

# MS 7013 Approaches to 'Audiences' and 'Users'

View Online



---

[1]

Abercrombie, N. and Longhurst, B. 1998. Audiences: a sociological theory of performance and imagination. Sage.

[2]

Abercrombie, N. and Longhurst, B. 1998. Changing audiences, changing paradigms of research Chapter one. Audiences: a sociological theory of performance and imagination. Sage.

[3]

Alasuutari, P. 1999. Rethinking the media audience: the new agenda. Sage.

[4]

Ang, I. 2006. On the politics of empirical audience research. Media and cultural studies: keywords. Blackwell.

[5]

Ang, I. and Couling, D. 1996. Watching Dallas: soap opera and the melodramatic imagination. Routledge.

[6]

Ang, Ien 1991. Desperately Seeking the Audience. Routledge.

[7]

Arild Fetveit Anti-essentialism and reception studies: In defense of the text. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*,. 4, 173–199.

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/136787790100400203>.

[8]

Athique, A. 2018. The dynamics and potentials of big data for audience research. *Media, Culture & Society*. 40, 1 (Jan. 2018), 59–74.

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0163443717693681>.

[9]

Bainbridge, C. et al. 2014. *Television and psychoanalysis: psycho-cultural perspectives*. Karnac.

[10]

Barker, M. 2006. I Have Seen the Future and It Is Not Here Yet ...; or, On Being Ambitious for Audience Research. *The Communication Review*. 9, 2 (Jul. 2006), 123–141.

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/10714420600663310>.

[11]

Barker, M. and Petley, J. 2001. *Ill effects: the media violence debate*. Routledge.

[12]

Barker, M. and Petley, J. 2001. Introduction: from bad research to good. *Ill effects: the media violence debate*. Routledge.

[13]

Behrenshausen, B.G. 2013. The active audience, again: Player-centric game studies and the problem of binarism. *New Media & Society*. 15, 6 (Sep. 2013), 872–889.

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444812462843>.

[14]

Bird, S.E. 2011. ARE WE ALL PRODUSERS NOW? Cultural Studies. 25, 4-5 (Sep. 2011), 502-516. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/09502386.2011.600532>.

[15]

Birgitta Höijer Ontological Assumptions and Generalizations in Qualitative (Audience) Research. European Journal of Communication,. 23, 275-294.

[16]

Blackman, L. and Walkerdine, V. 2000. Mass hysteria: critical psychology and media studies. Macmillan.

[17]

Bolongaro, K.A.M. 2013. Pauline Hope Cheong, Peter Fischer-Nielsen, Stefan Gelfgren & Charles Ess (Eds.): Digital Religion, Social Media and Culture: Perspectives, Practices and Futures. New York: Peter Lang Publishing, Inc. 2012. MedieKultur: Journal of media and communication research. 29, 55 (Dec. 2013). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.7146/mediekultur.v29i55.9716>.

[18]

Bonnett, A. 2001. How to argue: a student's guide. Pearson Education.

[19]

Brand New You | Kanopy:  
<https://le.kanopy.com/video/brand-new-you-makeover-television-and-american-dream>.

[20]

Brooker, W. and Jermyn, D. 2003. The audience studies reader. Routledge.

[21]

Campbell, H. 2013. Digital religion: understanding religious practice in new media worlds. Routledge.

[22]

Campbell, H. and Garner, S. 2016. Networked theology: negotiating faith in digital culture. Baker Academic.

[23]

Campbell, H. and Grieve, G.P. eds. 2014. Playing with religion in digital games. Indiana University Press.

[24]

Campbell, H.A. and La Pastina, A.C. 2010. How the iPhone became divine: new media, religion and the intertextual circulation of meaning. *New Media & Society*. 12, 7 (Nov. 2010), 1191-1207. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444810362204>.

[25]

Cantril, H. et al. 1982. The invasion from Mars: a study in the psychology of panic : with the complete script of the famous Orson Welles broadcast. Princeton University Press.

[26]

Cavalcante, A. et al. 2017. Feminist reception studies in a post-audience age: returning to audiences and everyday life. *Feminist Media Studies*. 17, 1 (Jan. 2017), 1-13. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/14680777.2017.1261822>.

[27]

Cavalcante, A. et al. 2017. Feminist reception studies in a post-audience age: returning to audiences and everyday life. *Feminist Media Studies*. 17, 1 (Jan. 2017), 1-13. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/14680777.2017.1261822>.

[28]

Cheong, P.H. 2012. Digital religion, social media, and culture: perspectives, practices, and futures. Peter Lang.

[29]

Claydon, E. and Whitehouse-Hart, J. 2018. Overcoming' the 'Battlefield of the Mind': A Psycho-linguistic Examination of the Discourse of Digital-Televangelists Self-Help Texts'. Language and Psychoanalysis. 7 (2) 2-28, (2018).  
DOI:<https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.7565/landp.v7i21587>.

[30]

Couldry, N. 2005. The Extended Audience: Scanning the Horizon'. Media audiences. Open University Press.

[31]

Dallas, S. 1995. On the Audience Commodity and its work. Approaches to media: a reader. Arnold.

[32]

Das, R. 2017. Audiences: a decade of transformations – reflections from the CEDAR network on emerging directions in audience analysis. Media, Culture & Society. 39, 8 (Nov. 2017), 1257–1267. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0163443717717632>.

[33]

Das, R. and Sonia, L. 2013. The End of Audiences? Theoretical echoes of reception amidst the uncertainties of use. A companion to new media dynamics. John Wiley & Sons.

[34]

David Buckingham 'Creative' visual methods in media research: possibilities, problems and proposals. Media, Culture & Society,. 31, 633–652.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0163443709335280>.

[35]

Dovey, J. 2000. *Freakshow: first person media and factual television*. Pluto Press.

[36]

Duffy, B.E. 2013. *Remake, remodel: women's magazines in the digital age*. University of Illinois Press.

[37]

Eagleton, T. 1994. *Ideology*. Longman.

[38]

Elizabeth Jane Evans Character, audience agency and transmedia drama. *Media, Culture & Society*, 30, 197–213. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0163443707086861>.

[39]

Elliott, P. 1974. Uses and gratifications research: A critique and a sociological alternative. *The uses of mass communications: current perspectives on gratifications research*. Sage Publications. 249–268.

[40]

Ferrucci, P. and Painter, C. 2017. Print Versus Digital. *Journal of Communication Inquiry*. 41, 2 (Apr. 2017), 124–139. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0196859917690533>.

[41]

Gauntlett, D. 1998. Ten things wrong with the "effects model.". *Approaches to audiences: a reader*. Arnold.

[42]

Gerbner et al, G. 2009. *Growing up with television: The Cultivation Perspective*. Media effects: advances in theory and research. Routledge.

[43]

Gillespie, M. 1995. Television, ethnicity and cultural change. Routledge.

[44]

Gillespie, M. 1995. Television, ethnicity and cultural change. Routledge.

[45]

Ginsburg, F.D. et al. 2002. Media worlds: anthropology on new terrain. University of California Press.

[46]

Gitlin, T. 2000. Inside prime time. University of California Press.

[47]

Glad, B. and Beradt, C. 1969. The Third Reich of Dreams. The American Political Science Review. 63, 2 (Jun. 1969). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/1954716>.

[48]

Glad, B. and Beradt, C. 1969. The Third Reich of Dreams. The American Political Science Review. 63, 2 (Jun. 1969). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/1954716>.

[49]

Gorton, K. 2009. Media audiences: television, meaning and emotion. Edinburgh University Press.

[50]

Gorton, K. 2009. Media audiences: television, meaning and emotion. Edinburgh University Press.

[51]

Gray, J. 2017. Reviving audience studies. *Critical Studies in Media Communication*. 34, 1 (Jan. 2017), 79–83. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/15295036.2016.1266680>.

[52]

Greene, Kira 2000. TV's test pilots. *Broadcasting & Cable*. 130, 30 (2000).

[53]

Hall, S. 1980. Encoding/ decoding. *Culture, media, language: working papers in cultural studies, 1972-79*. Hutchinson in association with the Centre for Contemporary Cultural Studies, University of Birmingham. 117–128.

[54]

Hall, S. 1994. Reflections upon the Encoding/Decoding Model: An Interview with Stuart Hall. *Viewing, reading, listening: audiences and cultural reception*. Westview Press. 253–274.

[55]

Harris, J. and Watson, E. 2007. *The Oprah phenomenon*. University Press of Kentucky.

[56]

Hartley, J. et al. 2013. *A companion to new media dynamics*. John Wiley & Sons.

[57]

Hartley, J. et al. 2013. *A companion to new media dynamics*. John Wiley & Sons.

[58]

Hartley, J. et al. eds. 2013. *The End of Audiences? A Companion to New Media Dynamics*.



Wiley-Blackwell.

[59]

Hayes, Dade dhayes@nbmedia.com 2015. Inside TV's Secret Lab. (cover story). *Broadcasting & Cable*. 145, 19 (2015), 4-6.

[60]

Helen Wood The mediated conversational floor: an interactive approach to audience reception analysis. *Media, Culture & Society*,. 29, 75-103.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0163443706072000>.

[61]

Henry Jenkins The Cultural Logic of Media Convergence. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*,. 7, 33-43. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1367877904040603>.

[62]

Hepp, A. 2012. *Cultures of mediatization*. Polity.

[63]

Hermes, J. et al. 2013. Sleeping with the enemy: Audience studies and critical literacy. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*. 16, 5 (Sep. 2013), 457-473.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1367877912474547>.

[64]

Hills, M. 2007. Michael Jackson Fans on Trial? "Documenting" Emotivism and Fandom in. *Social Semiotics*. 17, 4 (Dec. 2007), 459-477.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/10350330701637056>.

[65]

Hobson, D. 1982. *Crossroads: the drama of a soap opera*. Methuen.

[66]

Hoover, S.M. and Clark, L.S. 2002. Practicing religion in the age of the media: explorations in media, religion, and culture. Columbia University Press.

[67]

Ian Hutchby 2001. Technologies, Texts and Affordances. *Sociology*. 35, 2 (2001), 441–456.

[68]

Jackson, R.L. and Sage reference on-line 2010. Encyclopedia of identity. SAGE.

[69]

Jenkins, H. 2008. Convergence culture: where old and new media collide. New York University Press.

[70]

Jermyn, D. and Holmes, S. 2006. The Audience is Dead; Long Live the Audience!: Interactivity, 'Telephilia' and the Contemporary Television Audience. *Critical Studies in Television: The International Journal of Television Studies*. 1, 1 (Mar. 2006), 49–57. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.7227/CST.1.1.8>.

[71]

Jin, D. 2016. New Korean Wave: Transnational Cultural Power in the Age of Social Media. University of Illinois Press.

[72]

José van Dijck Users like you? Theorizing agency in user-generated content. *Media, Culture & Society*,. 31, 41–58. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0163443708098245>.

[73]

Kavka, M. 2008. Reality television, affect and intimacy: reality matters. Palgrave Macmillan.

[74]

Kavka, M. 2008. Reality television, affect and intimacy: reality matters. Palgrave Macmillan.

[75]

Kavka, M. 2008. Reality television, affect and intimacy: reality matters. Palgrave Macmillan.

[76]

Kavka, M. 2008. Reality television, affect and intimacy: reality matters. Palgrave Macmillan.

[77]

Lacey, N. 2002. Media institutions and audiences: key concepts in media studies. Palgrave.

[78]

Lapsley, R. 2006. Psychoanalytic Criticism. The Routledge companion to critical theory. Routledge.

[79]

Lee McGuigan 2012. Consumers: The Commodity Product of Interactive Commercial Television, or, Is Dallas Smythe's Thesis More Germane Than Ever? The Journal of Communication Inquiry. 36, 4 (Oct. 2012).  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0196859912459756>.

[80]

Liebes, T. and Katz, E. 1993. The export of meaning: cross-cultural readings of Dallas. Polity Press.

[81]

Livingstone, sonia 1998. Relationships between media and audiences: Prospects for future audience reception studies. Media, ritual, and identity. Routledge.

[82]

Livingstone, S. and Das, R. 2013. The End of Audiences? Theoretical echoes of reception amidst the uncertainties of use. A companion to new media dynamics. John Wiley & Sons. 104-122.

[83]

Livingstone, S.M. 1998. Making sense of television: the psychology of audience interpretation. Routledge.

[84]

Lofton, K. 2011. Religion and the American Celebrity. Social Compass. 58, 3 (Sep. 2011), 346-352. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0037768611412143>.

[85]

Long, P. and Wall, T. 2012. Media studies: texts, production, context. Pearson.

[86]

Long, P. and Wall, T. 2012. Media studies: texts, production, context. Pearson.

[87]

Lowes, R. et al. 2004. The international student's guide: studying in English at university. SAGE.

[88]

Lundby, K. 2011. PATTERNS OF BELONGING IN ONLINE/OFFLINE INTERFACES OF RELIGION. *Information, Communication & Society*. 14, 8 (Dec. 2011), 1219–1235. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2011.594077>.

[89]

Madianou, M. 2014. Smartphones as Polymedia. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*. 19, 3 (Apr. 2014), 667–680. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/jcc4.12069>.

[90]

Madianou, M. and Miller, D. 2013. Polymedia: Towards a new theory of digital media in interpersonal communication. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*. 16, 2 (Mar. 2013), 169–187. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1367877912452486>.

[91]

Manley, J. and Crociani-Windland, L. 2018. *Social dreaming, associative thinking and intensities of affect*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[92]

Mansfield, N. 2000. *Lacan : The Subject is Language. Subjectivity: Theories of the self from Freud to Haraway*. Allen & Unwin.

[93]

Martin J. Barker *The Lord of the Rings and 'Identification': A Critical Encounter*. *European Journal of Communication*,. 20, 353–378.

[94]

Mayer, V. 2016. The Places Where Audience Studies and Production Studies Meet. *Television & New Media*. 17, 8 (Dec. 2016), 706–718. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1527476416652482>.

[95]

McKee, A. 2003. Textual analysis: a beginner's guide. Sage Publications.

[96]

McLeod, D. et al. 2017. Thinking about the media: A review of theory and research on media perceptions, media effects perception and their consequences. Review of Communication REsearch. Volume 5, (2017).

[97]

Mediatization and the 'molding force' of the media:  
<http://www.degruyter.com/view/j/commun.2012.37.1.issue-1/commun-2012-0001/commun-2012-0001.xml>.

[98]

Meissner, W W Notes on identification. I. Origins in Freud. The Psychoanalytic quarterly. 39, 4, 563–89.

[99]

Melissa A. ClickSuzanne Scott 9AD. The Routledge Companion to Media Fandom (Routledge Media and Cultural Studies Companions). Routledge; 1 edition.

[100]

Michael O'Shaughnessy 1994. Promoting 'emotion': Feelings, film studies and teaching or understanding films; understanding ourselves. Metro Media and Education. 97, (1994), 44–48.

[101]

Michael O'Shaughnessy 1994. Promoting 'emotion': Feelings, film studies and teaching or understanding films; understanding ourselves. Metro Media and Education. 97, (1994), 44–48.

[102]

Miller, D. 2011. *Tales from Facebook*. Polity.

[103]

Mirca Madianou Polymedia: Towards a new theory of digital media in interpersonal communication. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*,. 16, 169–187.

[104]

Modleski, T. 1984. *Loving with a vengeance: mass-produced fantasies for women*. Methuen.

[105]

Morgan, D. 2013. Religion and media: A critical review of recent developments. *Critical Research on Religion*. 1, 3 (Dec. 2013), 347–356.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/2050303213506476>.

[106]

Morley, D. 2006. Unanswered Questions in Audience Research. *The Communication Review*. 9, 2 (Jul. 2006), 101–121. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/10714420600663286>.

[107]

Morley, D. 2006. Unanswered Questions in Audience Research. *The Communication Review*. 9, 2 (Jul. 2006), 101–121. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/10714420600663286>.

[108]

Mytton, G. et al. 2016. *Media audience research: a guide for professionals*. SAGE Publications, Incorporated.

[109]

Nancy Thumin 2013. Self-Representation and Digital Culture. *European Journal of*

Communication, . 28, 6 (2013), 729–730. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0267323113505802c>.

[110]

Neilsen Launches 'Neilsen Twitter TV Ratings':

<http://web.b.ebscohost.com/ehost/detail/detail?vid=1&sid=263c30ed-675a-4554-859f-e35ae5e4887b%40sessionmgr120&hid=110&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZWZWhvc3QtbGl2ZQ%3d%3d#db=bwh&AN=bizwire.c51050908>.

[111]

Nightingale, V. 1996. *Studying the television audience: the shock of the real*. Routledge.

[112]

Nikolas Coupland 10AD. *The Handbook of Language and Globalization* (Blackwell Handbooks in Linguistics). Wiley-Blackwell.

[113]

Ong, J.C. 2009. Watching the Nation, Singing the Nation: London-Based Filipino Migrants' Identity Constructions in News and Karaoke Practices. *Communication, Culture & Critique*. 2, 2 (Jun. 2009), 160–181. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1753-9137.2009.01033.x>.

[114]

O'Shaughnessy, M. 1994. Promoting 'emotion': Feelings, film studies and teaching or understanding films; understanding ourselves. *Metro Media and Education*. 97, (1994).

[115]

O'Shaughnessy, M. 1994. Promoting 'emotion': Feelings, film studies and teaching or understanding films; understanding ourselves. *Metro Media and Education*. 97, (1994).

[116]



P, M. 1994. Made to Order and Standardized Audiences: forms of reality in audience measurements. Audience making: how the media create the audience. Sage. 57-74.

[117]

Paddy Scannell Big Brother as a Television Event. Television & New Media,. 3, 271-282.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/152747640200300303>.

[118]

Palmgreen, p et al. 1985. Uses and gratifications research: the past ten years. Media gratifications research: current perspectives. Sage. xx-xxx.

[119]

Pears, R. and Shields, G.J. 2022. Cite them right: the essential referencing guide. Bloomsbury Academic.

[120]

Pink, S. 2007. Doing visual ethnography: images, media and representation in research. SAGE.

[121]

Piper, H. 2006. Understanding Reality Television \* Reality TV - Audiences and Popular Factual Television \* Reality TV - Realism and Revelation. Screen. 47, 1 (Jan. 2006), 133-138. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/screen/hjl012>.

[122]

Press, A.L. 2006. Audience Research in the Post-Audience Age: An Introduction to Barker and Morley. The Communication Review. 9, 2 (Jul. 2006), 93-100.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/10714420600663278>.

[123]

Radway, J.A. 1991. Reading the romance: women, patriarchy, and popular literature.

University of North Carolina Press.

[124]

Radway, J.A. 1991. Reading the romance: women, patriarchy and popular literature. University of North Carolina Press.

[125]

Radway, J.A. 1991. Reading the romance: women, patriarchy, and popular literature. University of North Carolina Press.

[126]

Ranjana Das Converging perspectives in audience studies and digital literacies: Youthful interpretations of an online genre. *European Journal of Communication*,. 26, 343–360.

[127]

Readdy, T. and Ebbeck, V. 2012. Weighing in on NBC's The Biggest Loser. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*. 83, 4 (Dec. 2012), 579–586.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/02701367.2012.10599255>.

[128]

Redman, P. et al. 2017. Good essay writing: a social sciences guide. SAGE.

[129]

Redman, P. and Open University 2008. Attachment: sociology and social worlds. Manchester University Press in association with the Open University.

[130]

Redman, P. and Open University 2008. Attachment: sociology and social worlds. Manchester University Press in association with the Open University.

[131]

Rippen, A. 2014. Internet: Implications and Future Possibilities'. Muslims And The New Information And Communication Technologies Notes From An Emerging And Infinite Field. Springer.

[132]

Rose, G. 2012. Visual methodologies: an introduction to researching with visual materials. SAGE.

[133]

Rosengren, k. E. 1974. Uses and Gratifications: A Paradigm Outlined. The uses of mass communications: current perspectives on gratifications research. Sage Publications. 269-286.

[134]

Rosengren, K. 1996. Chapter 2 - Combinations, comparisons and confrontations: towards a comprehensive theory of audience research. The audience and its landscape. Westview Press. 23-51.

[135]

Ross, K. and Nightingale, V. 2003. Media and audiences: new perspectives. Open University Press.

[136]

Ross, K. and Playdon, P. 2001. Black marks: minority ethnic audiences and media. Ashgate.

[137]

Ruggiero, T.E. 2000. Uses and Gratifications Theory in the 21st Century. Mass Communication and Society. 3, 1 (Feb. 2000), 3-37.  
DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327825MCS0301\\_02](https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327825MCS0301_02).

[138]

Sandler, J. and Sigmund Freud Center for Study and Research in Psychoanalysis  
(Universit

ah ha'Ivrit bi-Yerushalayim) 1988. Projection, identification, projective identification.  
Karnac Books.

[139]

Schrøder, K.C. 1987. Convergence of Antagonistic Traditions? The Case of Audience  
Research. *European journal of communication*. 2, 1 (1987), 7-31.

[140]

Sconce, J. 2000. *Haunted media: electronic presence from telegraphy to television*. Duke  
University Press.

[141]

Sconce, J. 1998. The Voice from the Void. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*. 1, 2  
(Aug. 1998), 211-232. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/13678779980010020401>.

[142]

Seiter, ellen 1990. Making distinctions in TV audience research: Case study of a troubling  
interview. *Cultural Studies*. 4, 1 (1990).

[143]

Seiter, ellen 1990. Making distinctions in TV audience research: Case study of a troubling  
interview. *Cultural Studies*. 4, 1 (1990).

[144]

Seiter, E. 1989. *Remote control: television, audiences and cultural power*. Routledge.

[145]

Seiter, E. 1989. Remote control: television, audiences and cultural power. Routledge.

[146]

Sender, K. 2006. Queens for a Day: *Queer Eye for the Straight Guy* and the Neoliberal Project. *Critical Studies in Media Communication*. 23, 2 (Jun. 2006), 131–151.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/07393180600714505>.

[147]

Sender, K. 2015. Reconsidering Reflexivity: Audience Research and Reality Television. *The Communication Review*. 18, 1 (Jan. 2015), 37–52.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/10714421.2015.996414>.

[148]

Sender, K. 2012. *The makeover: reality television and reflexive audiences*. New York University Press.

[149]

Sender, K. and Sullivan, M. 2008. Epidemics of will, failures of self-esteem: Responding to fat bodies in *The Contender* and *The Contender*. *Continuum*. 22, 4 (Aug. 2008), 573–584.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/10304310802190046>.

[150]

Serials Solutions Article Linker -:  
[http://gl9sn3dh2u.search.serialssolutions.com/?ctx\\_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx\\_enc=info%3Aofi%2Fenc%3AUTF-8&rft\\_id=info:sid/summon.serialssolutions.com&rft\\_val\\_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:k ev:mtx:book&rft.genre=book&rft.title=Audience+Economics&rft.au=PHILIP+M.+NAPOLI&rft.date=2003-09-25&rft.pub=Columbia+University+Press&rft\\_id=info:doi/10.7312%2Fnapo12652&rft.externalDocID=napo12652&paramdict=en-US](http://gl9sn3dh2u.search.serialssolutions.com/?ctx_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx_enc=info%3Aofi%2Fenc%3AUTF-8&rft_id=info:sid/summon.serialssolutions.com&rft_val_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:k ev:mtx:book&rft.genre=book&rft.title=Audience+Economics&rft.au=PHILIP+M.+NAPOLI&rft.date=2003-09-25&rft.pub=Columbia+University+Press&rft_id=info:doi/10.7312%2Fnapo12652&rft.externalDocID=napo12652&paramdict=en-US).

[151]

Shanahan, J. and Morgan, M. 1999. *Television and its viewers: cultivation research and theory*. Cambridge University Press.

[152]

Skeggs, B. et al. 2008. 'Oh goodness, I am watching reality TV'. *European Journal of Cultural Studies*. 11, 1 (Feb. 2008), 5–24. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1367549407084961>.

[153]

Skeggs, B. et al. 2008. 'Oh goodness, I am watching reality TV'. *European Journal of Cultural Studies*. 11, 1 (Feb. 2008), 5–24. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1367549407084961>.

[154]

Skeggs, B. and Wood, H. 2012. *Reacting to reality television: performance, audience and value*. Routledge.

[155]

Skeggs, B. and Wood, H. 2014. *Reacting to Reality Television: Performance, Audience and Value*. Taylor & Francis Group.

[156]

Skeggs, B. and Wood, H. 2011. *Reality television and class*. BFI.

[157]

Skeggs, B. and Wood, H. 2011. *Reality television and class*. BFI.

[158]

Skeggs, B. and Wood, H. 2008. The labour of transformation and circuits of value 'around' reality television. *Continuum*. 22, 4 (Aug. 2008), 559–572.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/10304310801983664>.

[159]

Skeggs, B. and Wood, H. 2011. Turning it on is a class act: immediated object relations with television. *Media, Culture & Society*. 33, 6 (Sep. 2011), 941-951.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0163443711412298>.

[160]

Sonia Livingstone The Challenge of Changing Audiences: Or, What is the Audience Researcher to Do in the Age of the Internet? *European Journal of Communication*,. 19, 1, 75-86.

[161]

Stephen Parker Winnicott's object relations theory and the work of the Holy Spirit. *Journal of Psychology and Theology*.

[162]

Thumim, N. 2012. *Self-representation and digital culture*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[163]

Tincknell, E. and Raghuram, P. 2002. Big Brother: Reconfiguring the `active' audience of cultural studies? *European Journal of Cultural Studies*. 5, 2 (May 2002), 199-215.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1364942002005002159>.

[164]

Toynbee, J. 2006. *The Media's View of the Audience*. Media Production. Open University Press. 91-133.

[165]

Tse, T. 2018. Reconceptualising prosumption beyond the cultural turn : passive fashion consumption in Korea and China. *journal of Consumer Culture*. o (o) 1, (2018).  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1469540518804300>.

[166]

Tsuria, R. et al. 2017. Approaches to digital methods in studies of digital religion. *The Communication Review*. 20, 2 (Apr. 2017), 73–97.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/10714421.2017.1304137>.

[167]

Tulloch, J. 1999. The implied audience in soap opera production: Everyday Rhetorical Strategies among television professionals. *Rethinking the media audience: the new agenda*. Sage. 151–178.

[168]

Twitter to drive TV Ratings beyond an 'assumption' of engagement: 7AD.  
<http://www.bandt.com.au/media/twitter-to-drive-tv-ratings-beyond-an-assumption-o>.

[169]

Victor Costello Cultural Outlaws: An Examination of Audience Activity and Online Television Fandom. *Television & New Media*,. 8, 124–143.  
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1527476406299112>.

[170]

Wasko, J. 2005. *Reality TV: Performance, Authenticity, and Television Audiences. A companion to television*. Blackwell. A-Hill.

[171]

Webster, James G. 1998. Audience, The. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media*. 42, (1998).

[172]

Webster, J.G. et al. 2006. *Ratings analysis: the theory and practice of audience research*. L. Erlbaum Associates.

[173]



Whitehouse-Hart, J. 2014. Psychosocial explorations of film and television viewing: ordinary audience. Palgrave Macmillan.

[174]

Whitehouse-Hart, J. and SpringerLink (Online service) 2014. Psychosocial Explorations of Film and Television Viewing: Ordinary Audience. Palgrave Macmillan UK.

[175]

Whitehouse-Hart, J. and SpringerLink (Online service) 2014. Psychosocial Explorations of Film and Television Viewing: Ordinary Audience. Palgrave Macmillan UK.

[176]

Williams, K. 2003. Effects What Effects chapter 7. Understanding Media Theory. Arnold.

[177]

Wood, H. 2004. What Reading the Romance Did for Us. European Journal of Cultural Studies. 7, 2 (May 2004), 147–154. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1367549404042487>.

[178]

Xu, S. and Campbell, H.A. 2018. Surveying digital religion in China: Characteristics of religion on the Internet in Mainland China. The Communication Review. 21, 4 (Oct. 2018), 253–276. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/10714421.2018.1535729>.

[179]

Ytre-Arne, B. 2011. 'I want to hold it in my hands': readers' experiences of the phenomenological differences between women's magazines online and in print. Media, Culture & Society. 33, 3 (Apr. 2011), 467–477. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0163443711398766>.

[180]

2014. Social comparison, social media, and self-esteem. Psychology of Popular Media Culture. (2014).

[181]

2006. The communication review (Yverdon, Switzerland). 9, 2 (2006), 123–141.