55.

Modleski T. *Loving with a Vengeance: Mass-Produced Fantasies for Women*. Methuen; 1984.

56.

Hall S. Encoding/ decoding. In: *Culture, Media, Language: Working Papers in Cultural Studies, 1972-79*. Vol *Working papers in cultural studies, 1972-79*. Hutchinson in association with the Centre for Contemporary Cultural Studies, University of Birmingham; 1980:117-128.  
[http://le.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package\\_service\\_id=5665769750002746&institutionId=2746&customerId=2745](http://le.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=5665769750002746&institutionId=2746&customerId=2745)

57.

Ang I. On the politics of empirical audience research. In: *Media and Cultural Studies: Keywords*. Vol *Keywords in cultural studies*. Rev. ed. Blackwell; 2006.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=239901>

58.

Hall S. Reflections upon the Encoding/Decoding Model: An Interview with Stuart Hall. In: *Viewing, Reading, Listening: Audiences and Cultural Reception*. Vol *Cultural studies* [Westview Press]. Westview Press; 1994:253-274.

59.

Ang I, Couling D. *Watching Dallas: Soap Opera and the Melodramatic Imagination*. Routledge; 1996. <http://site.ebrary.com/lib/leicester/Doc?id=10763823>

60.

Radway JA. *Reading the Romance: Women, Patriarchy and Popular Literature*. University of North Carolina Press; 1991.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?pq-origsite=primo&docID=880363>

61.

Ginsburg FD, Abu-Lughod L, Larkin B. Media Worlds: Anthropology on New Terrain. University of California Press; 2002.  
<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://site.ebrary.com/lib/leicester/Doc?id=10058549>

62.

Hobson D. Crossroads: The Drama of a Soap Opera. Methuen; 1982.

63.

Gillespie M. Television, Ethnicity and Cultural Change. Vol Comedia. Routledge; 1995.

64.

Liebes T, Katz E. The Export of Meaning: Cross-Cultural Readings of Dallas. 2nd ed. Polity Press; 1993.

65.

Helen Wood. The mediated conversational floor: an interactive approach to audience reception analysis. *Media, Culture & Society*,. 29:75-103. doi:10.1177/0163443706072000

66.

Martin J. Barker. The Lord of the Rings and 'Identification': A Critical Encounter. *European Journal of Communication*,. 20:353-378.  
<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/0267323105055262>

67.

Tincknell E, Raghuram P. Big Brother: Reconfiguring the 'active' audience of cultural studies? *European Journal of Cultural Studies*. 2002;5(2):199-215.  
doi:10.1177/1364942002005002159

68.

Victor Costello. Cultural Outlaws: An Examination of Audience Activity and Online Television Fandom. *Television & New Media*,. 8:124-143. doi:10.1177/1527476406299112

69.

Elizabeth Jane Evans. Character, audience agency and transmedia drama. *Media, Culture & Society*,. 30:197-213. doi:10.1177/0163443707086861

70.

Skeggs B, Wood H. The labour of transformation and circuits of value 'around' reality television. *Continuum*. 2008;22(4):559-572. doi:10.1080/10304310801983664

71.

Brand New You | Kanopy.  
<https://le.kanopy.com/video/brand-new-you-makeover-television-and-american-dream>

72.

Skeggs B, Thumim N, Wood H. 'Oh goodness, I am watching reality TV'. *European Journal of Cultural Studies*. 2008;11(1):5-24. doi:10.1177/1367549407084961

73.

Jin D. *New Korean Wave: Transnational Cultural Power in the Age of Social Media*. University of Illinois Press; 2016.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=4443546>

74.

Livingstone S, Das R. The End of Audiences? Theoretical echoes of reception amidst the uncertainties of use. In: *A Companion to New Media Dynamics*. John Wiley & Sons; 2013:104-122.  
[http://le.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package\\_service\\_id=5665206730002746&institutionId=2746&customerId=2745](http://le.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=5665206730002746&institutionId=2746&customerId=2745)

75.

Cavalcante A, Press A, Sender K. Feminist reception studies in a post-audience age: returning to audiences and everyday life. *Feminist Media Studies*. 2017;17(1):1-13. doi:10.1080/14680777.2017.1261822

76.

Kavka M. *Reality Television, Affect and Intimacy: Reality Matters*. Palgrave Macmillan; 2008.

77.

Wasko J. *Reality TV: Performance, Authenticity, and Television Audiences*. In: *A Companion to Television*. Vol Blackwell companions in cultural studies. Blackwell; 2005:A-Hill. [http://le.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package\\_service\\_id=5665769740002746&institutionId=2746&customerId=2745](http://le.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=5665769740002746&institutionId=2746&customerId=2745)

78.

Paddy Scannell. Big Brother as a Television Event. *Television & New Media*,. 3:271-282. doi:10.1177/152747640200300303

79.

Piper H. Understanding Reality Television \* Reality TV - Audiences and Popular Factual Television \* Reality TV - Realism and Revelation. *Screen*. 2006;47(1):133-138. doi:10.1093/screen/hjl012

80.

Dovey J. *Freakshow: First Person Media and Factual Television*. Pluto Press; 2000. <http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://site.ebrary.com/lib/leicester/Doc?id=2001153>

81.

Skeggs B, Wood H. *Reality Television and Class*. BFI; 2011.

82.

Skeggs B, Wood H. *Reacting to Reality Television: Performance, Audience and Value*. Routledge; 2012.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=957761>

83.

Couldry N. *The Extended Audience: Scanning the Horizon*'. In: *Media Audiences*. Open University Press; 2005.

84.

Gillespie M. *Television, Ethnicity and Cultural Change. Vol Comedia*. Routledge; 1995.

85.

Wood H. *What Reading the Romance Did for Us*. *European Journal of Cultural Studies*. 2004;7(2):147-154. doi:10.1177/1367549404042487

86.

Ong JC. *Watching the Nation, Singing the Nation: London-Based Filipino Migrants' Identity Constructions in News and Karaoke Practices*. *Communication, Culture & Critique*. 2009;2(2):160-181. doi:10.1111/j.1753-9137.2009.01033.x

87.

Skeggs B, Thumim N, Wood H. *'Oh goodness, I am watching reality TV'*. *European Journal of Cultural Studies*. 2008;11(1):5-24. doi:10.1177/1367549407084961

88.

Skeggs B, Wood H. *Turning it on is a class act: immediated object relations with television*. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2011;33(6):941-951. doi:10.1177/0163443711412298

89.

Skeggs B, Wood H. *Reacting to Reality Television: Performance, Audience and Value*. Taylor & Francis Group; 2014.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=957761>

90.

Skeggs B, Wood H. *Reality Television and Class*. BFI; 2011.

91.

Sender K, Sullivan M. Epidemics of will, failures of self-esteem: Responding to fat bodies in and. *Continuum*. 2008;22(4):573-584. doi:10.1080/10304310802190046

92.

Sender K. Reconsidering Reflexivity: Audience Research and Reality Television. *The Communication Review*. 2015;18(1):37-52. doi:10.1080/10714421.2015.996414

93.

Readdy T, Ebbeck V. Weighing in on NBC's *The Biggest Loser*. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*. 2012;83(4):579-586. doi:10.1080/02701367.2012.10599255

94.

Sender K. *The Makeover: Reality Television and Reflexive Audiences*. New York University Press; 2012.  
<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.18574/nyu/9780814740699.001.0001>

95.

Sender K. Queens for a Day: \_\_\_\_\_ and the Neoliberal Project. *Critical Studies in Media Communication*. 2006;23(2):131-151. doi:10.1080/07393180600714505

96.

Redman P, Maples W, Open University. Good Essay Writing: A Social Sciences Guide. Fifth edition. SAGE; 2017.

97.

Bonnett A. How to Argue: A Student's Guide. Pearson Education; 2001.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=5185704>

98.

Pears R, Shields GJ. Cite Them Right: The Essential Referencing Guide. 12th edition. Bloomsbury Academic; 2022.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=6992940>

99.

Lowes R, Peters H, Turner MC. The International Student's Guide: Studying in English at University. Vol Sage study skills. SAGE; 2004.  
<http://site.ebrary.com/lib/leicester/Doc?id=10218078>

100.

Ferrucci P, Painter C. Print Versus Digital. Journal of Communication Inquiry. 2017;41(2):124-139. doi:10.1177/0196859917690533

101.

Madianou M, Miller D. Polymedia: Towards a new theory of digital media in interpersonal communication. International Journal of Cultural Studies. 2013;16(2):169-187.  
doi:10.1177/1367877912452486

102.

Madianou M. Smartphones as Polymedia. Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication. 2014;19(3):667-680. doi:10.1111/jcc4.12069

103.

Ytre-Arne B. 'I want to hold it in my hands': readers' experiences of the phenomenological differences between women's magazines online and in print. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2011;33(3):467-477. doi:10.1177/0163443711398766

104.

Das R, Sonia L. The End of Audiences? Theoretical echoes of reception amidst the uncertainties of use. In: *A Companion to New Media Dynamics*. John Wiley & Sons; 2013. [http://le.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package\\_service\\_id=5665880050002746&institutionId=2746&customerId=2745](http://le.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=5665880050002746&institutionId=2746&customerId=2745)

105.

Hartley J, Burgess J, Bruns A. *A Companion to New Media Dynamics*. John Wiley & Sons; 2013. <http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&scope=site&db=nlebk&db=nlabk&AN=531267>

106.

Mirca Madianou. Polymedia: Towards a new theory of digital media in interpersonal communication. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*,. 16:169-187. [http://ics.sagepub.com/search?author1=Madianou&fulltext=Polymedia:%20Towards%20a%20new%20theory%20of%20digital%20media%20in%20interpersonal%20communication&pubdate\\_year=2013&volume=16&firstpage=169&submit=yes](http://ics.sagepub.com/search?author1=Madianou&fulltext=Polymedia:%20Towards%20a%20new%20theory%20of%20digital%20media%20in%20interpersonal%20communication&pubdate_year=2013&volume=16&firstpage=169&submit=yes)

107.

Mediatization and the 'molding force' of the media. doi:10.1515/commun-2012-0001

108.

Hepp A. *Cultures of Mediatization*. Polity; 2012. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=1180925>

109.

Ian Hutchby. Technologies, Texts and Affordances. *Sociology*. 2001;35(2):441-456. [http://www.jstor.org/stable/42856294?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org/stable/42856294?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)



110.

Miller D. Tales from Facebook. Polity; 2011.

<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=571931>

111.

Jenkins H. Convergence Culture: Where Old and New Media Collide. Updated and with a new afterword. New York University Press; 2008.

112.

Dallas S. On the Audience Commodity and its work. In: Approaches to Media: A Reader. Vol Foundations in media. Arnold; 1995.

113.

Toynbee J. The Media's View of the Audience. In: Media Production. Open University Press; 2006:91-133.

114.

Long P, Wall T. Media Studies: Texts, Production, Context. 2nd ed. Pearson; 2012.

<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=812615>

115.

Lee McGuigan. Consumers: The Commodity Product of Interactive Commercial Television, or, Is Dallas Smythe's Thesis More Germane Than Ever? The Journal of Communication Inquiry. 2012;36(4). doi:10.1177/0196859912459756

116.

Ang, Ien. Desperately Seeking the Audience. Routledge; 1991.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=165716>

117.

Webster JG, Phalen PF, Lichty LW. Ratings Analysis: The Theory and Practice of Audience Research. Vol LEA's communication series. 3rd ed. L. Erlbaum Associates; 2006.

118.

Gitlin T. Inside Prime Time. Rev. ed. University of California Press; 2000.

119.

Greene, Kira. TV's test pilots. Broadcasting & Cable. 2000;130(30).  
<http://search.ebscohost.com.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bah&AN=3364522&site=ehost-live>

120.

Hayes, Dade dhayes@nbmedia.com. Inside TV's Secret Lab. (cover story). Broadcasting & Cable. 2015;145(19):4-6.  
<http://search.ebscohost.com.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bah&AN=102711548&site=ehost-live>

121.

P M. Made to Order and Standardized Audiences: forms of reality in audience measurements. In: Audience Making: How the Media Create the Audience. Vol Sage annual reviews of communication research. Sage; 1994:57-74.

122.

Serials Solutions Article Linker -  
[http://gl9sn3dh2u.search.serialssolutions.com/?ctx\\_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx\\_enc=info%3Aofi%2Fenc%3AUTF-8&rft\\_id=info:sid/summon.serialssolutions.com&rft\\_val\\_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:k ev:mtx:book&rft.genre=book&rft.title=Audience+Economics&rft.au=PHILIP+M.+NAPOLI&rft.date=2003-09-25&rft.pub=Columbia+University+Press&rft\\_id=info:doi/10.7312%2Fnapo12652&rft.externalDocID=napo12652&paramdict=en-US](http://gl9sn3dh2u.search.serialssolutions.com/?ctx_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx_enc=info%3Aofi%2Fenc%3AUTF-8&rft_id=info:sid/summon.serialssolutions.com&rft_val_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:k ev:mtx:book&rft.genre=book&rft.title=Audience+Economics&rft.au=PHILIP+M.+NAPOLI&rft.date=2003-09-25&rft.pub=Columbia+University+Press&rft_id=info:doi/10.7312%2Fnapo12652&rft.externalDocID=napo12652&paramdict=en-US)

123.

Twitter to drive TV Ratings beyond an 'assumption' of engagement. B and T Weekly. Published 7AD.

<http://www.bandt.com.au/media/twitter-to-drive-tv-ratings-beyond-an-assumption-o>

124.

Neilsen Launches 'Neilsen Twitter TV Ratings'.

<http://web.b.ebscohost.com/ehost/detail/detail?vid=1&sid=263c30ed-675a-4554-859f-e35ae5e4887b%40sessionmgr120&hid=110&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZWZWhvc3QtbGl2ZQ%3d%3d#db=bwh&AN=bizwire.c51050908>

125.

Radway JA. Reading the Romance: Women, Patriarchy, and Popular Literature. 2nd ed. University of North Carolina Press; 1991.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=880363>

126.

Livingstone sonia. Relationships between media and audiences: Prospects for future audience reception studies. In: Media, Ritual, and Identity. Vol Communication and society. Routledge; 1998.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=169411>

127.

Morley D. Unanswered Questions in Audience Research. The Communication Review. 2006;9(2):101-121. doi:10.1080/10714420600663286

128.

Barker M. I Have Seen the Future and It Is Not Here Yet ...; or, On Being Ambitious for Audience Research. The Communication Review. 2006;9(2):123-141.

doi:10.1080/10714420600663310

129.

Seiter E. Remote Control: Television, Audiences and Cultural Power. Routledge; 1989.

130.

Birgitta Höijer. Ontological Assumptions and Generalizations in Qualitative (Audience) Research. *European Journal of Communication*,. 23:275-294.  
[http://ejc.sagepub.com.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/search?author1=Hojier&fulltext=Ontological%20Assumptions%20and%20Generalizations%20in%20Qualitative%20\(Audience\)%20Research&pubdate\\_year=2008&volume=23&firstpage=275&submit=yes](http://ejc.sagepub.com.ezproxy3.lib.le.ac.uk/search?author1=Hojier&fulltext=Ontological%20Assumptions%20and%20Generalizations%20in%20Qualitative%20(Audience)%20Research&pubdate_year=2008&volume=23&firstpage=275&submit=yes)

131.

Arild Fetveit. Anti-essentialism and reception studies: In defense of the text. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*,. 4:173-199. doi:10.1177/136787790100400203

132.

David Buckingham. `Creative' visual methods in media research: possibilities, problems and proposals. *Media, Culture & Society*,. 31:633-652. doi:10.1177/0163443709335280

133.

Cavalcante A, Press A, Sender K. Feminist reception studies in a post-audience age: returning to audiences and everyday life. *Feminist Media Studies*. 2017;17(1):1-13. doi:10.1080/14680777.2017.1261822

134.

Tse T. Reconceptualising prosumption beyond the cultural turn : passive fashion consumption in Korea and China. *Journal of Consumer Culture*. 2018;o (o) 1. doi:10.1177/1469540518804300

135.

Bird SE. ARE WE ALL PRODUSERS NOW? *Cultural Studies*. 2011;25(4-5):502-516. doi:10.1080/09502386.2011.600532

136.

Sonia Livingstone. The Challenge of Changing Audiences: Or, What is the Audience Researcher to Do in the Age of the Internet? *European Journal of Communication*,. 19(1):75-86.  
<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1177%2F0267323104040695>

137.

Nancy Thumin. Self-Representation and Digital Culture. *European Journal of Communication*,. 2013;28(6):729-730. doi:10.1177/0267323113505802c

138.

Thumim N. Self-Representation and Digital Culture. Palgrave Macmillan; 2012.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=990162>

139.

Henry Jenkins. The Cultural Logic of Media Convergence. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*,. 7:33-43. doi:10.1177/1367877904040603

140.

Morley D. Unanswered Questions in Audience Research. *The Communication Review*. 2006;9(2):101-121. doi:10.1080/10714420600663286

141.

Jermyn D, Holmes S. The Audience is Dead; Long Live the Audience!: Interactivity, 'Telephilia' and the Contemporary Television Audience. *Critical Studies in Television: The International Journal of Television Studies*. 2006;1(1):49-57. doi:10.7227/CST.1.1.8

142.

Hartley J, Burgess J, Bruns A, eds. The End of Audiences? In: *A Companion to New Media Dynamics*. Wiley-Blackwell; 2013.  
<http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/reader.action?docID=3422436&ppg=126>

143.

Press AL. Audience Research in the Post-Audience Age: An Introduction to Barker and Morley. *The Communication Review*. 2006;9(2):93-100. doi:10.1080/10714420600663278

144.

Ranjana Das. Converging perspectives in audience studies and digital literacies: Youthful interpretations of an online genre. *European Journal of Communication*,. 26:343-360. [http://ejc.sagepub.com/search?author1=Das&fulltext=Converging%20Perspectives%20in%20Audience%20Studies%20and%20Digital%20Literacies:%20Youthful%20Interpretations%20of%20an%20Online%20Genre&pubdate\\_year=2011&volume=26&firstpage=343&submit=yes](http://ejc.sagepub.com/search?author1=Das&fulltext=Converging%20Perspectives%20in%20Audience%20Studies%20and%20Digital%20Literacies:%20Youthful%20Interpretations%20of%20an%20Online%20Genre&pubdate_year=2011&volume=26&firstpage=343&submit=yes)

145.

The communication review (Yverdon, Switzerland). 2006;9(2):123-141. [http://gl9sn3dh2u.search.serialssolutions.com/?ctx\\_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx\\_enc=info%3Aofi%2Fenc%3AUTF-8&rft\\_id=info:sid/summon.serialssolutions.com&rft\\_val\\_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:journal&rft.genre=article&rft.atitle=I+have+seen+the+future+and+it+is+not+here+yet+...%3A+Or%2C+on+being+ambitious+for+audience+research&rft.jtitle=The+Communication+Review&rft.au=Barker%2C+M&rft.date=2006&rft.eissn=1547-7487&rft.volume=9&rft.issue=2&rft.spage=123&rft.epage=141&rft.externalDBID=n%2Fa&rft.externalDocID=CAX0290060002004&paramdict=en-US](http://gl9sn3dh2u.search.serialssolutions.com/?ctx_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx_enc=info%3Aofi%2Fenc%3AUTF-8&rft_id=info:sid/summon.serialssolutions.com&rft_val_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:journal&rft.genre=article&rft.atitle=I+have+seen+the+future+and+it+is+not+here+yet+...%3A+Or%2C+on+being+ambitious+for+audience+research&rft.jtitle=The+Communication+Review&rft.au=Barker%2C+M&rft.date=2006&rft.eissn=1547-7487&rft.volume=9&rft.issue=2&rft.spage=123&rft.epage=141&rft.externalDBID=n%2Fa&rft.externalDocID=CAX0290060002004&paramdict=en-US)

146.

José van Dijck. Users like you? Theorizing agency in user-generated content. *Media, Culture & Society*,. 31:41-58. doi:10.1177/0163443708098245

147.

Hartley J, Burgess J, Bruns A. *A Companion to New Media Dynamics*. John Wiley & Sons; 2013. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=3422436>

148.

Lapsley R. Psychoanalytic Criticism. In: *The Routledge Companion to Critical Theory*. Routledge; 2006.

149.

O'Shaughnessy M. Promoting 'emotion': Feelings, film studies and teaching or understanding films; understanding ourselves. *Metro Media and Education*. 1994;97.

150.

Mansfield N. Lacan : The Subject is Language. In: Subjectivity: Theories of the Self from Freud to Haraway. Allen & Unwin; 2000.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=286495>

151.

Whitehouse-Hart J, SpringerLink (Online service). Psychosocial Explorations of Film and Television Viewing: Ordinary Audience. Palgrave Macmillan UK; 2014.

<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1057/9781137465146>

152.

Kavka M. Reality Television, Affect and Intimacy: Reality Matters. Palgrave Macmillan; 2008.

153.

Manley J, Crociani-Windland L. Social Dreaming, Associative Thinking and Intensities of Affect. Palgrave Macmillan; 2018.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=5497847>

154.

Rose G. Visual Methodologies: An Introduction to Researching with Visual Materials. 3rd ed. SAGE; 2012.

155.

Meissner, W W. Notes on identification. I. Origins in Freud. The Psychoanalytic quarterly. 39(4):563-589.

[https://librarysearch.le.ac.uk/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=TN\\_medline4921741&context=PC&vid=44UOLE\\_NUI&lang=en\\_US&search\\_scope=default\\_scope&adaptor=primo\\_central\\_multiple\\_fe&tab=default\\_tab&query=any,contains,W.W.%20Meissner,%201970.%20Notes%20on%20Identification%20I.%20Origens%20in%20Freud,%20Psychoanalytic%20Quarterly,%2039,%20563-589.%20&pcAvailability=false](https://librarysearch.le.ac.uk/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=TN_medline4921741&context=PC&vid=44UOLE_NUI&lang=en_US&search_scope=default_scope&adaptor=primo_central_multiple_fe&tab=default_tab&query=any,contains,W.W.%20Meissner,%201970.%20Notes%20on%20Identification%20I.%20Origens%20in%20Freud,%20Psychoanalytic%20Quarterly,%2039,%20563-589.%20&pcAvailability=false)

156.

Sandler J, Sigmund Freud Center for Study and Research in Psychoanalysis (Universit

ah ha'Ivrit bi-Yerushalayim). Projection, Identification, Projective Identification. Karnac Books; 1988. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=709550>

157.

Pink S. Doing Visual Ethnography: Images, Media and Representation in Research. 2nd ed. SAGE; 2007.

<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=LeicesterU&isbn=9781446296035>

158.

Claydon E, Whitehouse-Hart J. Overcoming' the 'Battlefield of the Mind': A Psycho-linguistic Examination of the Discourse of Digital-Televangelists Self-Help Texts'. Language and Psychoanalysis. 2018;7 (2) 2-28. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.7565/landp.v7i21587>

159.

Campbell HA, La Pastina AC. How the iPhone became divine: new media, religion and the intertextual circulation of meaning. New Media & Society. 2010;12(7):1191-1207.

doi:10.1177/1461444810362204

160.

Campbell H. Digital Religion: Understanding Religious Practice in New Media Worlds. Routledge; 2013.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=1097827>

161.

Campbell H, Garner S. Networked Theology: Negotiating Faith in Digital Culture. Baker Academic; 2016.

<http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=5248646>



162.

Campbell H, Grieve GP, eds. *Playing with Religion in Digital Games*. Indiana University Press; 2014.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?pq-origsite=primo&docID=1680203>

163.

Tsuria R, Yadlin-Segal A, Vitullo A, Campbell HA. Approaches to digital methods in studies of digital religion. *The Communication Review*. 2017;20(2):73-97.

doi:10.1080/10714421.2017.1304137

164.

Xu S, Campbell HA. Surveying digital religion in China: Characteristics of religion on the Internet in Mainland China. *The Communication Review*. 2018;21(4):253-276.

doi:10.1080/10714421.2018.1535729

165.

Morgan D. Religion and media: A critical review of recent developments. *Critical Research on Religion*. 2013;1(3):347-356. doi:10.1177/2050303213506476

166.

Lundby K. PATTERNS OF BELONGING IN ONLINE/OFFLINE INTERFACES OF RELIGION. *Information, Communication & Society*. 2011;14(8):1219-1235.

doi:10.1080/1369118X.2011.594077

167.

Rippen A. Internet: Implications and Future Possibilities'. In: *Muslims And The New Information And Communication Technologies Notes From An Emerging And Infinite Field*. Springer; 2014.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=1636818>

168.

Hoover SM, Clark LS. *Practicing Religion in the Age of the Media: Explorations in Media,*

Religion, and Culture. Columbia University Press; 2002.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?pq-origsite=primo&docID=895172>

169.

Bolongaro KAM. Pauline Hope Cheong, Peter Fischer-Nielsen, Stefan Gelfgren & Charles Ess (Eds.): Digital Religion, Social Media and Culture: Perspectives, Practices and Futures. New York: Peter Lang Publishing, Inc. 2012. *MedieKultur: Journal of media and communication research*. 2013;29(55). doi:10.7146/mediekultur.v29i55.9716

170.

Cheong PH. Digital Religion, Social Media, and Culture: Perspectives, Practices, and Futures . Vol v. 78. Peter Lang; 2012.

171.

Lofton K. Religion and the American Celebrity. *Social Compass*. 2011;58(3):346-352. doi:10.1177/0037768611412143

172.

Nikolas Coupland. *The Handbook of Language and Globalization* (Blackwell Handbooks in Linguistics). Wiley-Blackwell; 10AD.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=4041769>

173.

Stephen Parker. Winnicott's object relations theory and the work of the Holy Spirit. *Journal of Psychology and Theology*.  
<http://go.galegroup.com.ezproxy4.lib.le.ac.uk/ps/i.do?id=GALE%7CA192863906&v=2.1&u=leicester&it=r&p=EAIM&sw=w>

174.

Harris J, Watson E. *The Oprah Phenomenon*. Updated edition. University Press of Kentucky; 2007.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/reader.action?docID=1920897&ppg=15>

175.

Glad B, Beradt C. The Third Reich of Dreams. *The American Political Science Review*. 1969;63(2). doi:10.2307/1954716

176.

Glad B, Beradt C. The Third Reich of Dreams. *The American Political Science Review*. 1969;63(2). doi:10.2307/1954716

177.

Whitehouse-Hart J, SpringerLink (Online service). *Psychosocial Explorations of Film and Television Viewing: Ordinary Audience*. Palgrave Macmillan UK; 2014.  
<http://ezproxy.lib.le.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1057/9781137465146>

178.

O'Shaughnessy M. Promoting 'emotion': Feelings, film studies and teaching or understanding films; understanding ourselves. *Metro Media and Education*. 1994;97.

179.

Hills M. Michael Jackson Fans on Trial? "Documenting" Emotivism and Fandom in. *Social Semiotics*. 2007;17(4):459-477. doi:10.1080/10350330701637056

180.

Melissa A. ClickSuzanne Scott. *The Routledge Companion to Media Fandom* (Routledge Media and Cultural Studies Companions). Routledge; 1 edition; 9AD.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?docID=5122937>

181.

Gorton K. *Media Audiences: Television, Meaning and Emotion*. Edinburgh University Press; 2009.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/leicester/detail.action?pq-origsite=primo&docID=536997>